## A quenching result of weak solutions of semi-linear parabolic equations

DAO NGUYEN ANH, NGUYEN VAN BAY, AND DANG PHUOC TAN

ABSTRACT. We prove a global existence of weak solutions of semi-linear parabolic equations with a singular absorption. In particular, we show that any weak solution vanishes after a finite time under some circumstances. Our results improve the one of Montenegro, [16]

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K55, 35K67, 35K65. Key words and phrases. Global existence, quenching type of parabolic equations.

## 1. Introduction

In this paper, we study nonnegative solutions of the following equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u - \Delta u + u^{-\beta} \chi_{\{u>0\}} = \lambda f(u) & \text{in } \Omega \times (0,T), \\ u(x,t) = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega \times (0,T), \\ u(x,0) = u_0(x) & \text{in } \Omega, \end{cases}$$
(1)

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain in  $\mathbb{R}^N$ ,  $\beta \in (0, 1)$ ,  $\lambda > 0$ , and  $\chi_{\{u>0\}}$  is the characteristic function, i.e:

$$\chi_{\{u>0\}} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } u > 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } u \le 0. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that the absorption term  $u^{-\beta}\chi_{\{u>0\}}$  becomes singular when u is near to 0, and we impose  $u^{-\beta}\chi_{\{u>0\}} = 0$  whenever u = 0. Through this paper, f is assumed a locally Lipschitz nonnegative function, and f(0) = 0.

Problem (1) can be considered as a limit of mathematical models describing enzymatic kinetics or the Langmuir-Hinshelwood model of the heterogeneous chemical catalyst, and it has been studied by the authors in [17], [12], [15], [9], [6], [5], [7], [8], [10], [17] and references therein. These authors have considered the existence and uniqueness, and the qualitative behavior of solutions of equation (1). One of the most interesting behaviors of solutions of equation (1) is the quenching phenomenon that any solution of equation (1) vanishes after a finite time, even begin with a positive initial data. Let us remind some results involving the quenching phenomenon. When f = 0, Phillips [17] showed that any solution is extinct after a finite time. Moreover, Montenegro [16] considered the case where f is sublinear. The author proved that there is a real number  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that for any  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ , there is  $t_0 > 0$  such that

$$u(x,t_0) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \Omega.$$

Received May 29, 2018. Accepted December 5, 2018.

And he called this phenomenon complete quenching. In this paper, we show that the complete quenching result still holds for a general f(u) provided either small initial data, or small  $\lambda$ . We emphasize that our approach is different from the one used by Montenegro [16]. Then our complete quenching results are as follows:

**Theorem 1.1.** For a given  $\lambda > 0$ , then every solution of (1) quenches after a finite time if  $||u_0||_{\infty}$  is small enough.

**Theorem 1.2.** For a given  $u_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , there is a real number  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that every solution of equation (1) quenches after a finite time if  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$ .

Note that the nonlinear source f(u) may cause the blowing-up of solutions of (1). Thus, in order to prove Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, it is necessary to show first the global existence of solutions. Then, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $u_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , and  $\lambda > 0$ . Assume that there is an open bounded domain  $\Omega_0$ , and a positive real number  $k_0$  such that  $\Omega \subset \subset \Omega_0$ , and

$$\begin{cases} u_0(x) \le k_0 \Phi_{\Omega_0}(x), & \text{for } a.e \ x \in \Omega, \\ k_0 \lambda_{\Omega_0} \Phi_{\Omega_0}(x) + k_0^{-\beta} \Phi_{\Omega_0}^{-\beta}(x) \ge \lambda f(k_0 \Phi_{\Omega_0}(x)), \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \end{cases}$$
(2)

Then, any solution u of equation (1) exists globally and satisfies

$$u(x,t) \le k_0 \Phi_{\Omega_0}(x), \quad in \ \Omega \times (0,\infty), \tag{3}$$

where  $\lambda_{\Omega_0}$  (resp.  $\Phi_{\Omega_0}$ ) is the first eigenvalue (resp. the first eigenfunction) of the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta \Phi_{\Omega_0} = \lambda_{\Omega_0} \Phi_{\Omega_0} & \text{in } \Omega_0, \\ \Phi_{\Omega_0}(x) = 0, & \text{on } \partial \Omega_0. \end{cases}$$
(4)

**Remark 1.1.** For a given  $\lambda > 0$ ; if  $u_0$  is small enough then (2) holds, and conversely. Thus, we obtain the global existence of solutions if either  $u_0$  or  $\lambda$  is small enough.

Before giving the proof of the above Theorem, let us define a weak solution of (1).

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $0 \le u_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . A nonnegative function u(x,t) is called a weak solution of equation (1) if  $u^{-\beta}\chi_{\{u>0\}} \in L^1(\Omega \times (0,T))$ , and

 $u \in L^2(0,T; W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)) \cap L^{\infty}(\Omega \times (0,T)) \cap \mathcal{C}([0,T); L^1(\Omega))$  satisfies equation (1) in the sense of distributions  $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega \times (0,T))$ , i.e.

$$\int_0^T \int_\Omega \left( -u\phi_t + \nabla u \cdot \nabla \phi + u^{-\beta} \chi_{\{u>0\}} \phi - f(u, x, t)\phi \right) dx dt = 0, \quad \forall \phi \in \mathcal{C}_c^\infty(\Omega \times (0, T)).$$

Next, we recall a local existence of solution of (1) proved by Dao et al. [4].

**Theorem 1.4.** Let  $0 \le u_0 \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ . Then, there exists a finite time  $T = T(u_0) > 0$ such that equation (1) has a maximal weak solution u in  $\Omega \times (0,T)$ . Moreover, there is a positive constant  $C = C(f, ||u_0||_{\infty})$  such that

$$|\nabla u(x,\tau)|^2 \le C u^{1-\beta} \left(\tau^{-1} + 1\right), \quad \text{for a.e} \ (x,\tau) \in \Omega \times (0,T).$$
(5)

Besides, if  $\nabla(u_0^{\overline{\gamma}}) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$ , with  $\gamma = \frac{2}{1+\beta}$ , then there is a positive constant  $C = C(f, u_0)$  such that

$$|\nabla u(x,\tau)|^2 \le C u^{1-\beta}(x,\tau), \quad for \ a.e \ (x,\tau) \in \Omega \times (0,T).$$
(6)

## 2. Global existence and complete quenching phenomenon of solutions

In this part, we study the global existence and the complete quenching phenomenon of solution through proving Theorem 1.1, 1.2, 1.3. Since u is the maximal solution, it is then sufficient to consider u.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3:** Let us first recall an approximating equation of (1):

$$(P_{\varepsilon}) \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \partial_t u_{\varepsilon} - \Delta u_{\varepsilon} + g_{\varepsilon}(u_{\varepsilon}) = \lambda f(u_{\varepsilon}) & \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u_{\varepsilon} = 0 & \text{ on } \partial \Omega \times (0, \infty), \\ u_{\varepsilon}(0) = u_0 & \text{ on } \Omega, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $g_{\varepsilon}(s) = s^{-\beta}\psi_{\varepsilon}(s)$ , with  $\psi_{\varepsilon}$  is a cut-off function with its value 0 in a neighborhood of s = 0 to avoid the singularity of  $s^{-\beta}$  at s = 0, see [4], [5].

Now, let u be the maximal solution of equation (1) in  $\Omega \times (0, T)$ . To prove that u exists globally, we show that u is bounded by a constant not depending on t.

We first remind that  $\inf_{x \in \Omega} \{ \Phi_{\Omega_0}(x) \} > 0$ , for every  $\Omega \subset \subset \Omega_0$ .

Thus, for any 
$$\varepsilon \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2} \inf_{x \in \Omega} \{k_0 \Phi_{\Omega_0}(x)\}\right)$$
, we have  $g_{\varepsilon}(k_0 \Phi_{\Omega_0}) = k_0^{-\beta} \Phi_{\Omega_0}^{-\beta}$ .  
Put

$$\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}(v) := v_t - \Delta v + g_{\varepsilon}(v) - \lambda f(v)$$

Then,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}(k_0\Phi_{\Omega_0}) = k_0\lambda_1(\Omega_0)\Phi_{\Omega_0} + k_0^{-\beta}\Phi_{\Omega_0}^{-\beta} - \lambda f(k_0\Phi_{\Omega_0}).$$
(7)

By (2), we observe that  $\mathcal{L}_{\varepsilon}(k_0\Phi_{\Omega_0}) \geq 0$ , in  $\Omega \times (0,T)$ . Therefore,  $k_0\Phi_{\Omega_0}$  is a supersolution of equation  $(P_{\varepsilon})$ . The strong comparison theorem yields

$$u_{\varepsilon}(x,t) \leq k_0 \Phi_{\Omega_0}(x), \quad \forall (x,t) \in \Omega \times (0,T).$$

Since  $u_{\varepsilon}$  is bounded on  $\Omega \times (0, T)$ , the standard argument allows us to extend the existence of  $u_{\varepsilon}$  on  $\Omega \times (0, T + \delta_0)$ ,  $\Omega \times (0, T + 2\delta_0)$ ,..., for some  $\delta_0 > 0$ , thereby proves the global existence of solution  $u_{\varepsilon}$ . Furthermore, we note that  $u_{\varepsilon}$  converges decreasingly to u above when  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , see [4]. Thus, u must exist globally, and the conclusion (3) follows immediately.  $\Box$ 

Next, fixed  $\lambda > 0$  we show that the maximal solution u must vanish identically after a finite time if  $||u_0||_{\infty}$  is small enough.

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Since  $||u_0||_{\infty}$  is small enough, we can choose a real number  $k_0 > 0$  small as well, and an open bounded domain  $\Omega_0$  containing  $\Omega$ , such that (2) holds. Thanks to Theorem 1.3, the maximal solution u exists globally, and it is bounded by  $\alpha = k_0 \sup_{x \in \Omega} \{\Phi_{\Omega_0}(x)\}$ . Note that  $\alpha$  is as small as  $||u_0||_{\infty}$ .

Using the test function u to equation (1) gives us

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Omega}u^{2}(t)dx + \int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u(t)|^{2} + u^{1-\beta}(t)\right)dx = \lambda\int_{\Omega}f(u)u \ dx$$
$$\leq \lambda\alpha^{\beta}\max_{0\leq u\leq \alpha}\{f(u)\}\int_{\Omega}u^{1-\beta}(t)dx.$$

Or

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Omega}u^{2}(t)dx + \int_{\Omega}|\nabla u(t)|^{2}dx + (1-c)\int_{\Omega}u^{1-\beta}(t)dx \le 0,$$
(8)

where  $c = \lambda \alpha^{\beta} \max_{0 \le u \le \alpha} \{f(u)\}$  tends to 0 as  $\alpha \to 0$ . Thus,  $(1 - c_{\alpha}) > c_0 > 0$ , provided that  $||u_0||_{\infty}$  is small enough.

It follows from (8) that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\Omega}u^{2}(t)dx + c_{0}\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u(t)|^{2}dx + u^{1-\beta}(t)\right)dx \leq 0.$$
(9)

Now, using Garliardo-Nirenberg's inequality yields

$$\|u(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq c \|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}^{\theta} \|u(t)\|_{L^{1}(\Omega)}^{1-\theta} = c \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u(t)|^{2} dx\right)^{\frac{\theta}{2}} \left(\int_{\Omega} u(t) dx\right)^{1-\theta}$$
  
with  $\theta = \frac{N+1}{2}$ . Thus

with  $\theta = \frac{N+1}{N+2}$ . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t)\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} &\leq c \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u(t)|^{2} + u(t) \right) dx \right)^{\frac{\theta}{2} + 1 - \theta} \\ &\leq c \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u(t)|^{2} + \alpha^{\beta} u^{1 - \beta}(t) \right) dx \right)^{1 - \theta/2} \leq c_{1} \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u(t)|^{2} + u^{1 - \beta}(t) \right) dx \right)^{1 - \theta/2} \end{aligned}$$
with  $c_{1} = c_{1}(\beta, \alpha, N) > 0$ 

with  $c_1 = c_1(\beta, \alpha, N) > 0$ . Then, we obtain

$$\left(\int_{\Omega} u^2(t)dx\right)^{\frac{N+2}{N+3}} \le c_2 \int_{\Omega} \left(|\nabla u(t)|^2 + u^{1-\beta}(t)\right)dx,\tag{10}$$

with  $c_2 = c_2(\beta, \alpha, N) > 0.$ 

By (9) and (10), there is a positive constant  $c_3 = c_3(\beta, \alpha, N) > 0$  such that

$$y'(t) + c_3 y^{\frac{N+2}{N+3}}(t) \le 0, \quad \text{for } t > 0,$$
 (11)

with  $y(t) = \int_{\Omega} |u(x,t)|^2 dx.$ 

If we can show that there exists a time  $t_0 \in [0, \infty)$  such that  $y(t_0) = 0$ , it follows then from (11) that y(t) = 0, for any  $t \ge t_0$ , thereby proves Theorem 1.1.

Indeed, we assume a contradiction that y(t) > 0, for any t > 0. Solving the ordinary differential inequality (11) yields

$$y^{\frac{1}{N+3}}(t) + c_4 t \le \|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{2}{N+3}}, \quad c_4 = \frac{c_3}{N+3}, \quad \forall t > 0,$$
 (12)

which leads to a contradiction as t is sufficiently large. Thus, u must quench after a finite time.  $\Box$ 

Similarly, we obtain the complete quenching result for Theorem 1.2.

**Remark 2.1.** It follows from (12) that the extinction time of u, denoted by

$$T^{\star} \le \frac{\|u_0\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^{\frac{1}{N+3}}}{c_4}$$

## References

- H.T. Banks, Modeling and control in the biomedical sciences, Lecture Notes in Biomathematics, Vol. 6. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1975.
- [2] L. Boccardo, F. Murat, Almost everywhere convergence of the gradients of solutions to Elliptic and Parabolic equations, Nonlinear Anal. Theory, Methods and Applications 19 (1992), no. 6, 581–597.
- [3] E. Coddington, N. Levinson, Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955.
- [4] N.T. Duy, A.N. Dao, Blow-up of solutions to singular parabolic equations with nonlinear sources, Elec. Jour. Diff. Equa. 2018 (2018), 1–12.
- [5] A.N. Dao, J.I. Díaz, The extinction versus the blow-up: Global and non-global existence of solutions of source types of degenerate parabolic equations with a singular absorption, J. Differential Equations 263 (2017), 6764–6804.
- [6] A.N. Dao, J.I. Díaz, A gradient estimate to a degenerate parabolic equation with a singular absorption term: global and local quenching phenomena, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 437 (2016), 445–473.
- [7] A.N. Dao, J.I. Díaz, H.V. Kha, Complete quenching phenomenon and instantaneous shrinking of support of solutions of degenerate parabolic equations with nonlinear absorption, To appear in Proceedings of the Royal Society of Edinburgh Section A: Mathematics.
- [8] A.N. Dao, J.I. Díaz, P. Sauvy, Quenching phenomenon of singular parabolic problem with L<sup>1</sup> initial data, *Elec. Jour. Diff. Equa.* 2016 (2016), no. 136, 1–16.
- J. Dávila, M. Montenegro, Existence and asymptotic behavior for a singular parabolic equation, Transactions of the AMS 357 (2004), 1801–1828.
- [10] J.I. Díaz, Nonlinear partial differential equations and free boundaries, Research Notes in Mathematics, vol. 106, Pitman, London, 1985.
- [11] J.I. Díaz, On the free boundary for quenching type parabolic problems via local energy methods, Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis 13 (2014), 1799–1814.
- [12] B. Kawohl, Remarks on Quenching, Doc. Math., J. DMV 1 (1996) 199–208.
- [13] O.A. Ladyzenskaja, V.A. Solonnikov, N.N. Uralceva, Linear and Quasi-Linear Equations of Parabolic Type, AMS 23, 1988.
- [14] H.A. Levine, The role of critical exponents in blowup theorems, SIAM Review 32 (1990), no. 2, 262–288.
- [15] H.A. Levine, Quenching and beyond: a survey of recent results, GAKUTO Internat. Ser. Math. Sci. Appl. 2 (1993), Nonlinear mathematical problems in industry II, Gakkotosho, Tokyo, 501– 512.
- [16] M. Montenegro, Complete quenching for singular parabolic problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 384 (2011), 591–596.
- [17] D. Phillips, Existence of solutions of quenching problems, Applicable Anal. 24 (1987), 253–264.

(Dao Nguyen Anh) APPLIED ANALYSIS RESEARCH GROUP, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, TON DUC THANG UNIVERSITY, HO CHI MINH CITY, VIETNAM *E-mail address*: daonguyenanh@tdtu.edu.vn

(Nguyen Van Bay) FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, TON DUC THANG UNIVERSITY, HO CHI MINH CITY, AND HUNG VUONG HIGH SCHOOL, PLEIKU, GIA LAI, VIETNAM *E-mail address*: ngvanbaygl@gmail.com

(Dang Phuoc Tan) FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, TON DUC THANG UNIVERSITY, HO CHI MINH CITY, AND PHAN BOI CHAU HIGH SCHOOL, PLEIKU, GIA LAI VIETNAM *E-mail address*: dangphuoctan@gmail.com