

## On $\tilde{g}$ -Semi-Homeomorphism in Topological Spaces

NEELAMEGARAJAN RAJESH, ERDAL EKICI AND MARIAM LELLIS THIVAGAR

---

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we first introduce a new class of closed maps called  $\tilde{g}$ s-closed maps also introduce a new class of homeomorphisms called  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphisms and prove that the set of all  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphisms forms a group under the operation composition of maps.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54A05, Secondary 54C08.

Key words and phrases.  $g$ -closed set,  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed set,  $\tilde{g}s$ -open set,  $\tilde{g}s$ -continuous function,  $\tilde{g}s$ -irresolute map.

---

### 1. Introduction

The notion homeomorphism plays a very important role in topology. By definition, a homeomorphism between two topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  is a bijective map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  when both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are continuous. Malghan [3] introduced the concept of generalized closed maps in topological spaces. In this paper, we first introduce a new class of closed maps called  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed maps in topological space and then we introduce and study  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphisms and prove that the set of all  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphisms forms a group under the operation composition of functions.

### 2. Preliminaries

Throughout this paper  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  represent topological spaces on which no separation axioms are assumed unless otherwise mentioned. For a subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $\text{Cl}(A)$ ,  $\text{Int}(A)$  and  $A^c$  denote the closure of  $A$ , the interior of  $A$  and the complement of  $A$  in  $X$ , respectively.

We recall the following definitions and some results, which are used in the sequel

**Definition 2.1.** A subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is called:

- (i) *semi-open* [2] if  $A \subseteq \text{Cl}(\text{Int}(A))$ .
- (ii) *semi-closed* [2] if  $\text{Int}(\text{Cl}(A)) \subseteq A$ .

The semi-closure [1] of a subset  $A$  of  $X$ , denoted by  $s\text{Cl}_X(A)$  briefly  $s\text{Cl}(A)$ , is defined to be the intersection of all semi-closed sets containing  $A$ .

**Definition 2.2.** A subset  $A$  of a space  $(X, \tau)$  is called:

- (i) a  $\hat{g}$ -closed set [7] if  $\text{Cl}(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is semi-open in  $(X, \tau)$ . The complement of a  $\hat{g}$ -closed set is called  $\hat{g}$ -open.
- (ii) a  $^*g$ -closed set [8] if  $\text{Cl}(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\hat{g}$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . The complement of a  $^*g$ -closed set is called  $^*g$ -open.
- (iii) a  $\#g$ -semi-closed (briefly  $\#gs$ -closed) set [9] if  $s\text{Cl}(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $^*g$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . The complement of a  $\#gs$ -closed set is called  $\#gs$ -open.
- (iv)  $\tilde{g}$ -semi-closed set (briefly  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed) [6] if  $s\text{Cl}(A) \subseteq U$  whenever  $A \subseteq U$  and  $U$  is  $\#gs$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . The complement of a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed set is called  $\tilde{g}s$ -open.

**Definition 2.3.** A function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called:

- (i)  $\tilde{g}s$ -continuous [5] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$  for every closed set  $V$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .
- (ii)  $\tilde{g}s$ -irresolute [5] if  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$  for every  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed set  $V$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** [5] If a map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -irresolute, then it is  $\tilde{g}s$ -continuous.

**Definition 2.4.** Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $E \subseteq X$ . We define the  $\tilde{g}s$ -closure [4] of  $E$  (briefly  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(E)$ ) to be the intersection of all  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed sets containing  $E$ . In symbols,  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(E) = \bigcap \{A : E \subseteq A \text{ and } A \in \tilde{G}SC(X, \tau)\}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** [4] Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $E \subseteq X$ . The following properties are hold:

- (i)  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(E)$  is the smallest  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed set containing  $E$  and
- (ii)  $E$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed if and only if  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(E) = E$ .

**Proposition 2.3.** [4] For any two subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $(X, \tau)$ ,

- (i) If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(A) \subseteq \tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(B)$ ,
- (ii)  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(A \cap B) \subseteq \tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(A) \cap \tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(B)$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** [6] Suppose that  $B \subseteq A \subseteq X$ ,  $B$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed set relative to  $A$  and that  $A$  is open and  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $B$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ .

**Corollary 2.1.** [6] If  $A$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed set and  $F$  is a closed set, then  $A \cap F$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed set.

**Theorem 2.2.** [6] A set  $A$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$  if and only if  $F \subseteq s\text{Int}(A)$  whenever  $F$  is  $\#gs$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$  and  $F \subseteq A$ .

**Definition 2.5.** [4] Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space and  $E \subseteq X$ . We define the  $\tilde{g}s$ -interior of  $E$  (briefly  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Int}(E)$ ) to be the union of all  $\tilde{g}s$ -open sets contained in  $E$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** [4] For any  $E \subseteq X$ ,  $\text{Int}(E) \subseteq \tilde{g}s\text{-Int}(E) \subseteq E$ .

*Proof.* Since every open set is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open, the proof follows immediately.  $\square$

### 3. $\tilde{g}s$ -Closed Maps

In this section, we introduce the notions of  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed maps,  $\tilde{g}s$ -open maps,  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -closed maps,  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -open maps in topological spaces and obtain certain characterizations of these maps.

**Definition 3.1.** The map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is called  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed if the image of every closed set in  $(X, \tau)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

**Example 3.1.** Let  $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, X\}$  and  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, Y\}$ . Define a map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  by  $f(a) = b$ ,  $f(b) = a$  and  $f(c) = c$ . Then  $f$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed map.

**Proposition 3.1.** A mapping  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed if and only if  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f(A)) \subseteq f(\text{Cl}(A))$  for every subset  $A$  of  $(X, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed and  $A \subseteq X$ . Then  $f(\text{Cl}(A))$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . We have  $f(A) \subseteq f(\text{Cl}(A))$  and by Propositions 2.2 and 2.3,  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f(A)) \subseteq \tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f(\text{Cl}(A))) = f(\text{Cl}(A))$ .

Conversely, let  $A$  be any closed set in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $A = \text{Cl}(A)$  and so  $f(A) = f(\text{Cl}(A)) \supseteq \tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f(A))$ , by hypothesis. We have  $f(A) \subseteq \tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f(A))$  by Proposition 2.2. Therefore,  $f(A) = \tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f(A))$ . i.e.,  $f(A)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed by Proposition 2.2 and hence  $f$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.1.** *A map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed if and only if for each subset  $S$  of  $(Y, \sigma)$  and for each open set  $U$  containing  $f^{-1}(S)$  there exists a  $\tilde{g}s$ -open set  $V$  of  $(Y, \sigma)$  such that  $S \subseteq V$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed map. Let  $S \subseteq Y$  and  $U$  be an open subset of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $f^{-1}(S) \subseteq U$ . Then  $V = (f(U^c))^c$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -open set containing  $S$  such that  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq U$ .

For the converse, let  $S$  be a closed set of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $f^{-1}((f(S))^c) \subseteq S^c$  and  $S^c$  is open. By assumption, there exists a  $\tilde{g}s$ -open set  $V$  of  $(Y, \sigma)$  such that  $(f(S))^c \subseteq V$  and  $f^{-1}(V) \subseteq S^c$  and so  $S \subseteq (f^{-1}(V))^c$ . Hence  $V^c \subseteq f(S) \subseteq f((f^{-1}(V))^c) \subseteq V^c$  which implies  $f(S) = V^c$ . Since  $V^c$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed,  $f(S)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed and therefore  $f$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed.  $\square$

The following example shows that the composition of two  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed maps need not be  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed.

**Example 3.2.** *Let  $X = Y = Z = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$ ,  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \{a, c\}, Y\}$  and  $\eta = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, Z\}$ . Define a map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  by  $f(a) = f(b) = b$  and  $f(c) = a$  and a map  $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  by  $g(a) = c$ ,  $g(b) = b$  and  $g(c) = a$ . Then both  $f$  and  $g$  are  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed maps but their composition  $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  is not a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed map, since for the closed set  $\{b, c\}$  in  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $(g \circ f)(\{b, c\}) = \{a, b\}$ , which is not a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed set in  $(Z, \eta)$ .*

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a closed map and  $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  be a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed map, then their composition  $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed.*

*Proof.* Obvious.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed and  $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  is closed, then their composition need not be a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed map as seen from the following example.*

**Example 3.3.** *Let  $X = Y = Z = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, X\}$ ,  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \{a, c\}, Y\}$  and  $\eta = \{\emptyset, \{b\}, \{a, c\}, Z\}$ . Define a map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  by  $f(a) = f(b) = b$  and  $f(c) = a$  and  $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  be the identity map. Then  $f$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed map and  $g$  is a closed map. But their composition  $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \sigma)$  is not a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed map, since for the closed set  $\{b, c\}$  in  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $(g \circ f)(\{b, c\}) = \{a, b\}$ , which is not  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(Z, \sigma)$ .*

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  and  $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  be two mappings such that their composition  $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  be a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed mapping. Then the following statements are true.*

- (i) *If  $f$  is continuous and surjective, then  $g$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed.*
- (ii) *If  $g$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -irresolute and injective, then  $f$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed.*

*Proof.* (i). Let  $A$  be a closed set of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Since  $f$  is continuous,  $f^{-1}(A)$  is closed in  $(X, \tau)$  and since  $g \circ f$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed,  $(g \circ f)(f^{-1}(A))$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(Z, \sigma)$ . i.e.,  $g(A)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(Z, \sigma)$ , since  $f$  is surjective. Therefore,  $g$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed map.

(ii). Let  $B$  be a closed set of  $(X, \tau)$ . Since  $g \circ f$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed,  $(g \circ f)(B)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(Z, \sigma)$ . Since  $g$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -irresolute,  $g^{-1}((g \circ f)(B))$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . i.e.,  $f(B)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(Y, \sigma)$ , since  $g$  is injective. Thus,  $f$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed map.  $\square$

As for the restriction  $f_A$  of a map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  to a subset  $A$  of  $(X, \tau)$ , we have the following:

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  and  $(Y, \sigma)$  be any topological spaces. Then*

- (i) *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed and  $A$  is a closed subset of  $(X, \tau)$ , then  $f_A : (A, \tau_A) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed.*
- (ii) *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed (resp. closed) and  $A = f^{-1}(B)$  for some closed (resp.  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed) set  $B$  of  $(Y, \sigma)$ , then  $f_A : (A, \tau_A) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed.*

*Proof.* (i). Let  $B$  be a closed set of  $A$ . Then  $B = A \cap F$  for some closed set  $F$  of  $(X, \tau)$  and so  $B$  is closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . By hypothesis,  $f(B)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . But  $f(B) = f_A(B)$  and therefore  $f_A$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed map.

(ii). Let  $D$  be a closed set of  $A$ . Then  $D = A \cap H$  for some closed set  $H$  in  $(X, \tau)$ . Now  $f_A(D) = f(D) = f(A \cap H) = f(f^{-1}(B) \cap H) = B \cap f(H)$ . Since  $f$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed,  $f(H)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed and so  $B \cap f(H)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(Y, \sigma)$  by Corollary 2.1. Therefore,  $f_A$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed map.  $\square$

Analogous to a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed map, we define a  $\tilde{g}s$ -open map as follows:

**Definition 3.2.** *A map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to a  $\tilde{g}s$ -open map if the image  $f(A)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$  for each open set  $A$  in  $(X, \tau)$ .*

**Proposition 3.3.** *For any bijective  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ , the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$f^{-1} : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -continuous,*
- (ii)  *$f$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -open map and*
- (iii)  *$f$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed map.*

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Let  $U$  be an open set of  $(X, \tau)$ . By assumption  $(f^{-1})^{-1}(U) = f(U)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$  and so  $f$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Let  $F$  be a closed set of  $(X, \tau)$ . Then  $F^c$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ . By assumption,  $f(F^c)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . i.e.,  $f(F^c) = (f(F))^c$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$  and therefore  $f(F)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Hence  $f$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed.

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Let  $F$  be a closed set in  $(X, \tau)$ . By assumption  $f(F)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . But  $f(F) = (f^{-1})^{-1}(F)$  and therefore  $f^{-1}$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -continuous on  $Y$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.3.** *Let  $x$  be a point of  $(X, \tau)$  and  $V$  be a subset of  $X$ . Then  $V$  is called a  $\tilde{g}s$ -neighbourhood of  $x$  in  $(X, \tau)$  if there exists a  $\tilde{g}s$ -open set  $U$  of  $(X, \tau)$  such that  $x \in U \subseteq V$ .*

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a mapping. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  *$f$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -open mapping.*
- (ii) *For a subset  $A$  of  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $f(\text{int}(A) \subseteq \tilde{g}s\text{-Int}(f(A)))$ .*
- (iii) *For each  $x \in X$  and for each neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  in  $(X, \tau)$ , there exists a  $\tilde{g}s$ -neighbourhood  $W$  of  $f(x)$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$  such that  $W \subseteq f(U)$ .*

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii): Suppose  $f$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open. Let  $A \subseteq X$ . Since  $\text{Int}(A)$  is open in  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $f(\text{Int}(A))$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Hence  $f(\text{Int}(A)) \subseteq f(A)$  and we have,  $f(\text{Int}(A)) \subseteq \tilde{g}s\text{-Int}(f(A))$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Suppose (ii) holds. Let  $x \in X$  and  $U$  be an arbitrary neighborhood of  $x$  in  $(X, \tau)$ . Then there exists an open set  $G$  such that  $x \in G \subseteq U$ . By assumption,  $f(G) = f(\text{Int}(G)) \subseteq \tilde{g}s\text{-Int}(f(G))$ . This implies  $f(G) = \tilde{g}s\text{-Int}(f(G))$ . Therefore,  $f(G)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Further,  $f(x) \in f(G) \subseteq f(U)$  and so (iii) holds, by taking  $W = f(G)$ .

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): Suppose (iii) holds. Let  $U$  be any open set in  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $x \in U$  and  $f(x) = y$ . Then for each  $x \in U$ ,  $y \in f(U)$ , by assumption there exists a  $\tilde{g}s$ -neighbourhood  $W_y$  of  $y$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$  such that  $W_y \subseteq f(U)$ . Since  $W_y$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -neighbourhood of  $y$ , there exists a  $\tilde{g}s$ -open set  $V_y$  in  $(Y, \sigma)$  such that  $y \in V_y \subseteq W_y$ . Therefore,  $f(U) = \bigcup \{V_y : y \in f(U)\}$ . Since any union of  $\tilde{g}s$ -open sets is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open set,  $f(U)$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -open set of  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Thus,  $f$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -open mapping.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *A function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open if and only if for any subset  $B$  of  $(Y, \sigma)$  and for any closed set  $S$  containing  $f^{-1}(B)$ , there exists a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed set  $A$  of  $(Y, \sigma)$  containing  $B$  such that  $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq S$ .*

*Proof.* Similar to Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** *A function  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open if and only if  $f^{-1}(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(B)) \subseteq \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(B))$  for every subset  $B$  of  $(Y, \sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open. Then for any  $B \subseteq Y$ ,  $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(B))$ . By Theorem 3.5, there exists a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed set  $A$  of  $(Y, \sigma)$  such that  $B \subseteq A$  and  $f^{-1}(A) \subseteq \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(B))$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(A) \subseteq \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(B))$ , since  $A$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .

Conversely, let  $S$  be any subset of  $(Y, \sigma)$  and  $F$  be any closed set containing  $f^{-1}(S)$ . Put  $A = \tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(S)$ . Then  $A$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed set and  $S \subseteq A$ . By assumption,  $f^{-1}(A) = f^{-1}(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(S)) \subseteq \text{Cl}(f^{-1}(S)) \subseteq F$  and therefore by Theorem 3.5,  $f$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open.  $\square$

Finally in this section, we define another new class of maps called  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -closed maps which are stronger than  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed maps.

**Definition 3.4.** *A map  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be a  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -closed map if the image  $f(A)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(Y, \sigma)$  for every  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed set  $A$  in  $(X, \tau)$ .*

For example, the map  $f$  in Example 3.1 is a  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -closed map.

**Remark 3.2.** *Since every closed set is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed set, we have every  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -closed map is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed map. The converse is not true in general as seen from the following example.*

**Example 3.4.** *Let  $X = Y = \{a, b, c\}$ ,  $\tau = \{\emptyset, \{a, b\}, X\}$ ,  $\sigma = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{a, b\}, Y\}$  and  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be the identity map. Then  $f$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed map but not a  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -closed map, since  $\{a, c\}$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed set in  $(X, \tau)$ , but its image under  $f$  is  $\{a, c\}$ , which is not  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(Y, \sigma)$ .*

**Proposition 3.4.** *A mapping  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -closed if and only if  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f(A)) \subseteq f(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(A))$  for every subset  $A$  of  $(X, \tau)$ .*

*Proof.* Similar to Proposition 3.1  $\square$

Analogous to  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -closed map we can also define  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -open map.

**Proposition 3.5.** *For any bijection  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ , the following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $f^{-1} : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -irresolute,
- (ii)  $f$  is a  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -open and
- (iii)  $f$  is a  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -closed map.

*Proof.* Similar to Proposition 3.3.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . Then  $p \in \tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(A)$  if and only if for any  $\tilde{g}s$ -neighborhood  $N$  of  $p$  in  $X$ ,  $A \cap N \neq \emptyset$ .*

**Definition 3.5.** Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$ . A maplication  $r : X \rightarrow A$  is called a  $\tilde{g}s$ -continuous retraction if  $r$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -continuous and the restriction  $r_A$  is the identity mapping on  $A$ .

**Definition 3.6.** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called a  $\tilde{g}s$ -Hausdorff if for each pair  $x, y$  of distinct points of  $X$ , there exists  $\tilde{g}s$ -neighborhoods  $U_1$  and  $U_2$  of  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively, that are disjoint.

**Theorem 3.6.** Let  $A$  be a subset of  $X$  and  $r : X \rightarrow A$  be a  $\tilde{g}s$ -continuous retraction. If  $X$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -Hausdorff, then  $A$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed set of  $X$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $A$  is not  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed. Then there exists a point  $x$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in \tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(A)$  but  $x \notin A$ . It follows that  $r(x) \neq x$  because  $r$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -continuous retraction. Since  $X$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -Hausdorff, there exists disjoint  $\tilde{g}s$ -open sets  $U$  and  $V$  in  $X$  such that  $x \in U$  and  $r(x) \in V$ . Now let  $W$  be an arbitrary  $\tilde{g}s$ -neighborhood of  $x$ . Then  $W \cap U$  of  $x$ . Since  $x \in \tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(A)$ , by Lemma 3.1, we have  $(W \cap U) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore there exists a point  $y$  in  $W \cap U \cap A$ . Since  $y \in A$ , we have  $r(y) = y \in U$  and hence  $r(y) \notin V$ . This implies that  $r(W) \not\subseteq V$  because  $y \in W$ . This is contrary to the  $\tilde{g}s$ -continuity of  $r$ . Consequently,  $A$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed set of  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.7.** Let  $\{X_i | i \in I\}$  be any family of topological spaces. If  $f : X \rightarrow \prod X_i$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -continuous mapping, then  $P_{r_i} \circ f : X \rightarrow X_i$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -continuous for each  $i \in I$ , where  $P_{r_i}$  is the projection of  $\prod X_j$  on  $X_i$ .

*Proof.* We shall consider a fixed  $i \in I$ . Suppose  $U_i$  is an arbitrary open set in  $X_i$ . Then  $P_{r_i}^{-1}(U_i)$  is open in  $\prod X_i$ . Since  $f$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -continuous, we have  $f^{-1}(P_{r_i}^{-1}(U_i)) = (P_{r_i} \circ f)^{-1}(U_i)$   $\tilde{g}s$ -open in  $X$ . Therefore,  $P_{r_i} \circ f$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -continuous.  $\square$

#### 4. $\tilde{g}s^*$ -Homeomorphisms

In this section we introduce the following definition.

**Definition 4.1.** A bijection  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is said to be  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphisms if both  $f$  and  $f^{-1}$  are  $\tilde{g}s$ -irresolute.

We denote the family of all  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphism of a topological space  $(X, \tau)$  onto itself by  $\tilde{g}s^*\text{-}h(X, \tau)$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  and  $g : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  are  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphisms, then their composition  $g \circ f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Z, \eta)$  is also  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphism.

*Proof.* Let  $U$  be  $\tilde{g}s$ -open set in  $(Z, \eta)$ . Now,  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(U) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(U)) = f^{-1}(V)$ , where  $V = g^{-1}(U)$ . By hypothesis,  $V$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$  and so again by hypothesis,  $f^{-1}(V)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open in  $(X, \tau)$ . Therefore,  $g \circ f$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -irresolute.

Also for a  $\tilde{g}s$ -open set  $G$  in  $(X, \tau)$ , we have  $(g \circ f)(G) = g(f(G)) = g(W)$ , where  $W = f(G)$ . By hypothesis  $f(G)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open in  $(Y, \sigma)$  and so again by hypothesis,  $g(f(G))$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open in  $(Z, \eta)$ . i.e.,  $(g \circ f)(G)$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -open in  $(Z, \eta)$  and therefore  $(g \circ f)^{-1}$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -irresolute. Hence  $g \circ f$  is a  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphism.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.1.** The set  $\tilde{g}s^*\text{-}h(X, \tau)$  is a group under the composition of maps.

*Proof.* Define a binary operation  $*$  :  $\tilde{g}s^*\text{-}h(X, \tau) \times \tilde{g}s^*\text{-}h(X, \tau) \rightarrow \tilde{g}s^*\text{-}h(X, \tau)$  by  $f * g = g \circ f$  for all  $f, g \in \tilde{g}s^*\text{-}h(X, \tau)$  and  $\circ$  is the usual operation of composition of maps. Then by Proposition 4.1,  $g \circ f \in \tilde{g}s^*\text{-}h(X, \tau)$ . We know that the composition of maps is associative and the identity map  $I : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  belonging to  $\tilde{g}s^*\text{-}h(X, \tau)$

servers as the identity element. If  $f \in \tilde{g}s^*-h(X, \tau)$ , then  $f^{-1} \in \tilde{g}s^*-h(X, \tau)$  such that  $f \circ f^{-1} = f^{-1} \circ f = I$  and so inverse exists for each element of  $\tilde{g}s^*-h(X, \tau)$ . Therefore,  $(\tilde{g}s^*-h(X, \tau), \circ)$  is a group under the operation of composition of maps.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  be a  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphism. Then  $f$  induces an isomorphism from the group  $\tilde{g}s^*-h(X, \tau)$  onto the group  $\tilde{g}s^*-h(Y, \sigma)$ .*

*Proof.* Using the map  $f$ , we define a map  $\theta_f : \tilde{g}s^*-h(X, \tau) \rightarrow \tilde{g}s^*-h(Y, \sigma)$  by  $\theta_f(h) = f \circ h \circ f^{-1}$  for every  $h \in \tilde{g}s^*-h(X, \tau)$ . Then  $\theta_f$  is a bijection. Further, for all  $h_1, h_2 \in \tilde{g}s^*-h(X, \tau)$ ,  $\theta_f(h_1 \circ h_2) = f \circ (h_1 \circ h_2) \circ f^{-1} = (f \circ h_1 \circ f^{-1}) \circ (f \circ h_2 \circ f^{-1}) = \theta_f(h_1) \circ \theta_f(h_2)$ . Therefore,  $\theta_f$  is a homeomorphism and so it is an isomorphism induced by  $f$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.3.**  *$\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphism is an equivalence relation in the collection of all topological spaces.*

*Proof.* Reflexivity and symmetry are immediate and transitivity follows from Proposition 4.1.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphism, then  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(B)) = f^{-1}(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(B))$  for all  $B \subseteq Y$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f$  is a  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphism,  $f$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -irresolute. Since  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f(B))$  is a  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed set in  $(Y, \sigma)$ ,  $f^{-1}(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f(B)))$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ . Now,  $f^{-1}(B) \subseteq f^{-1}(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f(B)))$  and so by Proposition 2.3,  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f(B)))$ .

Again since  $f$  is a  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphism,  $f^{-1}$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -irresolute. Since  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(B))$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(X, \tau)$ ,  $(f^{-1})^{-1}(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(B))) = f(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(B)))$  is  $\tilde{g}s$ -closed in  $(Y, \sigma)$ . Now,  $B \subseteq (f^{-1})^{-1}(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(B))) \subseteq (f^{-1})^{-1}(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(B))) = f(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(B)))$  and so  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(B) \subseteq f(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(B)))$ . Therefore,  $f^{-1}(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(B)) \subseteq f^{-1}(f(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(B)))) \subseteq \tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f^{-1}(B))$  and hence the equality holds.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphism, then  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f(B)) = f(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(B))$  for all  $B \subseteq X$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphism,  $f^{-1} : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is also a  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphism. Therefore, by Theorem 4.4,  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}((f^{-1})^{-1}(B)) = (f^{-1})^{-1}(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(B))$  for all  $B \subseteq X$ . i.e.,  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f(B)) = f(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(B))$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.2.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphism, then  $f(\tilde{g}s\text{-Int}(B)) = \tilde{g}s\text{-Int}(f(B))$  for all  $B \subseteq X$ .*

*Proof.* For any set  $B \subseteq X$ ,  $\tilde{g}s\text{-Int}(B) = (\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(B^c))^c$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Thus, } f(\tilde{g}s\text{-Int}(B)) &= f((\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(B^c))^c) \\ &= (f(\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(B^c)))^c \\ &= (\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}(f(B^c)))^c, \text{ by Corollary 4.1} \\ &= (\tilde{g}s\text{-Cl}((f(B))^c))^c = \tilde{g}s\text{-Int}(f(B)). \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Corollary 4.3.** *If  $f : (X, \tau) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$  is a  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphism, then  $f^{-1}(\tilde{g}s\text{-Int}(B)) = \tilde{g}s\text{-Int}(f^{-1}(B))$  for all  $B \subseteq Y$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $f^{-1} : (Y, \sigma) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$  is a  $\tilde{g}s^*$ -homeomorphism, the proof follows from Corollary 4.2.  $\square$

**References**

- [1] Crossley S.G and Hildebrand S. K, Semi-closure, Texas J. Sci. 22(1971), 99-112.
- [2] Levine N, Semi-open sets and semi-continuity in topological spaces, Amer. Math. Monthly, 70(1963), 36-41.
- [3] Malghan S. R, Generalized closed maps, J. Karnataka Univ. Sci., 27(1982), 82-88.
- [4] Rajesh N, Thivagar M. L and Ekici E, On  $\tilde{g}$ -Locally semi-closed sets,  $\tilde{g}$ -LSC\* sets and  $\tilde{g}$ -LSC\*\* sets (Submitted).
- [5] Rajesh N, Thivagar M. L and Ekici E, On  $\tilde{g}$ -semi continuous functions, J. Appl. Func. and Diff. Eqtns. (to appear).
- [6] Sundaram P, Rajesh N, Thivagar M. L and Duszynski Z, On  $\tilde{g}$ -Semi-closed sets in topological spaces, to appear in Math. Pannonica (2007 issue).
- [7] Veera Kumar M.K.R.S,  $\tilde{g}$ -closed sets in topological spaces, Bulletin Allahabad Math. Soc., 18(2003), 99-112.
- [8] Veera Kumar M.K.R.S, Between  $g^*$ -closed sets and  $g$ -closed sets, Antarctica J. Math, Reprint.
- [9] Veera Kumar M.K.R.S,  $\#g$ -Semi-closed sets in topological spaces, Antarctica J. Math, 2(2) (2005), 201-222.

(Neelamegarajan Rajesh) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, KONGU ENGINEERING COLLEGE,  
PERUNDURAI, ERODE-638 052, TAMILNADU, INDIA  
*E-mail address:* nrajesh\_topology@yahoo.co.in

(Erdal Ekici) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CANAKKALE ONSEKIZ MART UNIVERSITY,  
TERZIOGLU CAMPUS, 17020 CANAKKALE, TURKEY  
*E-mail address:* eekici@comu.edu.tr

(Mariam Lellis Thivagar) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, ARUL ANANDHAR COLLEGE,  
KARUMATHUR, MADURAI, TAMILNADU, INDIA  
*E-mail address:* mlthivagar@yahoo.co.in