

Interpolation of compact non-linear operators on Banach triples

NICOLAE COFAN AND ILIE STAN

ABSTRACT. We investigate the behavior of Lipschitz and compact non-linear operators under K and J real interpolation methods for Banach triples. We begin with the case when one of the triples reduces to a single Banach space, and we prove that the classical Lions-Peetre compactness theorems for linear operators still hold for Lipschitz and compact non-linear operators. We also establish a compactness result when the interpolation operator is considered from a J -space into a K -space.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 46M35; Secondary 46B70.
Key words and phrases. interpolation, compact non-linear operators.

1. Introduction

The behaviour of compact non-linear operators under real interpolation have not even been investigated. Cobos [5] proved that the classical Lions-Peetre [8] compactness theorems for linear operators, in the case of Banach couples, are also valid for Lipschitz operators. Later, Bento [3] generalised some of these results.

In this paper we investigate the behavior of Lipschitz and compact non-linear operators in the multidimensional case. In the literature there are many interpolation methods for Banach n -space ($n \geq 3$). We restrict our attention to Sparr K - and J -methods for Banach triples.

2. Preliminaries and notations

Our notation and terminology are standard and we refer to [4], [7] and [10]. For the reader's convenience we give some definitions and results that will be used later.

Let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ be a Banach triple, i.e. A_0, A_1, A_2 are three Banach spaces which are linearly and continuously embedded in a Hausdorff topological vector space \mathcal{A} . Then we can form their sum $\sum(\bar{A}) := A_0 + A_1 + A_2$ and their intersection $\Delta(\bar{A}) := A_0 \cap A_1 \cap A_2$. These two spaces become Banach spaces when endowed with the norms $K(1, 1, \cdot)$ and $J(1, 1, \cdot)$ respectively, where

$$K(t, s, a) = \inf \{ \|a_0\|_{A_0} + t\|a_1\|_{A_1} + s\|a_2\|_{A_2} : a = a_0 + a_1 + a_2, a_i \in A_i \}, t, s > 0$$

and

$$J(t, s, a) = \max (\|a_0\|_{A_0}, t\|a_1\|_{A_1}, s\|a_2\|_{A_2}), t, s > 0.$$

Let A be an intermediate space with respect to \bar{A} , i.e. A is a Banach space for which $\Delta(\bar{A}) \hookrightarrow A \hookrightarrow \sum(\bar{A})$ (continuous inclusions) and let $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in (0, 1)^2$, $\theta_1 + \theta_2 < 1$. Then we say that

- (i) A is of class $\mathcal{C}_K(\bar{\theta}, \bar{A})$ if $K(t, s, a) \leq ct^{\theta_1}s^{\theta_2}\|a\|_A$, $a \in A$;
- (ii) A is of class $\mathcal{C}_J(\bar{\theta}, \bar{A})$ if $\|a\|_A \leq ct^{-\theta_1}s^{-\theta_2}J(t, s, a)$, $a \in \Delta(\bar{A})$;

(iii) A is class $\mathcal{C}(\bar{\theta}, \bar{A})$ if A is of class $\mathcal{C}_K(\bar{\theta}, \bar{A})$ and of class $\mathcal{C}_J(\bar{\theta}, \bar{A})$.

An important example of space of class $\mathcal{C}_K(\bar{\theta}, \bar{A})$ is the real interpolation K -space (or Sparr K -space [10]) $(A_0, A_1, A_2)_{\bar{\theta}, p, K} := \bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, K}$. We remind that for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in (0, 1)^2$, $\theta_1 + \theta_2 < 1$, the space $\bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, K}$ consists of all $a \in \Sigma(\bar{A})$, which have a finite norm:

$$\|a\|_{\bar{\theta}, p, K} = \begin{cases} \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (t^{-\theta_1} s^{-\theta_2} K(t, s, a))^p \frac{dt ds}{t s} \right)^{1/p} & \text{if } 1 \leq p < \infty \\ \sup_{\substack{t > 0 \\ s > 0}} t^{-\theta_1} s^{-\theta_2} K(t, s, a) & \text{if } p = \infty \end{cases}$$

On the other hand, the real interpolation J -space (or Sparr J -space [10]) $(A_0, A_1, A_2)_{\bar{\theta}, p, J} := \bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, J}$ is an important example of space of class $\mathcal{C}_J(\bar{\theta}, \bar{A})$. We remind that for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ and $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in (0, 1)^2$, $\theta_1 + \theta_2 < 1$ the space $\bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, J}$ consists of all $a \in \Sigma(\bar{A})$ which can be represented in the form

$$a = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty u(t, s) \frac{dt ds}{t s} \quad (\text{convergence in } \Sigma(\bar{A})) \quad (1)$$

where $u(t, s)$ is measurable with values in $\Delta(\bar{A})$ and

$$\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (t^{-\theta_1} s^{-\theta_2} J(t, s, u(t, s)))^p \frac{dt ds}{t s} < \infty. \quad (2)$$

Moreover

$$\|a\|_{\bar{\theta}, p, J} = \inf \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (t^{-\theta_1} s^{-\theta_2} J(t, s, u(t, s)))^p \frac{dt ds}{t s} \right)^{1/p}$$

where the infimum is taken over all representations of a such that (1) and (2) hold, defines a norm on $\bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, J}$.

In contrast to the case of Banach couples, when the Equivalence Theorem is true (i.e. $(A_0, A_1)_{\theta, p, J} = (A_0, A_1)_{\theta, p, K} := (A_0, A_1)_{\theta, p}$; $0 < \theta < 1$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$) (see [4]), in the case of Banach triples, in general, only the inclusion $\bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, J} \hookrightarrow \bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, K}$ is valid, but not converse (see [10]). Though, there are many triples for which the converse inclusion is valid (see [1], [6]). We call an LP -triple, that Banach triple \bar{A} for which $\bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, J} = \bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, K} := \bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p}$ holds for every $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in (0, 1)^2$, $\theta_1 + \theta_2 < 1$ and every $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. For a Banach triple $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ we have (see [2], [10])

$$\bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, J} \hookrightarrow ((A_0, A_2)_{\theta_2, p}, (A_1, A_2)_{\theta_2, p})_{\lambda, p} \hookrightarrow \bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, K} \quad (3)$$

where $\lambda = \frac{\theta_1}{1 - \theta_2}$.

The class of all continuous maps $T : \Sigma(\bar{A}) \rightarrow \Sigma(\bar{B})$ such that the restriction of T to A_i is a continuous map from A_i into B_i , ($i = 0, 1, 2$) will be denoted by $\mathcal{C}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$. If $A_0 = A_1 = A_2 = A$ or $B_0 = B_1 = B_2 = B$, then we write $\mathcal{C}(A, \bar{B})$ or, respectively $\mathcal{C}(\bar{A}, B)$.

Recall that a non-linear operator T is called compact if it is continuous and if it transforms each bounded set into a set whose closure is compact.

It was proved by Cobos in [5] that if $T \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ and $T : A_i \rightarrow B_i$, ($i = 0, 1$) are Lipschitz operators, then for every $\theta \in (0, 1)$, $p \in [1, \infty)$

$$T : (A_0, A_1)_{\theta, p} \rightarrow (B_0, B_1)_{\theta, p} \text{ is also a Lipschitz operator.} \quad (4)$$

Later, Bento [3] proved that if $T \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{A}, \overline{B})$ is an operator such that $T : A_i \rightarrow B_i$, ($i = 0, 1$) are compact Lipschitz operators, then for every $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$

$$T : (A_0, A_1)_{\theta, p} \rightarrow (B_0, B_1)_{\theta, p} \text{ is compact} \quad (5)$$

We extend these results to the case of Banach triples.

3. Interpolation of Lipschitz operators

We begin with the case when one of the triples reduces to a single Banach space.

Proposition 3.1. *Let $\overline{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ be a Banach triple and let B be a Banach space. Assume that T is a non-linear operator*

- (i) *If $T \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{A}, B)$ is an operator such that $T : A_i \rightarrow B$, ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are Lipschitz operators and A is an intermediate space with respect to \overline{A} such that $\Delta(\overline{A})$ is dense in A , then $T : A \rightarrow B$ is also a Lipschitz operator.*
- (ii) *If $T \in \mathcal{C}(B, \overline{A})$ is an operator such that $T : B \rightarrow A_i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are Lipschitz operators and A is an intermediate space with respect to \overline{A} , then $T : B \rightarrow A$ is also a Lipschitz operator.*

Proof. (i) Let L_i be the Lipschitz constant of T as a mapping from A_i to B ($i = 0, 1, 2$). Let $x, y \in \Delta(\overline{A})$ and take any decomposition

$$x - y = a_0 + a_1 + a_2, \text{ with } a_i \in A_i \text{ (} i = 0, 1, 2 \text{) (in fact, } a_i \in \Delta(\overline{A}), i = 0, 1, 2 \text{).}$$

Put $w_i = x - \sum_{k=0}^i a_k$, ($i = 0, 1, 2$). Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty\| &\leq \|Tx - Tw_0\|_B + \|Tw_0 - Tw_1\|_B + \|Tw_1 - Ty\|_B \\ &\leq L_0\|a_0\|_{A_0} + L_1\|a_1\|_{A_1} + L_2\|a_2\|_{A_2} \\ &\leq \max(L_0, L_1, L_2)(\|a_0\|_{A_0} + \|a_1\|_{A_1} + \|a_2\|_{A_2}) \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for any $x, y \in \Delta(\overline{A})$ we have

$$\|Tx - Ty\|_B \leq \max(L_0, L_1, L_2)\|x - y\|_{\Sigma(\overline{A})}.$$

Hence

$$T : (\Delta(\overline{A}), \|\cdot\|_{\Sigma(\overline{A})}) \rightarrow B$$

is a Lipschitz operator. Since $A \hookrightarrow \Sigma(\overline{A})$

$$T : (\Delta(\overline{A}), \|\cdot\|_A) \rightarrow B$$

is also a Lipschitz operator. Therefore we can extend T to a Lipschitz operator from A into B because $\Delta(\overline{A})$ is dense in A .

(ii) Let L_i be the Lipschitz constant of T as a mapping from B to A_i ($i = 0, 1, 2$). For every $x, y \in B$ we have

$$\|Tx - Ty\|_{\Delta(\overline{A})} = \max_{0 \leq i \leq 2} (\|Tx - Ty\|_{A_i}) \leq \max_{0 \leq i \leq 2} (L_i)\|x - y\|_B.$$

Since $\Delta(\overline{A}) \hookrightarrow A$ it follows that $T : B \rightarrow A$ is Lipschitz. \square

Corollary 3.1. *Let $\overline{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ be a Banach triple and let B be a Banach space. Assume that T is a non-linear operator*

- (i) *If $T \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{A}, B)$ is an operator such that $T : A_i \rightarrow B$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are Lipschitz operators, then for every $\overline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in (0, 1)^2$, $\theta_1 + \theta_2 < 1$ and every $1 \leq p < \infty$, $T : \overline{A}_{\overline{\theta}, p, J} \rightarrow B$ is also a Lipschitz operator.*

- (ii) If $T \in \mathcal{C}(A, \bar{B})$ is an operator such that $T : B \rightarrow A_i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are Lipschitz operators, then for every $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in (0, 1)^2$, $\theta_1 + \theta_2 < 1$ and every $1 \leq p < \infty$, $T : B \rightarrow \bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, J}$ (or $\bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, K}$) is also a Lipschitz operator.

Next we consider general Banach triples $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ and $\bar{B} = (B_0, B_1, B_2)$.

Proposition 3.2. *Let $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in (0, 1)^2$, $\theta_1 + \theta_2 < 1$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ and $\bar{B} = (B_0, B_1, B_2)$ be two Banach triples. Assume that T is a non-linear operator. If $T \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ is an operator such that $T : A_i \rightarrow B_i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are Lipschitz operators, then $T : \bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, J} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{\bar{\theta}, p, K}$ is also a Lipschitz operator.*

Proof. Since $T : A_i \rightarrow B_i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are Lipschitz operators, in view of Cobos result ([5]) we deduce that

$$T : (A_i, A_2)_{\theta_2, p} \rightarrow (B_i, B_2)_{\theta_2, p} \quad (i = 0, 1)$$

are Lipschitz operators.

Then

$$T : ((A_0, A_2)_{\theta_2, p}, (A_1, A_2)_{\theta_1, p})_{\lambda, p} \rightarrow ((B_0, B_2)_{\theta_2, p}, (B_1, B_2)_{\theta_2, p})_{\lambda, p}$$

where $\lambda = \frac{\theta_1}{1 - \theta_2}$, is also a Lipschitz operator. Now, by (3) we deduce that

$$T : \bar{A}_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), p, J} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), p, K}$$

is a Lipschitz operator. \square

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in (0, 1)^2$, $\theta_1 + \theta_2 < 1$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, let $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ be a Banach triple such that $\Delta(\bar{A})$ is dense in $\bar{A}_{(\theta_1, \theta_2), p, K}$. Assume that T is a non-linear operator and $\bar{B} = (B_0, B_1, B_2)$ is a Banach triple. If $T \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{A}, \bar{B})$ is an operator such that $T : A_i \rightarrow B_i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are Lipschitz operators, then, $T : \bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, K} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{\bar{\theta}, p, K}$ is also a Lipschitz operator.*

Proof. Let L_i be the Lipschitz constant of T as a mapping from A_i to B_i ($i = 0, 1, 2$). Let $x, y \in \Delta(\bar{A})$ and choose any decomposition

$$x - y = a_0 + a_1 + a_2, \quad \text{with } a_i \in A_i \text{ (in fact } a_i \in \Delta(\bar{A})\text{), } (i = 0, 1, 2).$$

Then

$$Tx - Ty = (Tx - T(x - a_0)) + (T(x - a_0) - T(x - a_0 - a_1)) + (T(x - a_0 - a_1) - Ty)$$

is a decomposition of $Tx - Ty$ in $\Sigma(\bar{B})$. Therefore

$$K(t, s, Tx - Ty, \bar{B}) \leq L_0 \|a_0\|_{A_0} + tL_1 \|a_1\|_{A_1} + sL_2 \|a_2\|_{A_2}$$

and

$$K(t, s, Tx - Ty, \bar{B}) \leq L_0 K\left(\frac{L_1}{L_0}t, \frac{L_2}{L_0}s, x - y, \bar{A}\right).$$

Thus, for any $x, y \in \Delta(\bar{A})$

$$\begin{aligned} \|Tx - Ty\|_{\bar{\theta}, p, K} &= \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty (t^{-\theta_1} s^{-\theta_2} K(t, s, Tx - Ty, \bar{B}))^p \frac{dt ds}{t s} \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq L_0 \left(\int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left(t^{-\theta_1} s^{-\theta_2} K\left(\frac{L_1}{L_0}t, \frac{L_2}{L_0}s, x - y, \bar{A}\right) \right)^p \frac{dt ds}{t s} \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq L_0^{1-\theta_1-\theta_2} L_1^{\theta_1} L_2^{\theta_2} \|x - y\|_{\bar{\theta}, p, K}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$T : (\Delta(\bar{A}), \|\cdot\|_{\bar{\theta}, p, K}) \rightarrow \bar{B}_{\bar{\theta}, p, K}$$

is a Lipschitz operator. Therefore $T : \bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, K} \rightarrow \bar{B}_{\bar{\theta}, p, K}$ is also a Lipschitz operator because $\Delta(\bar{A})$ is dense in $\bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, K}$ \square

4. The compactness results

At first, we derive multidimensional compactness results of Lions-Peetre type.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\bar{B} = (B_0, B_1, B_2)$ be a Banach triple and let A be a Banach space. Assume that T is a non-linear operator. If $T \in \mathcal{C}(A, \bar{B})$ is an operator such that $T : A \rightarrow B_i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are Lipschitz operators, $T : A \rightarrow B_0$ is compact and B is a space of class $\mathcal{C}_J(\bar{\theta}, \bar{B})$, then $T : A \rightarrow B$ is compact.*

Proof. Let D be any bounded subset of A . We show that $T(D)$ is a precompact subset of B , from which it follows that $T : A \rightarrow B$ is compact. Let L_i be the Lipschitz constant of T as a mapping from A to B_i ($i = 0, 1, 2$) and $M = \sup\{\|x\|_A : x \in D\}$. By the assumption on B we have

$$\|b\|_B \leq Ct^{-\theta_1} s^{-\theta_2} J(t, s, b, \bar{B}), \quad b \in \Delta(\bar{B}), \quad t, s > 0.$$

Hence, given any bounded subset D of A and any $\varepsilon > 0$, we can choose t and s (small enough) so that

$$\max(2CL_1Mt^{1-\theta_1}s^{-\theta_2}, 2CL_2Mt^{-\theta_1}s^{1-\theta_2}) \leq \varepsilon.$$

Since $T : A \rightarrow B_0$ is compact we can find a finite subset $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ of D such that

$$T(D) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n \left\{ Tv_j + \left\{ b \in B_0 : \|b\|_{B_0} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{Ct^{-\theta_1}s^{-\theta_2}} \right\} \right\}$$

Thus, give any $a \in D$, choosing j so that $\|Ta - Tv_j\|_B \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{Ct^{-\theta_1}s^{-\theta_2}}$.

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ta - Tv_j\|_B &\leq \max(Ct^{-\theta_1}s^{-\theta_2}\|Ta - Tv_j\|_{B_0}, Ct^{1-\theta_1}s^{-\theta_2}\|Ta - Tv_j\|_{B_1}, \\ &\quad Ct^{-\theta_1}s^{1-\theta_2}\|Ta - Tv_j\|_{B_2}) \leq \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the compactness of $T : A \rightarrow B$. \square

Corollary 4.1. *Let $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in (0, 1)^2$, $\theta_1 + \theta_2 < 1$ and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Under the same assumption as in Theorem 4.1 we have*

$$T : A \rightarrow \bar{B}_{\bar{\theta}, p, J} \text{ is compact.}$$

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\bar{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in (0, 1)^2$, $\theta_1 + \theta_2 < 1$ and let $1 \leq p < \infty$. Let B be a Banach space. Assume that T is a non-linear operator and that $\bar{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ is a Banach triple such that $\Delta(\bar{A})$ is dense in $\bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, K}$. If $T \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{A}, B)$ is an operator such that $T : A_i \rightarrow B$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are Lipschitz operators, $T : A_0 \rightarrow B$ is compact, then $T : \bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, K} \rightarrow B$ is compact.*

Proof. Let L_i be the Lipschitz constant of T as a mapping from A_i to B ($i = 0, 1, 2$). Let D any bounded subset of $\bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, K}$. Put $M = \sup\{\|a\|_{\bar{A}_{\bar{\theta}, p, K}}; a \in D\}$. Since $\Delta(\bar{A})$

is dense in $\overline{A}_{\overline{\theta}, p, K}$ we may assume that $D \subset \Delta(\overline{A})$. Since $\overline{A}_{\overline{\theta}, p, K}$ is a space of class $\mathcal{C}_K(\overline{\theta}, \overline{A})$ we have

$$t^{-\theta_1} s^{-\theta_2} K(t, s, a, \overline{A}) \leq C \|a\|_{\overline{A}_{\overline{\theta}, p, K}} \leq CM, \quad a \in D, \quad t, s > 0.$$

For each t and s , we can decompose any $a \in D$ as $a = a_0 + a_1 + a_2$, with $a_i \in A_i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) and

$$\|a_0\|_{A_0} + t\|a_1\|_{A_1} + s\|a_2\|_{A_2} \leq 2CMt^{\theta_1} s^{\theta_2}.$$

Then, given $\varepsilon > 0$, choosing $t = s$ big enough we can find three subsets D_0, D_1, D_2 of $\Delta(\overline{A})$ such that $D \subset D_0 + D_1 + D_2$, D_0 is bounded in A_0 and

$$\sup\{\|a_1\|_{A_1} : a_1 \in D_1\} \leq \varepsilon/3L_1, \quad \sup\{\|a_2\|_{A_2} : a_2 \in D_2\} \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3L_2}.$$

Since D_0 is bounded in A_0 we can use the compactness assumption on T to find a finite subset $\{b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n\}$ of B such that

$$T(D_0) \subset \bigcup_{j=1}^n \{b_j + \{b \in B : \|b\|_B \leq \varepsilon/3\}\}$$

Now, for $a \in D$ with $a = a_0 + a_1 + a_2$ and $a_i \in D_i$ (in fact $a_i \in \Delta(\overline{A})$) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|Ta - b_j\|_B &\leq \|Ta - T(a_0 + a_1)\|_B + \|T(a_0 + a_1) - Ta_0\|_B + \|Ta_0 - b_j\|_B \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon}{3} + \|Ta_0 - b_j\|_B. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing j such that $\|Ta_0 - b_j\|_B \leq \varepsilon/3$ now it gives $\|Ta - b_j\|_B \leq \varepsilon$. This shows the precompactness of $T(D)$. Thus $T : \overline{A}_{\overline{\theta}, p, K} \rightarrow B$ is compact. \square

Next, we consider general Banach triples $\overline{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ and $\overline{B} = (B_0, B_1, B_2)$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $\overline{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2) \in (0, 1)^2$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, let $\overline{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ and $\overline{B} = (B_0, B_1, B_2)$ be two Banach triples. If $T \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{A}, \overline{B})$ is an operator such that $T : A_i \rightarrow B_i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are compact Lipschitz operators then*

$$T : \overline{A}_{\overline{\theta}, p, J} \rightarrow ((B_0, B_2)_{\theta_2, p}, (B_1, B_2)_{\theta_2, p})_{\lambda, p}$$

where $\lambda = \frac{\theta_1}{1 - \theta_2}$, is compact Lipschitz operator.

Proof. Since $T : A_i \rightarrow B_i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are compact Lipschitz operators, in view of Bento result ([3], Theorem 5.1) we deduce that

$$T : (A_i, A_j)_{\theta_2, p} \rightarrow (B_i, B_2)_{\theta_2, p} \quad (i = 0, 1)$$

are compact Lipschitz operators.

Then

$$T : ((A_0, A_2)_{\theta_2, p}, (A_1, A_2)_{\theta_2, p})_{\lambda, p} \rightarrow ((B_0, B_2)_{\theta_2, p}, (B_1, B_2)_{\theta_2, p})_{\lambda, p}$$

is also a compact Lipschitz operator. Now, the result follows from (3). \square

Corollary 4.2. *If $\overline{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ and $\overline{B} = (B_0, B_1, B_2)$ are LP Banach triples, then, under the same assumption as in Theorem 4.3 we have*

$$T : \overline{A}_{\overline{\theta}, p} \rightarrow \overline{B}_{\overline{\theta}, p} \quad \text{is compact Lipschitz operator.}$$

Theorem 4.4. Let $\overline{X} = (X_0, X_1)$ and $\overline{Y} = (Y_0, Y_1)$ be two Banach couples. Suppose that $\overline{A} = (A_0, A_1, A_2)$ and $\overline{B} = (B_0, B_1, B_2)$ are Banach triples such that A_i is of class $\mathcal{C}(\theta_i, \overline{X})$, with $0 < \theta_0 < \theta_1 < \theta_2 < 1$ and B is of class $\mathcal{C}(\psi_i, \overline{Y})$, with $0 < \psi_0 < \psi_1 < \psi_2 < 1$. If $T \in \mathcal{C}(\overline{X}, \overline{Y})$ is an operator such that $T : X_i \rightarrow Y_i$, $i = 0, 1$ are compact Lipschitz operators, then $T : \overline{A}_{(\alpha, \beta), p} \rightarrow \overline{B}_{(\alpha, \beta), p}$ is compact Lipschitz operator for all values of $(\alpha, \beta) \in (0, 1)^2$, with $\alpha + \beta < 1$,

$$(1 - \alpha - \beta)\theta_0 + \alpha\theta_1 + \beta\theta_2 = (1 - \alpha - \beta)\psi_0 + \alpha\psi_1 + \beta\psi_2 \text{ and } p \in [1, \infty).$$

Proof. Since A_i is of class $\mathcal{C}(\theta_i, \overline{X})$ we have (see [9])

$$(X_0, X_1)_{\eta, p} = \overline{A}_{(\alpha, \beta), p, J} = \overline{A}_{(\alpha, \beta), p, K}$$

where $\eta = (1 - \alpha - \beta)\theta_0 + \alpha\theta_1 + \beta\theta_2$. Similarly

$$(Y_0, Y_1)_{\eta, p} = \overline{B}_{(\alpha, \beta), p, J} = \overline{B}_{(\alpha, \beta), p, K}$$

where $\eta = (1 - \alpha - \beta)\psi_0 + \alpha\psi_1 + \beta\psi_2$. Now, the result follows from (5). \square

References

- [1] I. Asekritova, N. Krugljak, On Equivalence of K and J methods for $(n + 1)$ -tuples of Banach spaces, *Studia Math.*, **122**, 99-116 (1997).
- [2] J. Asekritova, N. Krugljak, L. Malingranda, L. Nicolova, L-E Persso, Lions-Peetre reiteration formulas for triples and their applications, *Studia Math.*, **145** (3), 219-254 (2001).
- [3] A.J.G. Bento, Interpolation of Compact Non-Linear Operators, *J. of Inequal & Appl.*, **5**, 227-261 (2000).
- [4] J. Bergh, J. Löfström, Interpolation Spaces. An introduction, *Spring Berlin*, 1976.
- [5] F. Cobos, On interpolation of compact non-linear operators, *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, **22**, 273-280 (1991).
- [6] F. Cobos, P. Fernandez-Martinez, A. Martinez, Y. Raynaud, On duality between K -and J -spaces, *Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society* **42**, 43-63 (1999).
- [7] N. Cofan, I. Stan, Interpolation of compact operators in the multidimensional case, *Monografii Matematice*, Universitatea de Vest Timișoara, Facultatea de Matematică, **nr 76**, 1-64 (2003).
- [8] J.L. Lions, J. Peetre, Sur une classe d'espaces d'interpolation, *Inst. Hautes Etudes Sci. Publ. Math.* **19**, 5-68 (1964).
- [9] D. Mihailov, I. Stan, On Sparr and Fernandez's interpolation methods of Banach spaces, *Novi Sad, J. Math.* **32**, no 2, 37-45 (2002).
- [10] G. Sparr, Interpolation of several Banach spaces, *Ann. Math. Pura Appl.*, 247-316 (1974).

(Nicolae Cofan) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, "POLITEHNICA" UNIVERSITY OF TIMIȘOARA,
PIAȚA REGINA MARIA, NO. 1, TIMIȘOARA, ROMANIA
E-mail address: ncofan50@yahoo.com

(Ilie Stan) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, WEST UNIVERSITY OF TIMIȘOARA,
BD. VASILE PARVAN, NO. 4, TIMIȘOARA 300223, ROMANIA
E-mail address: stan@tim1.uvt.ro