

The second order abstract Cauchy problem and integrated semigroups generated by matrix pseudo-differential operators

VIOREL CATANĂ

ABSTRACT. The main aim of this paper is to indicate how integrated semigroups and spectral properties of some classes of pseudo-differential operators can be used studying second order abstract Cauchy problems

$$u''(t) - A_p u(t) = 0, \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1$$

or

$$u''(t) - A_p^q u'(t) - (aA_p^{2q} + bA_p^q + cI)u(t) = 0, \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1,$$

for every initial values $(u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{D}(A_p^M) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^N)$. Here $A_p : \mathcal{D}(A_p) \subset L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a closed extension of a pseudo-differential operator $A : \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$,

$$Au(x) = (2x)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} a(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi$$

to the space $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 37B15, 81T80, 74F05.

Key words and phrases. pseudo-differential operators, integrated semigroups, well posed Cauchy problem.

1. Introduction

We study the second order abstract Cauchy problems (ACP₂):

$$u''(t) = A_p u(t), \quad t > 0, \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1, \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} u''(t) - A_p^q u'(t) - (aA_p^{2q} + bA_p^q + cI)u(t) &= 0, \quad t > 0, \\ u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1, \quad q \in \mathbf{N}^* &, \quad a, b, c \in \mathbf{R}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $A_p : \mathcal{D}(A_p) \subset L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a closed extension of a pseudo-differential operator $A : \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to the space $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

The most natural way to study (ACP₂) (1) or (2) is to reduce them to the first order abstract Cauchy problems (ACP_r):

$$w'(t) = B_p w(t), \quad t > 0, \quad w(0) = (w_0, w_1) = (u_0, u_1) \quad (3)$$

$$w'(t) = B_{p,q} w(t), \quad t > 0, \quad w(0) = (w_0, w_1) = (u_0, u_1) \quad (4)$$

where

$$B_p : \mathcal{D}(A_p) \times L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow (L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^2, \quad B_p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ A_p & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_{p,q} : \mathcal{D}(A_p^{2q}) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^q) \rightarrow (L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^2, \quad B_{p,q} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ aA_p^{2q} + bA_p^q + cI & A_p^q \end{pmatrix}.$$

Received: 11 December 2002.

Here I is the identity operator on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $(w_0, w_1) \in \mathcal{D}(A_p^M) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^N)$, $M, N \in \mathbf{N}^*$ and $(L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^2 = L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \times L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is endowed with the maximum norm (i.e. $\|(w_0, w_1)\| = \max(\|w_0\|_p, \|w_1\|_p)$, where $\|\cdot\|_p$ denote the norm $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$).

We will also refer to the first order abstract Cauchy problem (ACP):

$$u'(t) = A_p u(t), \quad t > 0, \quad u(0) = u_0. \quad (5)$$

Following F. Neubrauder (see [10]) we will establish the connection between (ACP₂) (1) or (2) and (ACP_r) (3) respectively (4).

In particular, we are interested in the connection between the spectral properties of the operator A_p and the fact that (ACP₂) or (ACP) are wellposed in Neubrauder's sense (see [3], [7], [8], [10]).

2. Preliminaries and notations

First we shall introduce a few concepts terminologies and recall some notions and results concerning pseudo-differential operators, integrated semigroups and well posed abstract Cauchy problem.

Definition 2.1. Let $m \in \mathbf{R}$, $\rho \in (0, 1]$. Then we define $S_{\rho,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to be the set of all functions $a \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that for any multi-index $\alpha \in \mathbf{N}^n$ there is a positive constant C_α only depending on α , for which

$$|D^\alpha a(\xi)| \leq C_\alpha (1 + |\xi|)^{m - \rho|\alpha|} \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n. \quad (6)$$

We call any function $a \in S_{\rho,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ a symbol of order m and of type $(\rho, 0)$.

Definition 2.2. Let $a \in S_{\rho,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be a symbol. Then the pseudo-differential operator $A = a(D)$ associated with a is defined by

$$Au(x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} e^{i\langle x, \xi \rangle} a(\xi) \hat{u}(\xi) d\xi, \quad u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}^n. \quad (7)$$

We denote by $L_{\rho,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ the class of pseudo-differential operators of order m and of type $(\rho, 0)$.

Definition 2.3. A symbol $a \in S_{\rho,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is said to be elliptic iff there exist positive constants C and R such that

$$|a(\xi)| \geq C(1 + |\xi|)^m, \quad \text{for all } |\xi| \geq R. \quad (8)$$

Of course, a pseudo-differential operator $A \in L_{\rho,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is said to be elliptic iff its symbol is elliptic.

Definition 2.4. A symbol $a \in S_{\rho,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is said to be strongly Carleman iff there exist positive numbers b, L and C , such that

$$|a(\xi)| \geq C(1 + |\xi|)^b, \quad \text{for all } |\xi| \geq L. \quad (9)$$

Suppose now we give $A \in L_{\rho,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Then for each $1 \leq p < \infty$, $A_p : \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n) \subset L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a closable operator on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and we shall denote its closure on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ by $A_p : \mathcal{D}(A_p) \subset L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\mathcal{D}(A_p) = \{u \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n), Au \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)\}$, $A_p u = Au$ (in the distributional sense) for all $u \in \mathcal{D}(A_p)$.

We shall call A_p the minimal operator associated with A .

Also for the same operator $A \in L_{\rho,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and for each $1 \leq p < \infty$, we can define another closed operator

$\tilde{A}_p : \mathcal{D}(\tilde{A}_p) \subset L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, where

$$\mathcal{D}(\tilde{A}_p) = \{u \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n); (\exists) f_u \in L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \text{ s.t. } (u, A^* \varphi) = (f_u, \varphi), (\forall) \varphi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{R}^n)\} \quad (10)$$

and $\tilde{A}_p u = f_u$. We shall call \tilde{A}_p the maximal operator associated with A .

We remark that both operators A_p and \tilde{A}_p are closed extensions to $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ of the operator A and they really coincide (see [15]). Moreover if $A \in L_{\rho,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is an elliptic operator of order $m \geq 0$, then $\mathcal{D}(A_p) = H^{m,p}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ the Sobolev space (see [17]).

We can now state some results concerning pseudo-differential operators, integrated semigroups, well posed Cauchy problems and the connection between them. For details and proofs see [3], [8], [10].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $a \in S_{\rho,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be a strongly Carleman symbol, where $m > 0$, $\rho \in (0, 1]$. Then for each $1 \leq p < \infty$ with $\rho(a(D)_p) \neq \emptyset$ (the resolvent set of the operator $a(D)_p = A_p$), we have $\sigma(a(D)_p) = a(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (the range of the symbol of the operator A_p).*

The following proposition gives sufficient criteria in order to have the resolvent set of the operator A_p nonempty.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $a \in S_{\rho,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be a strongly Carleman symbol, where $m > 0$, $\rho \in (0, 1]$ and the coercivity constant is $b > 0$. Then for each $p \in (1, \infty)$ the following assertions hold.*

(i) *If $n|1/2 - 1/p|(m + 1 - \rho - b)/b < 1$, then*

$$\rho(A_p) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow a(\mathbf{R}^n) \neq \mathbf{C},$$

where $a(\mathbf{R}^n) = \{a(\xi); \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n\}$.

Moreover, if $b > n(m + 1 - \rho)/(n + 2)$, then

$$\rho(A_p) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow a(\mathbf{R}^n) \neq \mathbf{C} \quad \text{for all } p \in (1, \infty);$$

(ii) *If there exist constants s, C such that $s \leq 1$, $C > 0$,*

$$n|1/2 - 1/p|/b < 1 \text{ and } \frac{|D^\alpha a(\xi)|}{|a(\xi)|} \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{-s|\alpha|}, (\forall) \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

(\forall) $|\alpha| \leq 1 + [n|1/2 - 1/p|]$ (where $[\cdot]$ denotes the integer part of a real number), then

$$\rho(A_p) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow a(\mathbf{R}^n) \neq \mathbf{C};$$

(iii) *If $b > m/2$ and $n(1 - \rho)|1/2 - 1/p|/(2b - m) < 1$, then*

$$\rho(A_p) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow a(\mathbf{R}^n) \neq \mathbf{C};$$

(iv) *If $p = 1$ and if there exist constants s, C such that $s \in [0, 1]$, $b > n + s - ns$, $|D^\alpha a(\xi)/a(\xi)| \leq C(1 + |\xi|)^{-s|\alpha|}$, (\forall) $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$, (\forall) $|\alpha| \leq 1 + [n|1/2 - 1/p|]$, then*

$$\rho(A_1) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow a(\mathbf{R}^n) \neq \mathbf{C}.$$

In particular, if we moreover suppose that $b > (m + 1 - \rho - b)(n - 1) + 1$, $b \geq m - \rho$, then the same conclusion still holds.

(v) *We suppose, in particular, that $a \in S_{\rho,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is an elliptic symbol. Then for each $p \in (1, \infty)$ and $m \geq \frac{1}{2}(n - n\rho)$ it follows that $\rho(A_p) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow a(\mathbf{R}^n) \neq \mathbf{C}$.*

Again, for $p = 1$ and $m > n + \rho - n\rho$, we obtain the same conclusion.

(vi) If $p = 2$ and $|a(\xi)| \rightarrow \infty$ when $|\xi| \rightarrow \infty$, $\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$ (in particular, a is a strongly Carleman symbol), then

$$\rho(A_2) \neq \emptyset \Leftrightarrow a(\mathbf{R}^n) \neq \mathbf{C}. \quad (11)$$

Remark 2.1. If $\rho = 1$ and $a \in S_{1,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a polynomial then the assertions (i), (ii) of Proposition 2.1 yield statements (i), (ii) of Proposition 5.3 in M. Hieber ([7]).

N -times integrated exponentially bounded semigroups $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ of linear operators on a Banach space E ($N \in \mathbf{N}$) were introduced by Arendt [2] and studied by Arendt, Keleman, Hieber, Neubrander, Thieme and many others ([1], [2], [4], [5], [6], [10], [12]).

The goal of the theory of integrated semigroups is the analysis of an abstract Cauchy problem as that in (5).

Definition 2.5. (F. Neubrander [10]). Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ be a linear operator on a Banach space E . If there exists $N \in \mathbf{N}^*$, constants $M > 0$, $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$ and a strongly continuous family $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ in $\mathcal{L}(E)$ with $\|S(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t}$ for all $t \geq 0$ such that $R(\lambda, A) = (\lambda I - A)^{-1}$ exists and is given by

$$R(\lambda, A) = \lambda^N \int_0^\infty e^{-\lambda t} S(t) dt, \quad (12)$$

for all $\lambda > \omega$, then A is called the generator of the N -times integrated semigroup $(S(t))_{t \geq 0}$ (N -t.i.s.).

Definition 2.6. (F. Neubrander [10]). Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ be a closed linear operator on a Banach space E . Then the abstract Cauchy problem (ACP) defined by (A, u_0) , $u_0 \in E$, namely

$$u'(t) = Au(t), \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad t > 0 \quad (13)$$

is called (N, k) -well posed iff there exist $N \in \mathbf{N}^*$, $k \in \mathbf{N}$, with $0 \leq k \leq N$ and a locally bounded function $p : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ such that for all $u_0 \in \mathcal{D}(A^N)$, there exists a unique solution $u \in C^1([0, \infty), E)$ of the (ACP) with

$$\|u(t)\| \leq p(t) \|u_0\|_k \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

where

$$\|u_0\|_k := \|u_0\| + \|Au_0\| + \dots + \|A^k u_0\|.$$

Here $\|\cdot\|_k$ is the graph norm of the Banach space $[\mathcal{D}(A^k)] := (\mathcal{D}(A^k), \|\cdot\|_k)$.

If, in addition, we can choose $p(t) = Me^{\omega t}$, $t \geq 0$ then the (ACP) is called exponentially (N, k) -well posed.

The connection between generators of integrated semigroups and exponentially well posed (ACP) is given by the following theorem (see F. Neubrander [10], th. 4.2).

Theorem 2.2. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}(E)$ be a linear operator on a Banach space E with nonempty resolvent set $\rho(A)$. Then the following assertions hold.

(i) If A generates an $(N-1)$ -t.i.s., then (ACP) is exponentially $(N, N-1)$ -well posed.

(ii) If A is densely defined and if (ACP) is exponentially $(N, N-1)$ -well posed then A generates an $(N-1)$ -t.i.s.

Theorem 2.3. (see [3], [8]). Let $a \in S_{\rho,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be a strongly Carleman symbol, where $m > 0$, $\rho \in (0, 1]$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$. Then the following assertions are equivalent.

(i) $\rho(A_p) \neq \emptyset$ and $\sup_{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n} \operatorname{Re} a(\xi) \leq \omega$ for some $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$;

(ii) There exists $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that the operator A_p generates an N -t.i.s on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Remark 2.2. From the proof of Theorem 2.3 the estimate: $N > n|1/2 - 1/p|(m + 1 - \rho)/b$ follows.

Remark 2.3. The assertions (i), (ii) in Theorem 2.3 are equivalent to (iii) (ACP) is exponentially $(N+1, N)$ -well posed provided a is elliptic (or, hypoelliptic, of polynomial function type).

Remark 2.4. If $\rho = 1$ and if $a \in S_{1,0}^m$ is a polynomial, then Theorem 2.3 yields Theorem 5.1 in M. Hieber [7].

Theorem 2.4. (see [3], [8]). Let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and let $a \in S_{\rho,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be a strongly Carleman symbol. Then the following assertions are equivalent.

(i) $\sup \operatorname{Re} \sigma(A_p) \in \mathbf{R} \Leftrightarrow (\sigma(A_p) \subset \{z \in \mathbf{C}; \operatorname{Re} z \leq \omega\})$ for some $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$;

(ii) There exists $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that A_p generates an N -t.i.s. on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Remark 2.5. From Theorems 2.2, 2.3, 2.4 we deduce that the following assertions are equivalent:

(i) $\rho(A_p) \neq \emptyset$ and $\sup_{\xi \in \mathbf{R}^n} \operatorname{Re} a(\xi) \leq \omega$ for some $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$;

(ii) $\sup \operatorname{Re} \sigma(A_p) \in \mathbf{R}$;

(iii) There exists $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that A_p generates an N -t.i.s. on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Remark 2.6. The previous remark is similar to Theorem 4.6 in M. Hieber [8].

3. The incomplete second order abstract Cauchy problem

Now we turn to the (ACP_2) (1). We suppose that one considers those values of $1 \leq p < \infty$ for which $\rho(A_p) \neq \emptyset$ (see for example Proposition 2.1 in the case of a strongly Carleman symbol).

A function $u : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(A_p)$, $u \in C^2(\mathbf{R}_+, L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))$ will be called a solution of (ACP_2) iff it satisfies (ACP_2) (1).

The following lemmas give some connections between (ACP_2) (1) and (ACP_r) (3) as well as between the operators A_p and B_p which define this problems.

Lemma 3.1. (ACP_2) (1) has a unique solution $u \in C^2(\mathbf{R}_+, L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))$ if and only if (ACP_r) (3) has a unique solution $w = (u, u')$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $A_p : \mathcal{D}(A_p) \subset L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and let $B_p : \mathcal{D}(A_p) \times L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow (L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^2$, $B_p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ A_p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ be the operators which define respectively (ACP_2) (1) and (ACP_r) (3). Then the following assertions hold.

(i) B_p is a closed operators on $(L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^2$.

(ii) The resolvent set of A_p , $\rho(A_p) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if the resolvent set of B_p , $\rho(B_p) \neq \emptyset$. Moreover, for $\lambda \in \mathbf{C}$, $\lambda^2 \in \rho(A_p)$ we have $\lambda \in \rho(B_p)$ and

$$R(\lambda, B_p) = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda R(\lambda^2, A_p) & R(\lambda^2, A_p) \\ A_p R(\lambda^2, A_p) & \lambda R(\lambda^2, A_p) \end{pmatrix}.$$

(iii) $\mathcal{D}(B_p^{2N}) = \mathcal{D}(A_p^N) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^N)$ and for all $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}(B_p^{2N})$:

$$\|u\|_N + \|v\|_N \leq 2\|(u, v)\|_{2N}^{B_p} \leq 4(\|u\|_N + \|v\|_N),$$

where $\|\cdot\|_N$ denotes the graph norm of the Banach space $[\mathcal{D}(A_p)] = (\mathcal{D}(A_p^N), \|\cdot\|_N)$ (i.e. $\|u\|_N = \|u\|_p + \|A_p u\|_p + \dots + \|A_p^N u\|_p$, $u \in \mathcal{D}(A_p^N)$).

(iv) $\mathcal{D}(B_p^{2N-1}) = \mathcal{D}(A_p^N) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^{N-1})$ and for all $(u, v) \in \mathcal{D}(B_p^{2N-1})$ we have

$$\|u\|_N + \|v\|_{N-1} \leq 2\|(u, v)\|_{2N-1}^{B_p} \leq 4(\|u\|_N + \|v\|_{N-1}).$$

The proofs of these lemmas are obvious and are omitted.

Definition 3.1. ([10]). Let $A \in L_{\rho,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\rho \in (0, 1]$, $m > 0$, be a pseudo-differential operator such that his symbol $a \in S_{\rho,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ is a strongly Carleman symbol with coercivity constant, $b > 0$. Moreover, suppose that $a(\mathbf{R}^n) \neq \mathbf{C}$ and let $1 \leq p < \infty$ satisfy one of the conditions (i)-(vi) in Proposition 2.1. Then

(i) (ACP_2) (1) is $2N$ -well posed if and only if there exists a locally bounded function $q : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ such that (ACP_2) has unique solution $u \in C^2(\mathbf{R}_+, L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))$ for all $(u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{D}(A_p^N) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^N)$, $N \geq 1$, satisfying

$$\|u(t)\|_p \leq q(t)(\|u_0\|_{N-1} + \|u_1\|_{N-1}), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}_+.$$

(ii) (ACP_2) (1) is $(2N + 1)$ -well posed if and only if there exists a locally bounded function $q : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ such that (ACP_2) has unique solution $u \in C^2(\mathbf{R}_+, L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))$ for all

$$(u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{D}(A_p^{N+1}) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^N) \text{ satisfying}$$

$$\|u(t)\|_p \leq q(t)(\|u_0\|_N + \|u_1\|_{N-1}), \quad t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

If we can choose $q(t) = Ce^{\omega t}$, $C > 0$, $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$, $t \in \mathbf{R}_+$, then (ACP_2) will be called exponentially M -well posed (where $M = 2N$ or $M = 2N + 1$).

We follow now F. Neubrander's point of view and can state the following results.

Theorem 3.1. Let $A \in L_{\rho,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be a pseudo-differential operators as in definition 3.1. Let $A_p : \mathcal{D}(A_p) \subset L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be a closed extension of A to $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and let $B_p : \mathcal{D}(A_p) \times L^p(\mathbf{R}^n) \rightarrow (L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^2$, $B_p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I \\ A_p & 0 \end{pmatrix}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) (ACP_2) (1) M -well posed (respectively exponentially M -well posed)
- (ii) (ACP_r) (3) $(M, M - 1)$ -well posed (respectively exponentially $(M, M - 1)$ -well posed).

Proof. Suppose (ACP_2) (1) is $2N$ -well posed, i.e. there exists a locally bounded function $q : \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ such that for all $(u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{D}(A_p^N) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^N) = \mathcal{D}(B_p^{2N})$ there exist unique solution $u \in C^2(\mathbf{R}_+, L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))$ satisfying

$$\|u(t)\|_p \leq q(t)(\|u_0\|_{N-1} + \|u_1\|_{N-1}), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (14)$$

By Lemma 3.2 and (14) we obtain

$$\|u(t)\|_p \leq q(t)(\|u_0\|_N + \|u_1\|_{N-1}) \leq 2q(t)\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{2N-1}^{B_p}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (15)$$

We know by Lemma 3.1 that $u \in C^2(\mathbf{R}_+, L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))$ is a solution of (ACP_2) (1) iff $w = (u, u') \in C^1(\mathbf{R}_+, L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^2$ is a solution of (ACP_r) (3).

Then, combining Lemma 7.7 in [10] and Lemma 3.2 we get

$$\|u'(t)\|_p \leq q(t)(\|u_0\|_N + \|u_1\|_{N-1}) \leq 2q(t)\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{2N-1}^{B_p}, \quad t \geq 0. \quad (16)$$

Therefore by (15), (16) we obtain

$$\|(u(t), u'(t))\| = \max(\|u(t)\|_p, \|u'(t)\|_p) \leq 2q(t)\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{2N-1}^{B_p}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (17)$$

whence (ACP_r) (3) is $(2N, 2N-1)$ -well posed. Conversely let us suppose that (ACP_r) (3) is $(2N, 2N-1)$ -well posed, i.e. there exists a locally bounded function $p: \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ such that for all $w^0 = (u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{D}(B_p^{2N}) = \mathcal{D}(A_p^N) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^N)$ there exist unique solution $w = (u, v) \in C^1(\mathbf{R}_+, (L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^2)$ satisfying

$$\begin{aligned} \|w(t)\| &= \max(\|u(t)\|_p, \|v(t)\|_p) \leq p(t)\|(u_0, u_1)\|_{2N-1}^{B_p} \leq \\ &\leq 2p(t)(\|u_0\|_{N-1} + \|u_1\|_{N-1}), \quad t \geq 0. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

By Lemma 3.1 and (18) we see that (ACP_2) (1) has unique solution $u \in C^2(\mathbf{R}_+, L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))$ with initial values $(u_0, u_1) = w^0 \in \mathcal{D}(A_p^N) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^N)$ such that

$$\|u(t)\|_p \leq 2p(t)(\|u_0\|_{N-1} + \|u_1\|_{N-1}), \quad t \geq 0. \quad (19)$$

By (19) we get that (ACP_2) (1) is $2N$ -well posed. The proof in case $M = 2N + 1$ requires only obvious modifications.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $A \in L_{p,0}^m(\mathbf{R}^n)$, A_p and B_p be as in Theorem 3.1. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) (ACP_r) (3) has unique solution for all $w = (u, v) \in \mathcal{D}(B_p^M)$;
- (ii) (ACP_r) (3) is $(M, M-1)$ well posed;
- (iii) (ACP_2) (1) is M -well posed;
- (iv) (ACP_2) (1) has unique solution for all $w = (u, v) \in \mathcal{D}(A_p^N) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^N)$ (or for all $w = (u, v) \in \mathcal{D}(A_p^{N+1}) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^N)$).

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (iv). This follows by Lemma 3.1.

(iv) \Rightarrow (iii) We prove this for $w = (u, v) \in \mathcal{D}(A_p^N) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^N)$. The proof for $w = (u, v) \in \mathcal{D}(A_p^{N+1}) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^N)$ requires only some modifications.

By hypothesis and by Lemma 3.2 the operator B_p has a nonempty resolvent set and by Lemma 3.1, (ACP_r) (3) has unique solution $w(t) = (u(t), u'(t))$ for all $w = (u, v) \in \mathcal{D}(B_p^{2N}) = \mathcal{D}(A_p^N) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^N)$. Hence by Theorem 3.1 (iii) (see [10]) and by Lemma 3.2, there exists a locally bounded function $p: \mathbf{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_+$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_0^t w(s) ds \right\| &= \max \left(\left\| \int_0^t u(s) ds \right\|_p, \left\| \int_0^t u'(s) ds \right\|_p \right) = \\ &= \max \left(\left\| \int_0^t u(s) ds \right\|_p, \|u(t) - u\|_p \right) \leq \\ &\leq p(t)\|(u, v)\|_{2N-2}^{B_p} \leq 2p(t)(\|u\|_{N-1} + \|v\|_{N-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

By Lemma 3.1 the first coordinate $u(t)$ of the solution $w(t) = (u(t), u'(t))$ of (ACP_r) (3) is a solution of (ACP_2) (1) and by (20) implies that (ACP_2) (1) is $2N$ -well posed.

(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) This is contained in Theorem 3.1.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) This follows from Definition 2.6.

Theorem 3.3. *Under the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (i) B_p generates an $(M - 1)$ -t.i.s. on $(L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^2$;
 (ii) (ACP_2) (1) is exponentially M -well posed.

Proof. By Theorem 2.2, B_p generates an $(M - 1)$ -t.i.s. on $(L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^2$ iff (ACP_r) (3) is exponentially $(M, M - 1)$ -well posed iff (ACP_2) (1) is exponentially M -well posed. The last equivalence holds by Theorem 3.2.

Remark 3.1. All considerations above still hold if we replace (ACP_2) (1) by (ACP_2) :

$$u''(t) = (aA_p + bI)u(t), \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1 \quad t > 0, \quad a, b \in \mathbf{R}, \quad a \neq 0. \quad (21)$$

4. The complete second order abstract Cauchy problem

In this section we study (ACP_2) (2) by reducing it to (ACP_r)

$$\begin{aligned} w'(t) &= \tilde{B}_{p,q} w(t) \\ w(0) &= (w_0, w_1) = (u_0, u_1), \end{aligned} \quad (22)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{B}_{p,q} &: \mathcal{D}(\tilde{B}_{p,q}) \subset (L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^2 \rightarrow (L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^2, \\ \tilde{B}_{p,q} &= \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda_0 - A_p)^{2q} & 0 \\ 0 & (\lambda_0 - A_p)^{2q} \end{pmatrix} \times \\ &\times \begin{pmatrix} 0 & R(\lambda_0, A_p)^{2q} \\ (aA_p^{2q} + bA_p^q + cI)R(\lambda_0, A_p)^{2q} & A_p^q R(\lambda_0, A_p)^{2q} \end{pmatrix}, \\ \mathcal{D}(\tilde{B}_{p,q}) &= \{(u, v) \in (L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^2; \\ &[(aA_p^{2q} + bA_p^q + cI)R(\lambda_0, A_p)^{2q}u + A_p^q R(\lambda_0, A_p)^{2q}v] \in \mathcal{D}(A_p^{2q})\} \end{aligned}$$

and $\lambda_0 \in \rho(A_p)$. Remark that $\tilde{B}_{p,q}$ is the closure of $B_{p,q}$. We see that if $u(\cdot)$ is a solution of (2) then $w(t) = (u(t), u'(t))$ is a solution of (22). Conversely, if $w(t) = (u(t), v(t))$ is a solution of (22) then the first coordinate $u(\cdot)$ is not necessarily a solution of (2), but only of

$$\begin{aligned} u''(t) - (\lambda_0 - A_p)^{2q} [A_p^q R(\lambda_0, A_p)^{2q} u'(t) + \\ + (aA_p^{2q} + bA_p^q + cI)R(\lambda_0, A_p)^{2q} u(t)] = 0, \quad u(0) = u_0, \quad u'(0) = u_1. \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Hence following F. Neubrander (see [10]) we can give

Definition 4.1. Let $\lambda_0 \in \rho(A_p)$. A function $u \in C^2(\mathbf{R}_+, L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))$ with

$$[A_p^q R(\lambda_0, A_p)^{2q} u'(t) + (aA_p^{2q} + bA_p^q + cI)R(\lambda_0, A_p)^{2q} u(t)] \in \mathcal{D}(A_p^{2q}),$$

for all $t \geq 0$ which satisfy (23) is called a **mild solution** of (2).

Suppose now that $\tilde{B}_{p,q}$ generates an $(N - 1)$ -t.i.s. on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)^2$. Then (22) has a unique solutions $w(t) = (u(t), u'(t))$ for all $(u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{D}(\tilde{B}_{p,q}^N)$ which contains $\mathcal{D}(A_p^{(N+1)q}) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^{Nq})$. Hence u is the unique mild solution of (2) and applying Theorem 4.5 (d) and Theorem 3.1 (iii) (see [10]), for suitable constants $M > 0$, $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$ we get

$$\|u'(t)\|_p \leq \max(\|u(t)\|_p, \|u'(t)\|_p) = \|w(t)\| \leq Me^{\omega t} \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{N-1}^{B_{p,q}},$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - u_0\|_p &\leq \max\left(\left\|\int_0^t u(s)ds\right\|_p, \left\|\int_0^t u'(s)ds\right\|_p\right) = \\ &= \left\|\int_0^t w(s)ds\right\| \leq Me^{\omega t} \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{N-2}^{B_{p,q}}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, if $(u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{D}(A_p^{(N+1)q}) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^{Nq}) \subset \mathcal{D}(\tilde{B}_{p,q}^N)$ then it can be easily seen that there is a constant such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u'(t)\|_p &\leq Me^{\omega t} \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{N-1}^{B_{p,q}} \leq Ce^{\omega t} \|u_0\|_{Nq} + \|u_1\|_{(N-1)q} \\ \|u(t)\|_p &\leq Me^{\omega t} \|(u_0, u_1)\|_{N-2}^{B_{p,q}} \leq Ce^{\omega t} \|u_0\|_{(N-1)q} + \|u_1\|_{(N-2)q} \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Now we can state

Proposition 4.1. *If $\tilde{B}_{p,q}$ generates an $(N-1)$ -t.i.s on $(L^p(\mathbf{R}^n))^2$, then (ACP_2) (2) has unique mild solution for (at least) all initial data $(u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{D}(A_p^{(N+1)q}) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^{Nq})$, which depend continuously on the initial data as it can be seen in (24).*

In the sequel we want to know when the resolvent set of $\tilde{B}_{p,q}$ is nonempty and then to determine the resolvent of the operator $\tilde{B}_{p,q}$.

First of all remark that $\lambda \in \rho(\tilde{B}_{p,q})$ iff $\zeta_k^j(\lambda) \in \rho(A_p)$, $j = 1, 2, k = 0, 1, \dots, q-1$, where $\zeta_k^j(\lambda)$ are the roots of the equations $\zeta^q - f_j(\lambda) = 0$ and

$$f_j(\lambda) = \{-\lambda - b \pm [(1+4a)\lambda^2 + 2b\lambda + b^2 - 4ac]^{1/2}\}/2a.$$

Hence if $\lambda \in \rho(\tilde{B}_{p,q})$ then

$$R(\lambda, \tilde{B}_{p,q}) = \begin{pmatrix} (\lambda - A_p^q)R(\lambda) & R(\lambda) \\ (aA_p^{2q} + bA_p^q + cI)R(\lambda) & \lambda R(\lambda) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (25)$$

where

$$R(\lambda) = - \prod_{j=1}^2 \prod_{k=0}^{q-1} R(\zeta_k^j(\lambda), A_p)/a = g(\lambda) \prod_{k=0}^{q-1} (R(\zeta_k^1(\lambda), A_p) - R(\zeta_k^2(\lambda), A_p)),$$

if we set

$$g(\lambda) = - \prod_{k=0}^{q-1} (\zeta_k^2(\lambda) - \zeta_k^1(\lambda))^{-1}/a.$$

Let $H_\omega = \{\zeta_k^j(\lambda); \lambda \in \mathbf{C}, \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega, j = 1, 2; k = 0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$. Then if $\tilde{B}_{p,q}$ is the generator of an integrated semigroup it is necessary that there is a $\omega > 0$ such that $H_\omega \subset \rho(A_p)$.

Let us suppose that

$$\|R(\lambda, A_p)\| \leq p(|\lambda|), \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in H_\omega, \quad (26)$$

where p is a polynomial.

Then by (25), (26) it can be seen that there exists a polynomial \tilde{p} such that

$$\|R(\lambda, \tilde{B}_{p,q})\| \leq \tilde{p}(|\lambda|), \quad \text{for all } \lambda \in \mathbf{C}, \operatorname{Re} \lambda > \omega. \quad (27)$$

Combining Corollary 4.9 and Theorem 4.8 in [10] we obtain

Proposition 4.2. *Suppose that there exists $\omega > 0$ such that $R(\lambda, A_p)$ exists and satisfies (26) for every $\lambda \in H_\omega$. Then there exists $N \in \mathbf{N}^*$ such that for all $(u_0, u_1) \in \mathcal{D}(A_p^{(N+1)q}) \times \mathcal{D}(A_p^{Nq})$, (ACP_2) (2) has a unique mild solution satisfying (24).*

Remark 4.1. *In the case $q = 1$ we obtain the results given in [10] (section 8).*

References

- [1] W. Arendt, Resolvent positive operators and integrated semigroups, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **54**(3), 321-349 (1987).
- [2] W. Arendt, Vector valued Laplace transforms and Cauchy problems, *Israel J. Math.*, **59**, 327-352 (1987).
- [3] V. Catană, Spectral theory of strongly Carleman pseudo-differential operators and Cauchy problems on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$ spaces, *Papaer presented at the 3-rd French-Romanian Colloque, Cluj-Napoca, 23-27 september 1996*, 1996.
- [4] M. Hieber, H. Kellerman, Integrated semigroups, *J. Funct. Analysis*, **84**, 160-180 (1989).
- [5] M. Hieber, Integrated semigroups and differential operators on L^p spaces, *Math. Ann.*, **291**, 1-16 (1991).
- [6] M. Hieber, Integrated semigroups and the Cauchy problem for systems in L^p spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **162**, 300-308 (1991).
- [7] M. Hieber, Spectral theory and Cauchy problems on L^p spaces, *Math. Z.*, **216**, 613-628 (1994).
- [8] M. Hieber, L^p spectra of pseudo-differential operators generating integrated semigroups, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **347**, 4023-4035 (1995).
- [9] M. Mijatović, S. Pilipović, Integrated semigroups and distribution semigroups-Cauchy problem, *Mathematica Montisnigri*, **XI**, 43-65 (1999).
- [10] F. Neubrander, Integrated semigroups and their applications to the abstract Cauchy problems, *Pacific J. Math.*, **135**(1), 111-155 (1988).
- [11] F. Neubrander, On the relation between the semigroup and its infinitesimal generator, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **100**(1), 104-108 (1987).
- [12] H.R. Thieme, Integrated semigroups and integrated solutions to abstract Cauchy problems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **152**, 416-447 (1990).
- [13] X. Tijun, L. Jin, Differential operators and C -wellposedness of complete second order abstract Cauchy problems, *Pacific J. Math.*, **186**(1), 167-200 (1998).
- [14] M. W. Wong, Spectra of pseudodifferential operators on $L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)$, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **4**, 1389-1401 (1979).
- [15] M. W. Wong, L^p spectra of strongly Carleman pseudodifferential operators, *J. Funct. Analysis*, **44**, 163-173 (1981).
- [16] M. W. Wong, Spectral analysis of strongly Carleman pseudodifferential operators, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **21**, 527-540 (1980).
- [17] M. W. Wong, On some spectral properties of elliptic pseudodifferential operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **99**, 683-689 (1987).
- [18] M. W. Wong, Essential spectra of elliptic pseudodifferential operators, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations*, **13**(10), 1209-1221 (1988).

(Viorel Catană) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS I
 UNIVERSITY POLITEHNICA OF BUCHAREST
 SPLAIUL INDEPENDENȚEI 313, 77206 BUCHAREST, ROMANIA
 E-mail address: catana@mathem.pub.ro