## Some properties of the operation

$x \sqcup y=(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)$
in a Hilbert algebra
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Abstract. The aim of this paper is to study some properties of Hilbert algebras relative to the operation $x \sqcup y=(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)$.
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## 1. Introduction

Hilbert algebras are important tools for certain investigations in algebraic logic since they can be considered as fragments of any propositional logic containing a logical connective implication and the constant 1 which is considered as the logical value "true". The theory of Hilbert algebras has been developed by A. Diego in [4] and the theory of Abbott algebras has been developed by Abbott in [1] and [2]. Both theories can be found in [9], which also contains the theory of implicational lattices.

## 2. Preliminaries

We recall some basic definitions and results that are necessary for this paper. For more details we refer to references.

Definition 2.1. A Hilbert algebra is an algebra $(H, \rightarrow, 1)$ of type $(2,0)$ such that the following three axioms hold in $H$ :
$\left(a_{1}\right) x \rightarrow(y \rightarrow x)=1$;
$\left(a_{2}\right)(x \rightarrow(y \rightarrow z)) \rightarrow((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow(x \rightarrow z))=1$;
$\left(a_{3}\right)$ If $x \rightarrow y=y \rightarrow x=1$, then $x=y$.
If $H$ is a Hilbert algebra, then the relation $x \leq y$ iff $x \rightarrow y=1$ is a partial order on $H$ which will be called the natural ordering of $H$. With respect to this ordering, 1 is the largest element of $H$.

In [4] it is proved that the Definition 2.1 is equivalent with:
Definition 2.2. A Hilbert algebra is an algebra $(H, \rightarrow, 1)$ of type $(2,0)$ such that the following axioms hold in $H$ :
$\left(a_{4}\right) x \rightarrow x=y \rightarrow y$;
$\left(a_{5}\right)(x \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x=x$;
$\left(a_{6}\right) x \rightarrow(y \rightarrow z)=(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow(x \rightarrow z) ;$
$\left(a_{7}\right)(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)=(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y)$.

[^0]So, we deduce that the class of Hilbert algebras forms a variety.
Following [1] and [2], an implication algebra is an algebra $(A, \rightarrow, 1)$ of type $(2,0)$ satisfying the following axioms:
$\left(a_{8}\right): x \rightarrow x=1$;
$\left(a_{9}\right):(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x=x ;$
$\left(a_{10}\right): x \rightarrow(y \rightarrow z)=y \rightarrow(x \rightarrow z) ;$
$\left(a_{11}\right):(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y=(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x$.
In [3] and [4] it is proved that if $H$ is a Hilbert algebra and $x, y, z \in H$, then we have the following rules of calculus:
$\left(c_{1}\right): x \rightarrow 1=1 ;$
$\left(c_{2}\right): x \leq y \rightarrow x$;
$\left(c_{3}\right): x \rightarrow(y \rightarrow z)=y \rightarrow(x \rightarrow z) ;$
$\left(c_{4}\right): x \leq(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y ;$
$\left(c_{5}\right):((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y=x \rightarrow y$;
$\left(c_{6}\right): x \rightarrow y \leq(y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow(x \rightarrow z) ;$
$\left(c_{7}\right)$ : If $x \leq y$, then $z \rightarrow x \leq z \rightarrow y$ and $y \rightarrow z \leq x \rightarrow z$.
For a Hilbert algebra $H$ and $x, y \in H$ we define

$$
x \sqcup y=(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) .
$$

In [3] and [4] the following rules of calculus are proved:
(c8): $x, y \leq x \sqcup y$ and $x \sqcup y=y \sqcup x$;
$\left(c_{9}\right): x \sqcup x=x, x \sqcup 1=1$;
$\left(c_{10}\right): x \sqcup(x \rightarrow y)=1$;
$\left(c_{11}\right): x \rightarrow(y \sqcup z)=(x \rightarrow y) \sqcup(x \rightarrow z) ;$
$\left(c_{12}\right):(x \rightarrow y) \sqcup z=x \rightarrow(y \sqcup z) ;$
$\left(c_{13}\right):(x \rightarrow y) \sqcup(y \rightarrow x)=1$;
$\left(c_{14}\right):(x \rightarrow z) \sqcup(y \rightarrow z)=x \rightarrow(y \rightarrow z)$.
A Hilbert algebra $H$ is called commutative if $H$ verifies $\left(a_{11}\right)$.
Clearly, every implication algebra is a Hilbert algebra (see [5], p. 527).
Theorem 2.1. For a Hilbert algebra $H$ the following are equivalent:
(i) $H$ is commutative;
(ii) $(H, \rightarrow, 1)$ is an implication algebra.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow(i i)$. We have to prove only $\left(a_{11}\right)$. Indeed, for $x, y \in H$ we have $((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x=(x \rightarrow(x \rightarrow y)) \rightarrow(x \rightarrow y)=(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow(x \rightarrow y)=1$, hence $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x \leq x$. Since by $\left(c_{1}\right), x \leq(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x$ we deduce that $x=(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x$.
$($ ii $) \Rightarrow(i)$. Let $x, y \in H$. By $\left(a_{7}\right)$ we have $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)=(y \rightarrow$ $x) \rightarrow((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \stackrel{\left(c_{3}\right)}{\Rightarrow}(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x)=(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y)$ $\stackrel{\left(a_{10}\right)}{\Rightarrow}(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x=(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$, that is, $H$ is a commutative algebra.

So, we obtain the last Corollary from [5].

## 3. Some properties of operation $\sqcup$

Following ( $c_{8}$ ), for $x, y \in H, x \sqcup y$ is an upper bound for $x$ and $y$.
Theorem 3.1. The following are equivalent:
(i) $H$ is $a \vee$ - semilattice relative to $\sqcup$;
(ii) $H$ is commutative.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow(i i)$. Suppose that for every $x, y \in H, x \vee y$ exists and $x \vee y=x \sqcup y$. Since $x, y \leq(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x$ (by $\left(c_{2}\right)$ and $\left.\left(c_{4}\right)\right)$ we deduce that $x \sqcup y=x \vee y \leq(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow$ $x$; since $(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x \leq x \sqcup y$ we deduce that $x \sqcup y=x \vee y=(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x$. Analogously $x \sqcup y=x \vee y=(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$, hence $x \sqcup y=x \vee y=(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x=(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$, that is, $H$ is commutative.
(i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii). Suppose that $H$ is commutative. For $x, y \in H$, clearly $x \sqcup y=(x \rightarrow$ $y) \rightarrow((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)=(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y)=(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$, so to prove $x \vee y=x \sqcup y$, let $t \in H$ such that $x, y \leq t$. By $\left(c_{7}\right)$ we deduce that $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y \leq$ $(t \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x=(x \rightarrow t) \rightarrow t=1 \rightarrow t=t$, hence $x \sqcup y=(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y=x \vee y$.
Remark 3.1. In [5], p. 527, the author incorrectly mentions that" Theorem 3.3 in [7] claims that commutative Hilbert algebras are just those which are join semilattices w.r.t. the natural ordering". We recall the ennounce of Theorem 3.3 in [7] : A Hilbert algebra $H$ is commutative iff it is a semilattice with respect to $\underline{\vee}$ (where for $x, y \in H, x \underline{\vee} y=(y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x)$ (not relative to the natural ordering!).

For $a \in H$ we denote by $[a)=\{x \in H: a \leq x\}$. We have a similar result for Theorem 3.6. from [7] for the case of operation $\sqcup$ :
Theorem 3.2. A Hilbert algebra is commutative iff $[a) \cap[b)=[a \sqcup b)$, for all $a, b \in H$.
Proof. " $\Rightarrow$ " . Suppose $H$ is commutative and let $a, b \in H$. Since $a, b \leq a \sqcup b$, we deduce that $[a \sqcup b) \subseteq[a) \cap[b)$. If $x \in[a) \cap[b)$, then $a \leq x$ and $b \leq x$. Then $a \sqcup b=(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b \leq(x \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b=(b \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x=1 \rightarrow x=x$, so $x \in[a \sqcup b)$ and we deduce that $[a) \cap[b)=[a \sqcup b)$.
${ }^{\prime \prime} \Leftarrow^{\prime \prime}$ Let $a, b \in H$ and suppose $[a) \cap[b)=[a \sqcup b)$. By $\left(c_{2}\right),\left(c_{4}\right)$ we deduce that $(a \rightarrow$ $b) \rightarrow b \in[a) \cap[b)=[a \sqcup b)$, hence $a \sqcup b=(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow((a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b) \leq(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b$, that is $a \sqcup b=(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b$. Analogously we deduce that $a \sqcup b=(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a$, hence $(a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b=(b \rightarrow a) \rightarrow a$, that is, $H$ is commutative.

## 4. New characterization for the maximal deductive systems

We recall that a subset $D$ of a Hilbert algebra $H$ is called a deductive system if $\left(a_{12}\right): 1 \in D$;
$\left(a_{13}\right):$ If $x, x \rightarrow y \in D$, then $y \in D$.
We denote by $D s(H)$ the set of all deductive systems of $H$.
For a Hilbert algebra $H$ we denote by $\operatorname{Max}(H)$ the set of all maximal deductive systems of $H$. For $D \in D s(H)$ and $a \in H$, we denote by $D(a)$ the deductive system generated by $D \cup\{a\}$.

We recall ([3], [4]) that $D(a)=\{x \in H: a \rightarrow x \in D\}$.
Theorem 4.1. For $D \in D s(H)$ the following are equivalent:
(i) $D \in \operatorname{Max}(H)$;
(ii) If $x, y \in H$ and $x \sqcup y \in D$, then $x \in D$ or $y \in D$.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow(i i)$. Let $D \in \operatorname{Max}(H)$ and suppose by contrary that there exist $x, y \in H$ such that $x \notin D$ and $y \notin D$. By the maximality of $D$ we deduce that $D(x)=$ $D(y)=H$, hence $x \rightarrow y, y \rightarrow x \in D$. From $x \sqcup y=(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x) \in D$ we deduce that $x \in D$, a contradiction.
$(i i) \Rightarrow(i)$. Suppose that $D$ is not maximal, that is, there existS $D^{\prime} \in D s(H), D^{\prime} \neq$ $H$ such that $D \subset D^{\prime}$ (that is, there exists $x \in D^{\prime} \backslash D$ and $\left.y \in H \backslash D\right)$. Since $x \sqcup(x \rightarrow$ $y)=1 \in D\left(\right.$ by $\left.\left(c_{10}\right)\right)$ and $x \notin D$, then $x \rightarrow y \in D \subset D^{\prime}$, hence $x \rightarrow y \in D^{\prime}$. Since $x \in D^{\prime}$ we deduce that $y \in D^{\prime}$, a contradiction.

Theorem 4.2. For $M \in D s(H), M \neq H$, the following are equivalent:
(i) $M \in \operatorname{Max}(H)$;
(ii) If $x \notin M$ then $x \rightarrow y \in M$, for every $y \in M$.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow(i i)$. Suppose $M \in \operatorname{Max}(H)$ and $x \notin M$. Since $x \sqcup(x \rightarrow y)=1 \in M$ for every $y \in H$, by Theorem 4.1 we deduce that $x \rightarrow y \in M$.
$(i i) \Rightarrow(i)$. Suppose that $M$ is not maximal, that is, there exists $N \in \operatorname{Max}(H)$ such that $M \subset N$ (hence there exists $x_{0} \in N \backslash M$ ). Since $N$ is proper there exists $y_{0} \in H \backslash N$. Then $x_{0} \rightarrow y_{0} \in M \subset N \Rightarrow x_{0} \rightarrow y_{0} \in N \Rightarrow y_{0} \in N$, a contradiction.

We recall that an element $r \in H$ is called regular if $(r \rightarrow x) \rightarrow r=r$, for every $x \in H$.

For $D \in D s(H)$ and $a \in H \backslash D$ we say that $a$ is associated with $D$ or that $D$ is maximal relative to $a$, if $D$ is maximal with respect to the deductive systems which do not contain $a$, i.e. $D$ is maximal in the set $\left\{D^{\prime} \in D s(H): a \notin D^{\prime}\right\}$.

We have (see [4], p. 23):
Theorem 4.3. (A. Monteiro) For $D \in D s(H)$ and $a \in H \backslash D$, the following are equivalent:
(i): $D$ is maximal relative to $a$;
(ii): $a \notin D$ and $x \notin D \Rightarrow x \rightarrow a \in D$.

Corollary 4.1. If $r \in H$ is regular and $D \in D s(H)$ is maximal relative to $r$, then $D \in \operatorname{Max}(H)$.

Proof. We have $r \notin D$ and suppose by contrary that $D$ is not maximal, that is, there is, $D^{\prime} \in D s(H), D^{\prime} \neq H$ such that $D \subset D^{\prime}$. Then there exists $x_{0} \in H \backslash D^{\prime}$ and by the maximality of $D$ relative to $r$ we deduce that $r \in D^{\prime}$, hence $r \rightarrow x_{0} \notin D^{\prime}$. Then $r \rightarrow x_{0} \notin D$, hence by Theorem 4.3, $\left(r \rightarrow x_{0}\right) \rightarrow r=r \in D$, a contradiction.
Remark 4.1. The above Corollary is stated in [8] (see Proposition 13.3), but without proof!
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