New generalized inequalities using arbitrary operator means and their duals

Leila Nasiri and Mojtaba Bakherad

ABSTRACT. In this article, we present some operator inequalities via arbitrary operator means and unital positive linear maps. For instance, we show that if $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ are two positive invertible operators such that $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M$ and σ is an arbitrary operator mean, then

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma B) \le K^p(h)\Phi^p(B\sigma^{\perp}A),$$

where σ^{\perp} is dual σ , $p \geq 0$ and $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$ is the classical Kantorovich constant. We also generalize the above inequality for two arbitrary means σ_1, σ_2 which lie between σ and σ^{\perp} .

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46L07, Secondary 47A63, 47A30, 16A60. Key words and phrases. Operator means, Kantorovich's constant, Positive linear maps.

1. Introduction

In this paper, $\mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ denote the C^* -algebra of all bounded linear operators on a complex Hilbert space $(\mathbb{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. I stands for the identity operator. A self-adjoint operator $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ is said to be positive if $\langle Ax, x \rangle \geq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{H}$, and in this case we write $A \geq 0$. For self-adjoint operators $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$, the order relation $A \leq B$ means that $B - A \geq 0$. A linear map Φ is positive if $\Phi(A) \geq 0$ whenever $A \geq 0$. It is said to be unital provided that it preserves the identity operator, that is, $\Phi(I) = I$.

The axiomatic theory for pairs of positive operators has been developed by Kubo and Ando [10]. If $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ are two positive invertible operators, then the ν -weighted arithmetic mean, geometric mean and harmonic mean of A and B are denoted by $A\nabla_{\nu}B$, $A_{\mu}^{\dagger}B$, respectively, and are defined as follows

$$A\nabla_{\nu}B = \nu A + (1-\nu)B, \qquad A\sharp_{\nu}B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(A^{-\frac{1}{2}}BA^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{\nu}A^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

and

$$A!_{\nu}B = (\nu A^{-1} + (1 - \nu)B^{-1})^{-1}.$$

When $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$, we write $A\nabla B$, $A \sharp B$ and A!B for the arithmetic mean, geometric mean and harmonic mean, respectively. The ν -weighted arithmetic-geometric (AM-GM) operator inequality, which is proved in [16] says that if $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ are two positive operators and $0 \leq \nu \leq 1$, then $A \sharp_{\nu} B \leq A \nabla_{\nu} B$. For a particular case, when $\nu = \frac{1}{2}$, we obtain the AM-GM operator inequality

$$A \sharp B \le \frac{A+B}{2}.\tag{1}$$

Received March 11, 2019. Accepted May 26, 2019.

The first author would like to thank the Lorestan University and the second author would like to thank the Tusi Mathematical Research Group (TMRG).

For two positive operators $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$, the Löwner–Heinz inequality states that, if $A \leq B$, then

$$A^p \le B^p, \qquad (0 \le p \le 1). \tag{2}$$

In general (2) is not true for p > 1.

Lin [13, Theorem 2.1] showed a squaring of a reverse of (1), namely that the inequality

$$\Phi^2\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \le \left(\frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}\right)^2 \Phi^2(A\sharp B) \tag{3}$$

as well as

$$\Phi^2\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \le \left(\frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}\right)^2 (\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B))^2,\tag{4}$$

where Φ is a positive unital linear map.

The Löwner–Heinz inequality and two inequalities (3) and (4) follow that for 0 ,

$$\Phi^p\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \le \left(\frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}\right)^p \Phi^p(A \sharp B) \tag{5}$$

and

$$\Phi^p\left(\frac{A+B}{2}\right) \le \left(\frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}\right)^p (\Phi(A) \sharp \Phi(B))^p.$$
(6)

In [6], the authors showed that inequalities (5) and (6) for $p \ge 2$ hold.

For more improvements and refinements on the above inequalities see [7, 14, 15] and references therein.

Let σ be an operator mean with the representing function f. The operator mean with the representing function $\frac{t}{f(t)}$ is called the dual of σ and denoted by σ^{\perp} . For $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$,

$$A\sigma^{\perp}B = (B^{-1}\sigma A^{-1})^{-1}.$$

It is trivial that for two invertible operators $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H}), A\nabla^{\perp}B = A!B$ and $A!B \leq A \sharp B$.

Let $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M, \Phi$ be a positive unital linear map and σ, τ be two arbitrary means between the harmonic and arithmetic means. In [8], the authors obtained the following inequality:

$$\Phi^2(A\sigma B) \le K^2(h)\Phi^2(A\tau B),\tag{7}$$

where $K(h) = \frac{(h+1)^2}{4h}$ with $h = \frac{M}{m}$ is the Kantorovich constant. The authors in [5] generalized inequality (7) for the higher powers as follows:

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma B) \le K^p(h)\Phi^p(A\tau B),\tag{8}$$

where p > 0.

Motivated by the above discussion, in this paper we first obtain the following inequality:

$$\Phi^2(A\sigma B) \le K^2(h)\Phi^2(B\sigma^\perp A),\tag{9}$$

where $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M, \sigma$ is an arbitrary mean and σ^{\perp} is its dual and $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$ is the Kantorovich constant. Then, we generalize inequality (9) for two arbitrary means σ_1 and σ_2 between σ and σ^{\perp} .

2. Main results

To obtain the main results we need to recall the following Lemmas.

Lemma 2.1. [3](Choi's inequality) Let $A \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ be positive and Φ be a positive unital linear map. Then

$$\Phi(A)^{-1} \le \Phi(A^{-1}).$$
(10)

Lemma 2.2. [16] Suppose that $0 < m \le A \le M$. Then

$$A + MmA^{-1} \le M + m.$$

Lemma 2.3. [4, 1, 2] Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ be positive and $\lambda > 0$. Then (i) $||AB|| \le \frac{1}{4} ||A + B||^2$. (ii) If $\lambda > 1$, then $||A^{\lambda} + B^{\lambda}|| \le ||(A + B)^{\lambda}||$. (iii) $A \le \lambda B$ if and only if $||A^{\frac{1}{2}}B^{-\frac{1}{2}}|| \le \lambda^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

Lemma 2.4. [9] Let $X \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$. Then $||X|| \le t$ if and only if $\begin{pmatrix} tI & X \\ X^* & tI \end{pmatrix} \ge 0.$

Theorem 2.5. Let $0 < m \le A, B \le M$ such that 0 < m < M and σ be an arbitrary mean. Then

$$\Phi^2(A\sigma B) \le K^2(h)\Phi^2(B\sigma^{\perp}A), \tag{11}$$

where σ^{\perp} is dual σ and $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$ is the Kantorovich constant.

Proof. It follows from $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M$ that $(M - A)(m - A)A^{-1} \leq 0$ and $(M - B)(m - B)B^{-1} \leq 0$. Therefore

$$A + MmA^{-1} \le M + m$$
 and $B + MmB^{-1} \le M + m$.

Now, the subadditivity and monotonicity properties of the operator mean to conclude that

$$A\sigma B + Mm(A^{-1}\sigma B^{-1}) \le (A + MmA^{-1})\sigma(B + MmB^{-1})$$
$$\le (M + m)\sigma(M + m)$$
$$= M + m.$$

Using the linearity and positivity of Φ and the latter inequality, we get

$$\Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi(A^{-1}\sigma B^{-1}) \le M + m.$$
(12)

Applying two inequalities (10) and (12), respectively, we have

$$\Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi^{-1}(B\sigma^{\perp}A) \leq \Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi(B\sigma^{\perp}A)^{-1}$$
$$\leq \Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi(A^{-1}\sigma B^{-1})$$
$$\leq M + m.$$

By Lemma 2.3(i) and the latter inequality, we get

$$\begin{split} \left\| \Phi(A\sigma B)Mm\Phi^{-1}(B\sigma^{\perp}A) \right\| &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi(B\sigma^{\perp}A)^{-1} \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi(A^{-1}\sigma B^{-1}) \\ &\leq M + m. \end{split}$$

This proves the assertion as desired.

Remark 2.1. In special case, when $\sigma = \nabla$, since $\sigma^{\perp} = !$ and $! \leq \sharp$, inequality (11) becomes inequality (3).

Corollary 2.6. Let $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M$ such that $0 < m < M, \sigma$ be an arbitrary mean and let $p \geq 0$. Then

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma B) \le K^p(h)\Phi^p(B\sigma^{\perp}A), \tag{13}$$

where σ^{\perp} is dual σ and $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$ is the Kantorovich constant.

Proof. If $0 \le p \le 2$, then $0 \le \frac{p}{2} \le 1$. Applying inequality (11) we obtain the desired result. If p > 2, then

$$\begin{split} \left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} (A\sigma B) M^{\frac{p}{2}} m^{\frac{p}{2}} \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}} (B\sigma^{\perp} A) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} (A\sigma B) + M^{\frac{p}{2}} m^{\frac{p}{2}} \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}} (B\sigma^{\perp} A) \right\|^{2} \text{ (by Lemma 2.3 (i))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi (A\sigma B) + Mm \Phi^{-1} (B\sigma^{\perp} A) \right\|^{p} \text{ (by Lemma 2.3 (ii))} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi (A\sigma B) + Mm \Phi ((B\sigma^{\perp} A))^{-1} \right\|^{p} \text{ (by (10))} \\ &= \frac{1}{4} \left\| \Phi (A\sigma B) + Mm \Phi (A^{-1} \sigma^{\perp} B^{-1}) \right\|^{p} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} (M+m)^{p} \text{ (by inequality (12)).} \end{split}$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.3(iii) we have

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma B) \le K^p(h)\Phi^p(B\sigma^{\perp}A).$$

Remark 2.2. Using the same reason as in Remark 2.1 says that inequality (13) is a generalization of inequality (5) which is presented in [6].

In the following theorem, we generalize inequality (7).

Theorem 2.7. Let $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M, \sigma_1$ and σ_2 be two arbitrary means which lie between σ and σ^{\perp} and let $p \geq 0$. Then for every positive unital linear map Φ ,

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B) \le K^p(h)\Phi^p(B\sigma_1 A),\tag{14}$$

where σ^{\perp} is dual σ and $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$ is the Kantorovich constant.

Proof. To prove (14), let $\sigma_1 \ge \sigma^{\perp}$ and $\sigma_2 \le \sigma$. Therefore, $\Phi(A\sigma_2B) + Mm\Phi^{-1}(B\sigma_1A) \le \Phi(A\sigma_2B) + Mm\Phi(B\sigma_1A)^{-1}$ (by (10)) $\le \Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi(B\sigma^{\perp}A)^{-1}$ $= \Phi(A\sigma B) + Mm\Phi(A^{-1}\sigma B^{-1})$ $\le M + m$ (by (12)).

Using the same ideas as used in the proof of Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.6, one can obtain the desired result. $\hfill \Box$

To find a better bound than the obtained bound in inequality (13), we need to state the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.8. [13] Let $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M$ and σ be an arbitrary mean. Then for every positive unital linear map Φ

$$\|\Phi^2(A\sigma B) + M^2 m^2 \Phi^n((A\sigma B)^{-1})\| \le M^2 + m^2.$$

Theorem 2.9. Let $0 < m \le A, B \le M, \sigma$ be an arbitrary mean and $p \ge 4$. Then

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma B) \le \left(\frac{K(h)(M^2 + m^2)}{2^{\frac{4}{p}}Mm}\right)^p \Phi^p(B\sigma^{\perp}A),\tag{15}$$

where σ^{\perp} is dual σ and $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$ is the Kantorovich constant. Proof. By Theorem 2.5 we have

$$\Phi^{-2}(B\sigma^{\perp}A) \le K^2(h)\Phi^{-2}(A\sigma B).$$
(16)

A simple computation shows that

$$\begin{split} \left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}(A\sigma B)M^{\frac{p}{2}}m^{\frac{p}{2}}\Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}}(B\sigma^{\perp}A) \right\| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| K^{\frac{p}{4}}(h)\Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}(A\sigma B) + \left(\frac{M^{2}m^{2}}{K(h)}\right)^{\frac{p}{4}}\Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}}(B\sigma^{\perp}A) \right\|^{2} (\text{ by Lemmas 2.3(i) }) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| K\Phi^{2}(A\sigma B) + \frac{M^{2}m^{2}}{K(h)}\Phi^{-2}(B\sigma^{\perp}A) \right\|^{\frac{p}{2}} (\text{ by Lemmas 2.3(ii) }) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| K(h)\Phi^{2}(A\sigma B) + M^{2}m^{2}K(h)\Phi^{-2}(A\sigma B) \right\|^{\frac{p}{2}} (\text{ by (16) }) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}K^{\frac{p}{2}}(h) \left\| \Phi^{2}(A\sigma B) + M^{2}m^{2}\Phi^{2}(A\sigma B)^{-1} \right\|^{\frac{p}{2}} (\text{ by (16) }) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4} \left(K(h) \left(M^{2} + m^{2} \right) \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} (\text{ by Lemma 2.8). \end{split}$$

Therefore

$$\left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}(A\sigma B) \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}}(B\sigma^{\perp}A) \right\| \le \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{K(h) \left(M^2 + m^2 \right)}{Mm} \right)^{\frac{p}{2}}.$$

The latter relation is equivalent to

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma B) \le \left(\frac{K(h)\left(M^2 + m^2\right)}{2^{\frac{4}{p}}Mm}\right)^p \Phi^p(B\sigma^{\perp}A).$$

This proves the desired result.

Remark 2.3. When $p \ge 4$, the derived result in Theorem 2.9 is tighter than inequality (13).

Moreover, we show that Theorem 2.9 holds for $0 \le p \le 4$.

Corollary 2.10. Let $0 < m \le A, B \le M, \sigma$ be an arbitrary mean and let $0 \le p \le 4$. Then

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma B) \le \left(\frac{K(h)\left(M^2 + m^2\right)}{2Mm}\right)^p \Phi^p(B\sigma^{\perp}A),$$

where σ^{\perp} is dual σ and $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4MM}$.

Proof. By Theorem 2.5 we have

$$\Phi^4(A\sigma B) \le \left(\frac{K(h)\left(M^2 + m^2\right)}{2Mm}\right)^4 \Phi^4(B\sigma^{\perp}A).$$

If $0 \le p \le 4$, then $0 \le \frac{p}{4} \le 1$. With the aid of the latter inequality and inequality (2), we conclude the desired inequality. \square

Theorem 2.11. Let $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M, \sigma_1$ and σ_2 be two arbitrary means between σ and σ^{\perp} , $1 < \alpha \leq 2$ and $p \geq 2\alpha$. Then for every positive unital linear map Φ

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B) \le \frac{\left(K^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(h)(M^{\alpha}+m^{\alpha})\right)^{\frac{2p}{\alpha}}}{16M^p m^p} \Phi^p(B\sigma_1 A)$$
(17)

where σ^{\perp} is dual σ and $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$ is the Kantorovich constant.

Proof. At once from inequality (14) follows that for $1 < \alpha \leq 2$

 $\Phi^{-\alpha}(B\sigma_1 A) \le K^{\alpha}(h)\Phi^{-\alpha}(A\sigma_2 B).$ (18)

Using the fact that $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M$, it deduces that $0 < m \leq A\sigma_2 B \leq M$. Now, the linearity property Φ results that $0 < m \leq \Phi(A\sigma_2 B) \leq M$. Since $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, one can easily prove that

$$\Phi^{\alpha}(A\sigma_2 B) + M^{\alpha} m^{\alpha} \Phi^{-\alpha}(A\sigma_2 B) \le M^{\alpha} + m^{\alpha}.$$
(19)

Therefore

$$\begin{split} & \left\| M^{\frac{p}{2}} m^{\frac{p}{2}} \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} (A\sigma_{2}B) \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}} (B\sigma_{1}A) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| K^{-\frac{p}{4}}(h) M^{\frac{p}{2}} m^{\frac{p}{2}} \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}} (B\sigma_{1}A) + K^{\frac{p}{4}}(h) \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} (A\sigma_{2}B) \right\|^{2} (\text{ by Lemma 2.3(i) }) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| \left(K^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(h) M^{\alpha} m^{\alpha} \Phi^{-\alpha} (B\sigma_{1}A) + K^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(h) \Phi^{\alpha} (A\sigma_{2}B) \right)^{\frac{p}{2\alpha}} \right\|^{2} (\text{ by Lemma 2.3(ii) }) \\ & = \frac{1}{4} \left\| K^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(h) M^{\alpha} m^{\alpha} \Phi^{-\alpha} (B\sigma_{1}A) + K^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(h) \Phi^{\alpha} (A\sigma_{2}B) \right\|^{\frac{p}{\alpha}} \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} \left\| K^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(h) M^{\alpha} m^{\alpha} \Phi^{-\alpha} (A\sigma_{2}B) + K^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(h) \Phi^{\alpha} (A\sigma_{2}B) \right\|^{\frac{p}{\alpha}} (\text{ by (18)}) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{4} K^{\frac{p}{2}}(h) (M^{\alpha} + m^{\alpha})^{\frac{p}{\alpha}} (\text{ by (19)}), \end{split}$$

tł

$$\left\|\Phi^{\frac{p}{2}}(A\sigma_{2}B)\Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}}(B\sigma_{1}A)\right\| \leq \frac{K^{\frac{p}{2}}(h)(M^{\alpha}+m^{\alpha})^{\frac{p}{\alpha}}}{4M^{\frac{p}{2}}m^{\frac{p}{2}}},$$

or equivalently

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B) \le \frac{\left(K^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(h)(M^{\alpha} + m^{\alpha})\right)^{\frac{2p}{\alpha}}}{16Mm} \Phi^p(B\sigma_1 A).$$

Remark 2.4. In special case, for $\alpha = 2$, inequality (17) becomes inequality (15).

Remark 2.5. By taking $\sigma = \nabla$ in inequality (17), we get inequality (8).

Theorem 2.12. Let $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M$ such that 0 < m < M and σ be an arbitrary mean. Then for every positive unital linear map Φ and two arbitrary means σ_1 and σ_2 which lie between σ and σ^{\perp} and $p \geq 0$, the following inequality holds

$$\Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B)\Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_1 A) + \Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_1 A)\Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B) \le 2K^p(h)\Phi^p(B\sigma_1 A)$$
(20)

where σ^{\perp} is dual σ and $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$ is the Kantorovich constant.

Proof. It follows from (14) that

$$\|\Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B)\Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_1 A)\| \le K^p(h).$$
(21)

Applying Lemma 2.4 we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} K(h)^{p}I & \Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_{1}A)\Phi^{p}(A\sigma_{2}B) \\ \Phi^{p}(A\sigma_{2}B)\Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_{1}A) & K(h)^{p}I \end{pmatrix} \ge 0$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} K(h)^{p}I & \Phi^{p}(A\sigma_{2}B)\Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_{1}A) \\ \Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_{1}A)\Phi^{p}(A\sigma_{2}B) & K(h)^{p}I \end{pmatrix} \geq 0$$

Summing up two above inequalities, we obtain the following inequality

$$\left(\begin{array}{cc} 2K(h)^{p}I & \beta_{1} \\ \beta_{2} & 2K(h)^{p}I \end{array}\right) \geq 0,$$

where

$$\beta_1 = \Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_1 A)\Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B) + \Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B)\Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_1 A)$$

and

$$\beta_2 = \Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B)\Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_1 A) + \Phi^{-p}(B\sigma_1 A)\Phi^p(A\sigma_2 B).$$

Again using Lemma 2.4 we get the desired result.

Remark 2.6. Put $\sigma = \nabla$, inequality (20) reduces to some results in [2]

3. A refined inequality for the arithmetic-geometric mean

Let $A, B \in \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{H})$ be two invertible positive operators, $0 \le \nu \le 1$ and $-1 \le q \le 1$. We use from the notation $A \sharp_{q,\nu} B$ to define the power mean

$$A\sharp_{q,\nu}B = A^{\frac{1}{2}} \left((1-\nu)I + \nu \left(A^{\frac{1}{2}}BA^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{q} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} A^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For more information see [11]. The authors in [12] proved that if $0 < m \le A, B \le M$ such that 0 < m < M and $0 < \nu \le \mu < 1, -1 \le q \le 1$. Then for every positive unital linear map Φ and $p \ge 0$, the following inequality holds

$$\Phi^{p}\left(A\nabla_{\nu}B + \frac{\nu}{\mu}Mm\left(A^{-1}\nabla_{\mu}B^{-1} - A^{-1}\sharp_{q,\mu}B^{-1}\right)\right) \\ \leq K^{p}(h)\Phi^{p}(A\sharp_{q,\nu}B),$$
(22)

where $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$ is the Kantorovich constant. Using the following theorem, we obtain a generalization from inequality (22).

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $0 < m \leq A, B \leq M$ such that 0 < m < M and $0 < \nu \leq \mu < 1, -1 \leq q \leq 1$ and $1 < \alpha \leq 2$. Then for every positive unital linear map Φ and $p \geq 0$, the following inequality holds

$$\Phi^{p}\left(A\nabla_{\nu}B + \frac{\nu}{\mu}Mm(A^{-1}\nabla_{\nu}B^{-1} - A^{-1}\sharp_{q,\mu}B^{-1})\right) \\ \leq \frac{\left(K^{\frac{\alpha}{4}}(h)(M^{\alpha} + m^{\alpha})\right)^{\frac{2p}{\alpha}}}{16M^{p}m^{p}}\Phi^{p}(A\sharp_{q,\nu}B),$$
(23)

where $K(h) = \frac{(M+m)^2}{4Mm}$ is the Kantorovich constant.

Proof. For $1 < \alpha \leq 2$, by inequality (22), we have

$$\Phi^{\alpha}\left(A\nabla_{\nu}B + \frac{\nu}{\mu}Mm\left(A^{-1}\nabla_{\mu}B^{-1} - A^{-1}\sharp_{q,\mu}B^{-1}\right)\right) \le K^{\alpha}(h)\Phi^{\alpha}(A\sharp_{q,\nu}B)$$
(24)

The last inequality deduces using a process similar to inequality (19). This shows that

$$\begin{split} \left\| \Phi^{\frac{p}{2}} \left(A \nabla_{\nu} B + \frac{\nu}{\mu} Mm \left(A^{-1} \nabla_{\mu} B^{-1} - A^{-1} \sharp_{q,\mu} B^{-1} \right) \right) \Phi^{-\frac{p}{2}} (A \sharp_{q,\nu} B) \right\| \\ & \leq \frac{K^{\frac{p}{2}} (h) (M^{\alpha} + m^{\alpha})^{\frac{p}{\alpha}}}{4M^{\frac{p}{2}} m^{\frac{p}{2}}}. \end{split}$$

Then

$$\Phi^{p}\left(A\nabla_{\nu}B + \frac{\nu}{\mu}Mm\left(A^{-1}\nabla_{\mu}B^{-1} - A^{-1}\sharp_{q,\mu}B^{-1}\right)\right)$$
$$\leq \frac{\left(K^{\frac{\alpha}{4}}(h)(M^{\alpha} + m^{\alpha})\right)^{\frac{2p}{\alpha}}}{16M^{p}m^{p}}\Phi^{p}(A\sharp_{q,\nu}B).$$

Remark 3.1. Taking $\alpha = 2$, inequality (23) becomes inequality (22).

Remark 3.2. By putting $\alpha = 2, \mu = \frac{1}{2}$ and taking $q \to 0$, inequality (23) collapse to the derived result in [2].

References

- T. Ando and X. Zhan, Norm inequalities related to operator monoton functions, *Math. Ann.* 315 (1999), 771–780.
- [2] M. Bakherad, Refinements of a reversed AM-GM operator inequality, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 64 (2016), no. 9, 1687–1695.

- [3] R. Bhatia, *Positive Definite Matrices*, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 2007.
- [4] R. Bhatia and F. Kittaneh, Notes on matrix arithmetic-geometric mean inequalities, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 308 (2000), no. 1-3, 203–211.
- [5] X. Fu and D.T. Hoa, On some inequalities with matrix means, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 63 (2015), no. 12, 2373–2378.
- [6] X. Fu and C. He, Some operator inequalities for positive linear maps, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 63 (2015), no. 3, 571–577.
- [7] M. Hajmohamadi, R. Lashkaripour, and M. Bakherad, Some matrix power and Karcher means inequalities involving positive linear maps, *Filomat* **32** (2018), no. 7, 2625–2634.
- [8] D.T. Hoa, D.T.H. Binh, and H.M. Toan, On some inequalities with matrix means, RIMS Kokyukoku 1893 (2014), 67–71, Kyoto University.
- [9] R.A. Horn and C.R. Johson, *Topics in matrix analysis*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1991.
- [10] F. Kubo, T. Ando, Means of positive linear operators, Math. Ann. 246 (1980), 205–224.
- [11] M. Khosravi, Some matrix inequalities for weighted power mean, Ann. Funct. Anal. 7 (2016), no. 2, 348–357.
- [12] M. Khosravi, M.S. Moslehian, and A. Sheikhhosseini, Some operator inequalities involving operator means and positive linear maps, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 66 (2018), no. 6, 1186–1198.
- [13] M. Lin, Squaring a reverse AM-GM inequality, Studia Math. 215 (2013), no. 2, 187–194.
- [14] L. Nasiri and M. Bakherad, Improvements of some operator inequalities involving positive linear maps via the Kantorovich constant, Houston J. Math. 76 (2018), no. 1, 1–16.
- [15] L. Nasiri and W. Liao, The new reverses of Young type inequalities for numbers, matrices and operators, Oper. Matrices 12 (2018), no. 4, 1063–1071.
- [16] T. Furuta, J. Mićić Hot, J. Pečarić, and Y. Seo, Mond Pečarić method in operator inequalities, Zagreb, 2005.

(Leila Nasiri) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, FACULTY OF SCIENCE, LORESTAN UNIVERSITY, KHORRAMABAD, IRAN *E-mail address*: leilanasiri468@gmail.com

(Mojtaba Bakherad) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF SISTAN AND BALUCHESTAN, ZAHEDAN, IRAN *E-mail address*: mojtaba.bakherad@yahoo.com