

## A *BCC*-algebra as a subclass of *K*-algebras

KARAMAT H. DAR AND M. AKRAM

---

**ABSTRACT.** Our main purpose in this note is to prove the class of *BCC*-algebras as a subclass of *K*-algebras. Further it is shown that:(i) the class of *B*-algebras is equivalent to the class of *BCCI*-algebras, (ii) a *BCCI*-algebra is a member of the subclass of *K*-algebras in which *B*-algebras lies, if the group *G* is non-abelian.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 06F35.

*Key words and phrases.* *K*-algebra, *B*-algebra, *BCC*-algebra, *BCK*-algebra and *BCCI*-algebra.

---

### 1. Introduction

The notion of a *K*-algebra  $(G, \cdot, \odot, e)$  was first introduced by Dar and Akram [4] in 2003 and published in 2005. A *K*-algebra is an algebra built on a group  $(G, \cdot, e)$  by adjoining an induced binary operation  $\odot$  on *G* which is attached to an abstract *K*-algebra  $(G, \cdot, \odot, e)$ . Obviously, this system is non-commutative and non-associative with a right identity *e*, if  $(G, \cdot, e)$  is non-commutative. It was proved in [1, 4] that a *K*-algebra on an abelian group is equivalent to a *p*-semisimple *BCI*-algebra. For a given group *G*, the *K*-algebra is proper if *G* is not an elementary abelian 2-group. Thus, a *K*-algebra is abelian and non-abelian purely depends on the base group *G*. Dar and Akram further renamed a *K*-algebra on a group *G* as a *K*(*G*)-algebra [5] due to its structural basis *G*. The *K*(*G*)-algebras have also been characterized by their left and right mappings in [2, 5]. Recently, Dar and Akram have proved in [7] that the class of *K*(*G*)-algebras is a generalized class of *B*-algebras [16] when *G* is a non-abelian group, and they also have proved that the *K*-algebra is a generalized class of the class of *BCH/BCI/BCK*-algebras [11, 12, 13] when *G* is an abelian group. In this paper we prove the class of *BCC*-algebras as a subclass of *K*-algebras. Further more it is shown that:(i) the class of *B*-algebras is equivalent to the class of *BCCI*-algebras, (ii) a *BCCI*-algebra is a member of the subclass of *K*-algebras in which *B*-algebras lies, if the group *G* is non-abelian.

### 2. *K*-algebras

In this section we give review of *K*-algebras.

**Definition 2.1.** [4] Let  $(G, \cdot, e)$  be a group in which each non-identity element is not of order 2. Then a *K*-algebra is a structure  $\mathcal{K} = (G, \cdot, \odot, e)$  on a group *G* in which induced binary operation  $\odot : G \times G \rightarrow G$  is defined by  $\odot(x, y) = x \odot y = x.y^{-1}$  and satisfies the following axioms:

$$(K1) \quad (x \odot y) \odot (x \odot z) = (x \odot ((e \odot z) \odot (e \odot y))) \odot x,$$

---

*Received:* 30 June 2009.

- (K2)  $x \odot (x \odot y) = (x \odot (e \odot y)) \odot x$ ,  
 (K3)  $(x \odot x) = e$ ,  
 (K4)  $(x \odot e) = x$ ,  
 (K5)  $(e \odot x) = x^{-1}$   
 for all  $x, y, z \in G$ .

If the group  $(G, \cdot, e)$  is abelian, then the above axioms (K1) and (K2) can be replaced by:

- ( $\overline{K1}$ )  $(x \odot y) \odot (x \odot z) = z \odot y$ .  
 ( $\overline{K2}$ )  $x \odot (x \odot y) = y$ .

In what follows, we denote a  $K$ -algebra by  $\mathcal{K}$  unless otherwise specified.

**Proposition 2.1.** [4, 5] *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a  $K$ -algebra on abelian group  $G$  which is not elementary abelian 2-group, then the following results hold within  $K$ -algebra  $\mathcal{K}$  for all  $x, y, z \in G$ :*

- (K6)  $(x \odot y) \odot z = (x \odot z) \odot y$ .  
 (K7)  $(e \odot x) \odot (e \odot y) = y \odot x = e \odot (x \odot y)$ .  
 (K8)  $(x \odot z) \odot (y \odot z) = x \odot y$ .  
 (K9)  $e \odot (e \odot x) = x$ .  
 (K10)  $x \odot (e \odot x) = x^2$ .  
 (K11)  $x \odot (e \odot y) = y \odot (e \odot x)$ .  
 (K12)  $x \odot y = e \iff x = y$ .  
 (K13)  $e \odot x = e \iff x = e$ .  
 (K14)  $e \odot x = x \iff x$  is of order 2 in  $G$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** [2] *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a  $K$ -algebra on non-abelian group  $G$ . Then the following identities hold in  $\mathcal{K}$  for all  $x, y, z \in G$ :*

- (K15)  $(x \odot y) \odot z = x \odot (z \odot (e \odot y))$ .  
 (K16)  $x \odot (y \odot z) = (x \odot y) \odot (e \odot z)$ .  
 (K17)  $e \odot (x \odot y) = y \odot x$ .  
 (K18)  $x \odot y = e = y \odot x \implies x = y$ .  
 (K19)  $(x \odot y) \odot (z \odot y) = x \odot z$ .  
 (K20)  $(x \odot y) \odot (e \odot y) = x$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** [4] *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a  $K$ -algebra on abelian group  $G$ . Then the following properties of interaction of  $\odot$  on  $\cdot$  hold within  $K$ -algebra  $\mathcal{K}$  for all  $g, h, k, x \in G$ :*

- (P1)  $(x \odot g) \cdot g = x = (x \cdot g)g^{-1} = (x \cdot g) \odot g$ , i.e.,  $\odot$  and  $\cdot$  are right inversion of each other.  
 (P2)  $g \odot (h \cdot k) = (g \odot h) \odot k = (g \odot k) \odot h$ .  
 (P3)  $(h \cdot k) \odot g = h \cdot (k \odot g) = k \cdot (h \odot g)$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [4, 5] *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a  $K$ -algebra. For a fixed element  $x \in \mathcal{K}$ , the mapping  $L_x : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  defined by  $L_x(y) = x \odot y$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{K}$ , is called left map on  $\mathcal{K}$ .*

**Definition 2.3.** [4, 5] *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a  $K$ -algebra. For a fixed element  $x \in \mathcal{K}$ , the mapping  $R_x : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  defined by  $R_x(y) = y \odot x$  for all  $y \in \mathcal{K}$ , is called right map on  $\mathcal{K}$ .*

**Theorem 2.3.** [4]  *$\text{Aut}(G) \cong \text{Aut}(\mathcal{K})$ .*

**Theorem 2.4.** [5] *The left map  $L_e$  is an automorphism of a  $K$ -algebra  $\mathcal{K}$ .*

**Lemma 2.1.** [5] *Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a  $K$ -algebra on abelian group  $G$ . Let  $R = \{R_x : x \in G\}$  be the set of all right mappings of  $K$ -algebra with the operation of composition ( $\circ$ ) of the right mappings defined by*

$$R_x \circ R_y = R_{x \odot (e \odot y)}.$$

Then system  $(R, \circ)$  forms an abelian group which is isomorphic to the group  $G$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** [5] Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a  $K$ -algebra on abelian group  $G$ . Let  $R = \{R_x : x \in G\}$  be a set of all right mappings of  $K$ -algebra  $\mathcal{K}$  defined by  $(g)R_x = g \odot x$  for all  $g, x \in \mathcal{K}$ . Then the algebra is a  $K$ -algebra  $\mathcal{K}$  on  $G$  if and only if the system  $(R, \circ)$  on  $\mathcal{K}$  is isomorphic to the group  $G$ .

$K$ -algebras have been extensively studied by authors since 2004 (see [1-8]).

### 3. Basic Definitions

J. Neggers and S. H. Kim introduced the notion of  $B$ -algebras in 2002.

**Definition 3.1.** [16] An algebra  $(X, *, \circ)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  is called  $B$ -algebra if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (B1)  $x * x = 0$ ,
  - (B2)  $x * 0 = x$ ,
  - (B3)  $(x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y))$ .
- for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

Komori [14] introduced a notion of BCC-algebras, and Dudek [9] redefined the notion of BCC-algebras by using a dual form of the ordinary definition in the sense of Komori.

**Definition 3.2.** An algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  of type  $(2, 0)$  with the special element  $0$  is called a BCC-algebra if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (BCC1)  $((x * y) * (z * y)) * (x * z) = 0$ ,
  - (BCC2)  $x * x = 0$ ,
  - (BCC3)  $0 * x = 0$ ,
  - (BCC4)  $x * 0 = x$ ,
  - (BCC5)  $x * y = 0, y * x = 0$  implies that  $x = y$
- for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

In a BCC-algebra, the following holds

$$(x * y) * x = 0.$$

### 4. A BCC-algebra as a subclass of $K$ -algebras

We realize a generalization class of the class of BCC-algebras  $(X, *, 0)$  except the axiom BCC3, i.e.,  $(0 * x = 0)$  and call it a BCCI-algebra. Thus we define it as follow:

**Definition 4.1.** An algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  is called a BCCI-algebra if it satisfies the following axioms:

- (BCCI1)  $x * x = 0$ ,
  - (BCCI2)  $x * 0 = x$ ,
  - (BCCI3)  $x * y = 0 = y * x \Rightarrow x = y$ ,
  - (BCCI4)  $((x * y) * (z * y)) * (x * z) = 0$
- for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** The class of  $B$ -algebras is equivalent to the class of BCCI-algebras.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(X, *, 0)$  is a  $B$ -algebra generating with axioms  $B1$ ,  $B2$  and  $B3$ , if  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 ((x * y) * (z * y)) * (x * z) &= (x * ((z * y) * (0 * y))) * (x * z), [by B3] \\
 &= (x * (z * ((0 * y) * (0 * y)))) * (x * z), [by B3] \\
 &= (x * (z * 0)) * (x * z), [by B1] \\
 &= (x * z) * (x * z), [by B2] \\
 &= 0, [by B1]
 \end{aligned}$$

which proves that axiom  $(BCCI4)$  hold in  $B$ -algebra. The axioms  $(BCCI1-BCCI3)$  are common to axioms  $B1$  and  $B2$  of  $B$ -algebra. Thus  $BCCI$ -algebra is a subalgebra of  $B$ -algebra, i.e.,

$$BCCI - algebra \subseteq B - algebra. \quad (a)$$

Conversely, suppose that  $(X, *, 0)$  is a  $BCCI$ -algebra. If  $x, y, z, u \in X$  such that  $z = u * y$ , then  $u = z * (0 * y)$  by (a).

$$B3 \implies (x * y) * z = x * (z * (0 * y))$$

$$\implies ((x * y) * (u * y)) = x * u$$

$$\implies BCCI4 : ((x * y) * (u * y)) * (x * u) = 0. \text{ Thus}$$

$$B - algebra \subseteq BCCI - algebra \quad (b)$$

By combining inequalities (a) and (b) together the proof of the theorem is complete.  $\square$

**Example 4.1.** Consider  $K$ -algebra  $\mathcal{K} = (G, \cdot, \odot, e)$  on the non-abelian group  $G = S_3 = \{ \langle a, y \rangle : a^3 = e = y^2 = (ay)^2; xy = z \}$  where,  $a^2 = b$ ,  $ay = x$ ,  $a^2y = z = by$  and  $\odot$  is given by the following Cayley's table:

| $\odot$ | $e$ | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $a$ | $b$ |
|---------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| $e$     | $e$ | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $b$ | $a$ |
| $x$     | $x$ | $e$ | $a$ | $b$ | $z$ | $y$ |
| $y$     | $y$ | $b$ | $e$ | $a$ | $x$ | $z$ |
| $z$     | $z$ | $a$ | $b$ | $e$ | $y$ | $x$ |
| $a$     | $a$ | $z$ | $x$ | $y$ | $e$ | $b$ |
| $b$     | $b$ | $y$ | $z$ | $x$ | $a$ | $e$ |

(1) The  $K$ -algebra on  $S_3$  is non-abelian since

$$x \odot (e \odot a) = y \neq z = a \odot (e \odot x).$$

(2) It is easy to verify that the  $K$ -algebra on  $S_3$  is a  $B$ -algebra.

(3) The  $K$ -algebra on  $S_3$  is a  $BCCI$ -algebra since

$$((x * a) * (y * a)) * (x * y) = 0$$

is verified easily for all  $x, a, y$  in  $S_3$ .

Since  $B$ -algebra is  $K$ -algebra built on a non-abelin group as proved in [7], it consequently elaborate that:

**Theorem 4.2.** Let  $(X, *, 0)$  be a  $BCCI$ -algebra. Then  $BCCI$ -algebra is a member of the subclass of a  $K$ -algebra in which  $B$ -algebra lies.

Since  $BCC$ -algebra is a subclass of the class of a  $BCCI$ -algebra which is further equivalent to the class of  $B$ -algebras, and the class of  $B$ -algebras is a subclass of the  $K$ -algebras built on non-abelian group, we conclude that  $BCC$ -algebra is a subclasses of the class of  $K$ -algebras on non-abelian groups. Thus we elaborate that:

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $(X, *, 0)$  be a  $BCCI$ -algebra. Then the class of  $BCCI$ -algebra  $(X, *, 0)$  is a subclass of non-abelian  $K$ -algebras.*

**Corollary 4.1.** [7] *A  $BCK$ -algebra is a member of abelian  $K$ -algebras.*

**Corollary 4.2.** [10, 14] *A  $BCC$ -algebra is a generalization of  $BCK$ -algebras.*

Thus we restrict the idea to a subclass of  $BCCI$ -algebra together with additional axiom  $BCC3$  and assimilate that:

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $(X, *, 0)$  be a  $BCC$ -algebra. The class of  $BCC$ -algebras  $(X, *, 0)$  is a subclass of non-abelian  $K$ -algebras  $\mathcal{K}$ .*

## References

- [1] M. Akram and H. S. Kim, *On  $K$ -algebras and  $BCI$ -algebras*, International Mathematical Forum **2**(9-12)(2007) 583-587.
- [2] M. Akram and K.H. Dar, *Endomorphism of  $K$ -algebras* (Submitted).
- [3] M. Akram and K.H. Dar, *Noetherian and Artinian  $K$ -algebras* (Submitted).
- [4] K. H. Dar and M. Akram, *On a  $K$ -algebra built on a group*, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics **29**(1)(2005) 41-49.
- [5] K. H. Dar and M. Akram, *Characterization of a  $K(G)$ -algebras by self maps*, Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics **28** (2004) 601-610.
- [6] K. H. Dar and M. Akram, *On  $K$ -homomorphisms of  $K$ -algebras*, International Mathematical Forum **46**(2007) 2283-2293.
- [7] K. H. Dar and M. Akram, *On subclasses of  $K(G)$ -algebras*, Annals of University of Craiova, Math. Comp. Sci. Ser **33**(2006) 235-240.
- [8] K.H. Dar, M. Akram and A. Farooq, *A note on a left  $K(G)$ -algebra* , Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics **31**(2007) 231-238.
- [9] W. A. Dudek, *The number of subalgebras of finite  $BCC$ -algebras*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **20**(1992) 129-136.
- [10] W. A. Dudek, *On proper  $BCC$ -algebras*, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica **20**(1992)137-150.
- [11] Q. P. Hu and X. Li , *On  $BCH$ -algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes **11** (1983) 313-320.
- [12] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, *On axiom system of propositional calculi XIV*, Proc., Japonica Acad, **42**(1966) 19-22.
- [13] K. Iseki, *An algebra related with a propositional calculus*, Proc. Japan Acad, **42** (1966) 26-29.
- [14] Y. Komori, *The class of  $BCC$ -algebras is not a variety*, Math. Japonica **29**(1984) 391-394.
- [15] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun,  *$BCK$ -algebras*, Kyung Moon Sa Co. Seoul, Korea, 1994.
- [16] J. Neggers and H. S. Kim, *On  $B$ -algebras*, Matematicki Vesnik **54**(2002) 21-29.

(Karamat H. Dar) G. C. UNIVERSITY LAHORE,  
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,  
KATCHERY ROAD, LAHORE-54000, PAKISTAN  
*E-mail address:* prof\_khdar@yahoo.com

(M. Akram) PUNJAB UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY,  
UNIVERSITY OF THE PUNJAB, OLD CAMPUS,  
LAHORE-54000, PAKISTAN  
*E-mail address:* m.akram@pucit.edu.pk, makrammath@yahoo.com