

On Subclasses of $K(G)$ -algebras

K. H. DAR AND M. AKRAM

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce the class of $K(G)$ -algebras as a super class of the classes of $BCH/BCI/BCK$ -algebras and the class of B -algebras, when the group (G, \cdot) is abelian and non-abelian respectively.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 06F35.

Key words and phrases. $K(G)$ -algebras, Logical algebras, Group.

1. Introduction

Imai and Iséki introduced two classes of logical algebras: BCK -algebras and BCI -algebras in [15, 16]. It is known that the class of BCK -algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI -algebras. Hu and Li introduced a wide class of logical algebras: BCH -algebras in [9, 10]. They have demonstrated that the class of BCI -algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCH -algebras. Neggers and Kim [17] introduced the notion of B -algebras which is equivalent in some sense to the groups.

Dar and Akram introduced wider class of logical algebras on a group (G, \cdot) : K -algebras in [1, 2]. A K -algebra was built on the group G (briefly, $K(G)$ -algebra) by using the induced binary operation \odot on (G, \cdot) as $x \odot y = x \cdot y^{-1} = xy^{-1}$, for all $x, y \in G$. This paper describes the classes of $BCH/BCI/BCK$ -algebras as subclasses of the class of $K(G)$ -algebras when (G, \cdot) is an abelian group, and the class of B -algebras as a subclass of $K(G)$ -algebras if (G, \cdot) is a non-abelian group.

2. Preliminaries

In this section we review some elementary aspects that are necessary for this paper:

Definition 2.1. [1] A $K(G)$ -algebra $(G; \cdot, \odot, e)$ is an algebra defined on the group (G, \cdot) in which each non-identity element is not of order 2 with the following axioms:

$$(K1) \quad (x \odot y) \odot (x \odot z) = (x \odot (z^{-1} \odot y^{-1})) \odot x,$$

$$(K2) \quad x \odot (x \odot y) = (x \odot y^{-1}) \odot x,$$

$$(K3) \quad (x \odot x) = e,$$

$$(K4) \quad (x \odot e) = x,$$

$$(K5) \quad (e \odot x) = x^{-1}, \forall x, y, z \in G.$$

If group (G, \cdot) is an abelian, then the axioms (K1) and (K2) hold respectively as follows:

$$(\overline{K1}) \quad (x \odot y) \odot (x \odot z) = z \odot y.$$

$$(\overline{K2}) \quad x \odot (x \odot y) = y.$$

Received: March 31, 2006.

The research work of first author is supported by HEC-Islamabad, Pakistan.

The second author is supported by Punjab University College of Information Technology.

Example 2.1. [1] Consider the $K(G)$ -algebra (G, \cdot, \odot, e) , where $G = \{e, a, a^2, a^3, a^4\}$ is the cyclic group of order 5 and \odot is given by the following Cayley table:

\odot	e	a	a^2	a^3	a^4
e	e	a^4	a^3	a^2	a
a	a	e	a^4	a^3	a^2
a^2	a^2	a	e	a^4	e^3
a^3	a^3	a^2	a	e	e^4
a^4	a^4	a^3	a^2	a	e

Example 2.2. [1] Consider the $K(S_3)$ -algebra (S_3, \cdot, \odot, e) on the symmetric group $S_3 = \{e, a, b, x, y, z\}$ where $e = (1)$, $a = (123)$, $b = (132)$, $x = (12)$, $y = (13)$, $z = (23)$, and \odot is given by the following Cayley table:

\odot	e	x	y	z	a	b
e	e	x	y	z	b	a
x	x	e	a	b	z	y
y	y	b	e	a	x	z
z	z	a	b	e	y	x
a	a	z	x	y	e	b
b	b	y	z	x	a	e

Proposition 2.1. [2] If $K(G) = (G; \cdot, \odot, e)$ is a $K(G)$ -algebra on an abelian group G , then

- (a) $(e \odot x) \odot (e \odot y) = y \odot x = e \odot (x \odot y)$,
- (b) $(x \odot z) \odot (y \odot z) = x \odot y$,
- (c) $e \odot (e \odot x) = x$,
- (d) $x \odot (e \odot y) = y \odot (e \odot x)$,

for any $x, y, z \in G$.

Definition 2.2. [17] A groupoid $(X; *, 0)$ with a special element 0 is called a B -algebra if, for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following axioms hold:

- (B1) $x * x = 0$,
- (B2) $x * 0 = x$,
- (B3) $(x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y))$.

In B -algebras, the following identities hold:

- (B4) $0 * (0 * x) = x$. [17]
- (B5) $0 * (x * y) = y * x$. [18]
- (B6) $x * (x * (0 * x)) = 0 * x$. [17]
- (B7) $x * (y * z) = (x * (0 * z)) * y$. [18]
- (B8) $x * (z * (0 * x)) = (0 * x) * (z * x) = 0 * z$. [18]
- (B9) $(x * y) * (0 * y) = x$. [17].

Definition 2.3. [17] A B -algebras $(X; *, 0)$ is said to be commutative if, $x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x)$, for all $x, y \in X$.

We characterize commutative B -algebras in the following proposition:

Proposition 2.2. In B -algebras $(X; *, 0)$, the following statements are equivalent:

- (a) B -algebra $(X; *, 0)$ is commutative,
- (b) $x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x)$,
- (c) $x * (x * y) = y$,
- (d) $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$,

- (e) $(0 * x) * (0 * y) = 0 * (x * y)$,
 (f) $(x * y) * (x * z) = z * y$,
 for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Definition 2.4. [9] A groupoid $(X; *, 0)$ with a special element 0 is called a *BCH-algebra* if, for all $x, y, z \in X$, the following axioms hold:

- (BCH1) $x * x = 0$,
 (BCH2) $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y$,
 (BCH3) $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$.

The following identities hold in *BCH*-algebras:

- (BCH4) $x * 0 = x$.
 (BCH5) $x * 0 = 0 \Rightarrow x = 0$.
 (BCH6) $0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y)$.
 (BCH7) $0 * (0 * (0 * x)) = 0 * x$.
 (BCH8) $\{x * (x * y)\} * y = 0$.

Definition 2.5. An algebra $(X; *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ is called a *BCI-algebra* if, for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following axioms hold:

- (BCI1) $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$,
 (BCI2) $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$,
 (BCI3) $x * x = 0$,
 (BCI4) $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$.

In *BCI*-algebras, the following hold:

- (BCI5) $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$.
 (BCI6) $(x * 0) = x$.
 (BCI7) $(x * y) * z = x * (y * (0 * z))$.
 (BCI8) $0 * (y * x) = (0 * y) * (0 * x) = x * y$.
 (BCI9) $0 * (0 * x) = x$.
 (BCI10) $x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x)$.

Definition 2.6. A *BCI-algebra* $(X; *, 0)$ is a *BCK-algebra*, if it satisfies

- (BCK) $0 * x = 0$, for all $x \in X$.

3. *B*-algebra as a subclass

It is realized in [17] that a *B*-algebra $(X; *, 0)$ admits the structure of a group (X, \circ) , where $x \circ y = x * (0 * y)$, for all $x, y \in X$. It is easy to remark that :

- (B10) *B*-algebra is commutative if and only if the group (X, \circ) is commutative.
 (B11) *B*-algebra is not proper if each non-identity element of the group (X, \circ) is its own inverse.
 (B12) $x^{-1} = 0 * x$.
 (B13) $x \circ y = x * (0 * y) = x * y^{-1}$.
 (B14) 0 is the identity of the group.

Theorem 3.1. Let $(X; *, 0)$ be a *B*-algebra and (X, \circ) be the group admissible on *B*-algebra. Then *B*-algebra $(X; *, 0)$ is equivalent to a $K(G)$ -algebra built on the group $G = (X, \circ)$.

Proof. If the binary operation $'*'$ introduced on the group (X, \circ) which is defined by $x * y = x \circ y^{-1}$, for all $x, y \in X$, then the properties $K3$, $K4$ and $K5$ of definition

2.1 are easily verified by the algebra $(X; \circ, *)$ built on the group (X, \circ) , $K1$ and $K2$ are verified by the B -algebra $(X; *, \circ)$ since

$$\begin{aligned}
(x * y) * (x * z) &= x * ((x * z) * (0 * y)) \\
&= x * (x * ((0 * y) * (0 * z))) \quad [by B3] \\
&= x * (x * (y^{-1} \circ z)) \quad [(X, \circ) \text{ is a group}] \\
&= (x * (0 * (y^{-1} \circ z))) * x \quad [by B3] \\
&= (x * (y^{-1} \circ z)^{-1}) * x \quad [0 * x = x^{-1}] \\
&= (x * (z^{-1} \circ y)) * x \quad [\circ \text{ is a group operation}] \\
&= (x * (z^{-1} * y^{-1})) * x, \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in X
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
x * (x * y) &= (x * (0 * y)) * x \quad [by B3] \\
&= (x * y^{-1}) * x, \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in X.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus B -algebras is the desired $K(G)$ -algebra built on the group $G = (X, \circ)$. \square

Corollary 3.1. *The binary operations ' \circ ' and ' $*$ ' are right inverses of each other.*

4. BCH -algebra as a subclass

From the definition 2.6 and Proposition 2.2(d), it is seen that every BCH -algebra is a commutative B -subalgebra and hence admits an abelian group $(X, *)$, where $x * y = x \cdot y^{-1} = x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x)$, for all $x, y \in X$. Thus

Theorem 4.1. *A BCH -algebra $(X; *, \circ)$ is $K(G)$ -algebra built on an abelian group (X, \circ) , for all $x, y \in X$.*

Proof. Let (X, \circ) be an abelian group. Then B -algebra as $K(G)$ -subalgebra built on (X, \circ) has to be abelian [by B10]. By proposition 2.2(d), it implies that $K(G)$ -algebra $(X; \circ, \odot)$ is equivalent to a BCH -algebra $(X, \circ, *)$, where $x \odot y = x \circ y^{-1} = x * (0 * y)$. \square

5. BCI -algebra as a subclass

It is known that a BCI -algebra admits the structure of an abelian group (X, \cdot) by an induced binary operation $x \cdot y = x * (0 * y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. deduced by

$$x * y = x \cdot y^{-1} \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (1)$$

The (1)-equation admits the structure of $K(G)$ -algebra [1] on the BCI -group (X, \cdot) . We prove that a class of BCI -algebras is equivalent to a class of $K(G)$ -algebra built on an abelian BCI -group (X, \cdot) .

Theorem 5.1. *Let (X, \cdot) be BCI -group having identity element 0. Then the BCI -algebra $(X; *, 0)$ is equivalent to a $K(G)$ -algebra $(X; \odot, 0)$ built on the BCI -group (X, \cdot) .*

Proof. The properties (K3), (K4) and (K5) of $K(G)$ -algebra can easily be verified from the properties (BCI3), (BCI6) and (1)-equation of BCI -algebra $(X; *, 0)$. The properties $\overline{K1}$ and $\overline{K2}$ are verified in BCI -algebra since

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x * y) * (x * z) &= x * (y * (0 * (x * z))) && \text{[by BCI7]} \\
 &= x * (y * (z * x)) && \text{[by BCI8]} \\
 &= x * ((y * z) * (0 * x)) && \text{[by BCI7]} \\
 &= (x * (y * z)) * (0 * (0 * x)) && \text{[by BCI7]} \\
 &= (x * (y * z)) * x && \text{[by BCI9]} \\
 &= (x * x) * (y * z) && \text{[by BCI5]} \\
 &= 0 * (y * z) && \text{[by BCI3]} \\
 &= z * y. && \text{[by BCI8]}
 \end{aligned}$$

The axiom $\overline{K2}$ can also be verified directly from $BCI2$ of definition 2.5. This ends the proof. \square

Corollary 5.1. *All the classes of BCK -algebras form subclasses of $K(G)$ -algebras built on abelian groups.*

References

- [1] K. H. Dar and M. Akram, *On a K -algebra built on a group*, SEA Bull. Math., **29**(1)(2005) 49-57.
- [2] K. H. Dar and M. Akram, *Characterization of a $K(G)$ -algebra by self maps*, SEA Bull. Math., **28**(4)(2004)601-610.
- [3] K. H. Dar, M. Akram and A. Farooq, *A note on a left $K(G)$ -algebra*, SEA Bull. Math. **30**(2006).
- [4] M. Akram and S. H. Kim, *On K -algebras and BCI -algebras*, Int. Math. Jour. (To appear).
- [5] K. H. Dar, M. A. Chaudhary and B. Ahmad, *A note on BCI -algebras*, J. Nat. Sci. and Math., **27**(1987) 21-32.
- [6] K. H. Dar, B. Ahmad and M. A. Chaudhary, *On (r, l) -system of BCI -algebras*, J. Nat. Sci. and Math., **26**(1985) 1-6.
- [7] M. A. Chaudhary and H. Fakhur-ud-din, *On some classes of BCH -algebras*, IJMMS **27** (2003) 1739-1750.
- [8] W. A. Dudek and J. Thomys, *On decomposition of BCH -algebras*, Math. Japoica, **35**(1991) 1131-1138.
- [9] Q. P. Hu and X. Li, *On BCH -algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes, **11** (1983) 313-320.
- [10] Q. P. Hu and X. Li, *On proper BCH -algebras*, Math. Japonica **30** (1985) 659-661.
- [11] E. H. Roh, S. Y. Kim and Y. B. Jun, *On a problem in BCH -algebras*, Math. Japonica **52**(2000) 279-283.
- [12] J. Meng, *BCI -algebras and abelian groups*, Math. Japonica, **32** (1987) 693-696.
- [13] C. S. Hoo, *BCI -algebras with condition (S)* , Math. Japonica **32** (1987) 749-756.
- [14] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, *BCK -algebras*, Kyung Moon Sa, Co., Seoul, 1994.
- [15] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, *On axiom system of propositional calculi XIV*, Proc., Japon Acad., **42**(1966)19-22.
- [16] K. Iseki, *An algebra related with a propositional calculus*, Proc. Japan Acad. **42** (1966) 26-29.
- [17] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, *On B -algebras*, Matematicki Vesnik, **54**(2002) 21-29.
- [18] A. Walendziak, *Some axiomatizations of B -algebras*, Math. Slovaca, **55**(2005).
- [19] J. Meng, *Some notes on BCK -algebras*, J. Northwest Uni., **16**(1986) 8-11.
- [20] M. A. Chaudhary, *On BCH -algebras*, Math. Japonica, **36**(1991) 665-676.

(K. H. Dar) GOVT. COLLEGE UNIVERSITY LAHORE,
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
KATCHERY ROAD, LAHORE-54000, PAKISTAN
E-mail address: `prof_khdar@yahoo.com`

(M. Akram) PUNJAB UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY,
UNIVERSITY OF THE PUNJAB, OLD CAMPUS,
LAHORE-54000, PAKISTAN
E-mail address: `m.akram@pucit.edu.pk`