

## Existence of nontrivial solutions for a class of elliptic systems

LOTFI LASSOUED AND ALI MAALAOU

---

ABSTRACT. We establish several existence results for a class of nonlinear elliptic systems of Schrödinger type. The proofs are mainly based on topological degree arguments.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 35J55; Secondary 47H11.

Key words and phrases. nonlinear elliptic system, topological degree.

---

### 1. Preliminaries

Let  $E$  a Banach space. Let  $P \subset E$  be a cone, that is, if  $x, y \in P$ ,  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+$  then  $\lambda x \in P$  and  $x + y \in P$ , with  $P \cap (-P) = \{0\}$ . We know that  $E$  become an ordered Banach space by the order induced by  $P$ , i.e.,  $x \geq y \iff x - y \in P$ .

A cone is said to be solid if  $\overset{\circ}{P} \neq 0$ , and total if  $\overline{P - P} = E$ . The cone  $P$  is called normal, if there exist  $C > 0$  such that if  $x \leq y$  then  $\|x\| \leq C \|y\|$ .

$E$  is said to have the lattice structure if for  $x, y \in P$ ,  $\inf \{x, y\}$  and  $\sup \{x, y\}$  exist and belong to  $E$ . We denote then  $x^+ = \sup \{x, 0\}$  and  $x^- = \sup \{-x, 0\}$  and we define  $|x| = x^+ + x^-$ .

In all that will follow, we will call a set  $\mathcal{M}$  or an operator  $T$  is admissible if the topological degree  $d(I - T, \mathcal{M}, 0)$  is well defined i.e.  $0 \in (I - T)(\partial\mathcal{M})$ . And we remind the reader that a completely continuous operator in a Banach space is a continuous operator that transforms bounded sets on relatively compact sets. Also if  $T$  is a smooth completely continuous operator then the differential of the operator on any point where it is smooth, is a compact linear operator.

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a bounded open set which contain 0, of a Banach spaces and let  $T : \overline{\mathcal{M}} \mapsto E$  be a compact operator, If*

$$Tu \neq \lambda u, \quad \forall u \in \partial\mathcal{M}, \quad \forall \lambda \geq 1$$

Then  $d(I - T, \mathcal{M}, 0) = 1$ .

*Proof.* Let  $H$  be the homotopy defined by  $H(t, u) = u - tTu$ ; by the assumption imposed on  $\partial\mathcal{M}$  we have the compatibility hypothesis of  $H$  so we have

$$d(H(1, \cdot), \mathcal{M}, 0) = d(H(0, \cdot), \mathcal{M}, 0) = d(id, \mathcal{M}, 0) = 1.$$

This completes our proof. □

**Lemma 1.2.** *Let  $T$  be a linear compact operator in  $E$  such that  $1 \in \sigma(T)$ , then*

$$d(I - T, \mathcal{M}, 0) = (-1)^\alpha,$$

where  $\alpha$  the sum of multiplicity of the eigenvalues of  $DT(x_0)$  in  $]1, +\infty[$ .

---

Received: 11 June 2009.

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$  be the eigenvalues of  $T$  greater than one and  $E_1, \dots, E_k$  the corresponding eigenspaces. Let  $P_1, \dots, P_k$  denote the projectors on the previous subspaces. We consider  $P = \sum_{i=1}^k P_i$ , then  $P$  commutes with  $T$  and is a projector on  $E_0$  the direct sum of the  $E_i$ . Remark that  $\dim E_0 = \alpha$ . Now we take the following homotopy:

$$H(t, x) = x - [2tPx + (1-t)TPx] - (1-t)T(I-P)x$$

it is easy to see that this homotopy is admissible on  $\overline{B(0, 1)}$ . Thus, we have

$$d(I - T, \overline{B(0, 1)}, 0) = d(I - 2P, \overline{B(0, 1)}, 0),$$

and since  $P$  is a finite rank operator, then we have

$$d(I - T, \overline{B(0, 1)}, 0) = d(I - 2P, \overline{B(0, 1)}, 0) = (-1)^\alpha.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 1.3** (Homotopy criteria). *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a bounded set, let  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  be two completely continuous operator, without fixed point on  $\Omega$ . If*

$$\|T_1x - T_2x\| \leq \|x - T_2x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M},$$

*Then  $I - T_1$  and  $I - T_2$  are homotopic in  $\mathcal{M}$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the linear homotopy

$$H(t, x) = x - (tT_1x + (1-t)T_2x), \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$$

and notice that

$$\|H(t, x)\| = \|x - T_2x + t(T_2x - T_1x)\| \geq (1-t)\|x - T_2x\|, \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{M}, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1],$$

thus  $H$  is compatible, which proves the result.  $\square$

Now, we focus on the research of a non trivial solution for the problem

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta u &= f(u) \text{ on } \Omega \\ u &= 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where  $f$  is in  $C^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $\Omega$  is a bounded domain of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . And we let  $E$  denote the space  $C_0^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ .

here we suppose that  $f$  satisfies the following assumptions :

$$\text{H1) } \limsup_{s_i \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{f_i(s)}{s_j} = a_{i,j}, \quad \liminf_{s_i \rightarrow -\infty} \frac{f_i(s)}{s_j} = b_{i,j}.$$

$$\text{H2) } \frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j}(0) = a_{i,j}^0 \geq 0.$$

Let  $A$  denote the matrix  $(a_{i,j}^0)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ . And  $\beta_1 > \beta_2 \geq \dots \geq \beta_n$  be the eigenvalues of  $A$ .

We add now the remaining assumptions :

$$\text{H3) } \beta_1 > \lambda_1 > \beta_2.$$

$$\text{H4) } \begin{vmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 \\ \beta_2 & \beta_1 \end{vmatrix} < 0$$

**Theorem 1.1.** *Suppose that  $r(|a_{i,j}|) < \lambda_1$  and  $r(|b_{i,j}|) < \lambda_1$ , then, under the assumptions H1-H4 the problem (1) has at least one positive solution.*

## 2. Proof of theorem 4

We split the proof into several steps. STEP 1.

Let  $B = (\max(|a_{i,j}|, |b_{i,j}|))_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ ,  $T = (-\Delta)^{-1} f : C(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow C(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ , and  $H = (-\Delta)^{-1} B$ , then we have,

$$|Tx| \leq H|x| + x_0, \forall x \in C(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n),$$

Since  $r(H) < 1$ , the operator  $I - H$  is a positive invertible operator, let  $K = \{x \in C(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n); Tx = \mu x, \mu \geq 1\}$  then  $K$  is bounded, in fact, consider  $x \in K$ , then there exist  $\mu \geq 1$  such that

$$Tx = \mu x$$

so we have

$$|x| \leq \mu|x| = |Tx| \leq H|x| + x_0$$

so  $(I - H)|x| \leq x_0$  and it follows that  $|x| \leq (I - H)^{-1}x_0$  which implies the boundedness of  $K$ , and if we take  $R_0 > 0$  such that  $K \subset B(0, R_0)$  we have

$$Tx \neq \mu x, \forall x \in \partial B(0, R_0), \forall \mu \geq 1,$$

finally using lemma (1) we have the existence of  $R_0 > 0$  such that

$$d(I - T, B(0, R), 0) = 1, \forall R \geq R_0.$$

STEP 2. Let us consider now the operator  $L$  defined by

$$Lx = DT(0)x = (-\Delta)^{-1} Df(0)x, \forall x \in C(\overline{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n).$$

**Lemma 2.1.**  $I - L$  is homotopic to  $T$  in a small neighborhood of 0.

*Proof.* : Remark first that the assumptions imply that 1 is not an eigenvalue of  $L$ . Thus there exist  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\|x - Lx\| \geq \delta \|x\|, \forall x \in E,$$

because of the Fredholm alternative applied to the compact operator  $L$ . In the other hand we have

$$Tx = Lx + o(\|x\|),$$

therefore there exist  $r_0 > 0$  such that for every  $0 < r < r_0$ ,

$$\|x - Tx\| \geq \|x - Lx\| - \|o(\|x\|)\| \geq \frac{\delta}{2} \|x\|, \forall x \in B(0, r),$$

And that proves that the domain  $B(0, r)$  for  $r$  sufficiently small is admissible. Now we have

$$\|Tx - Lx\| = \|o(\|x\|)\| \leq \frac{\delta}{2} \|x\| \leq \|x - Lx\|,$$

therefore, using lemma(3) we get the desired result.  $\square$

STEP 3. Let us study the spectrum of  $L$ .

Here we denote  $\Phi_i = \varphi_i \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $\varphi_i$ ,  $i \geq 1$  are the eigenfunctions of the 1

dimensional Laplace operator. Since they form an orthonormal basis of  $L^2(\Omega)$  we can write every  $u \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$  as

$$u = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} A_j \Phi_j, \quad (2)$$

where the  $A_j \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  are diagonal.

Note that the decomposition (2) is not unique if we remove the diagonal condition. But we can give it a more settled sens in the following way :

Let  $F : M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto \mathbb{R}^n$ , defined for every  $M = (m_{i,j}) \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  by

$$F(M) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{j=1}^n m_{1,j} \\ \vdots \\ \sum_{j=1}^n m_{n,j} \end{pmatrix},$$

and consider the space

$$\widetilde{M}_n(\mathbb{R}) = M_n(\mathbb{R}) / \ker F,$$

Then (2) is unique if we take  $A_j \in \widetilde{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ . So for  $M \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$  we will write  $\widetilde{M}$  its class in  $\widetilde{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ .

Now let us consider the following eigenvalue problem:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = \mu Au \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 \text{ in } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

We know that this problem has an increasing sequence of eigenvalue  $(\mu_i)_{i \geq 1}$ . Let  $\Psi_i$  be an eigenfunction that correspond to the eigenvalue  $\mu_i$ , then we have  $\Psi_i = \sum A_j \Phi_j$  and if we plug it in the equation (3) we get :

$$\sum \lambda_j A_j \Phi_j = \sum \mu_i A A_j \Phi_j \quad (4)$$

, thus

$$\widetilde{A A_j} = \frac{\lambda_j}{\mu_i} \widetilde{A_j}.$$

Now consider the operator operators  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} : \widetilde{M}_n(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto \widetilde{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$  defined by  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}} \widetilde{M} = \widetilde{A M}$  and  $\mathcal{L} : M_n(\mathbb{R}) \mapsto M_n(\mathbb{R})$  defined by  $\mathcal{L} M = A M$ . We can easily verify that the operator  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is well defined and thus  $\frac{\lambda_j}{\mu_i}$  are eigenvalues of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  so let us find its spectrum.

Let  $\beta$  be an eigenvalue of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$ , then there exist  $\widetilde{B} \in \widetilde{M}_n(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$\widetilde{A B} = \beta \widetilde{B}.$$

Thus

$$A B = \beta B + C$$

where  $C \in \ker F$ , therefore

$$(A - \beta I) B = C,$$

so if  $\beta$  is not an eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{L}$  then  $B = (A - \beta I)^{-1} C$ , thus  $F(B) = F(C) = 0$  and  $\widetilde{B} = 0$  which yields to a contradiction, so  $\beta$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathcal{L}$  but we know that the eigenvalues of  $\mathcal{L}$  are the same as  $A$ , therefore the spectrum of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  is included in the spectrum of  $A$  and the other inclusion is obvious, thus the eigenvalues  $\mu_i$  have the form  $\frac{\lambda_j}{\beta_k}$ , where  $j \geq 1$  and  $1 \leq k \leq n$ . Therefore,

$$\mu_1 = \frac{\lambda_1}{\beta_1}.$$

Notice that since  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  acts on a finite dimensional vector space then there exist  $j_0 \geq 1$  such that  $\widetilde{A_j} = 0, \forall j \geq j_0$ .

Let us now find the multiplicity of  $\mu_1$ . Let  $\Psi$  be an eigenfunction corresponding to  $\mu_1$ , using the same process as in (4), we get

$$\widetilde{AA}_j = \frac{\lambda_j}{\mu_1} \widetilde{A}_j$$

therefore,  $\widetilde{A}_j = 0, \forall j \geq 2$  and thus  $\Psi = \widetilde{A}_1 \Phi_1$  where  $\widetilde{A}_1 \in \widetilde{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$  is an eigenvector of  $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}}$  associated to  $\beta_1$ . So  $\mu_1$  has the same multiplicity as  $\beta_1$  but since  $A$  is a positive irreducible matrix the spectral radius  $r(A) = \beta_1$  is of multiplicity one, thus the multiplicity of  $\mu_1$  is 1.

Now using H3) and H4) we get  $\mu_2 = \frac{\lambda_1}{\beta_2} > 1$  thus, the only eigenvalue of  $L$  in  $]1, +\infty[$  is  $\frac{1}{\mu_1}$ . Therefore using lemma(2) we get the existence of  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$d(I - T, B(0, \varepsilon), 0) = -1.$$

Now using the topological degree excision property we have the existence of a non trivial solution for the problem (1).

**Remark 2.1.** *We can replace the hypothesis H3) by  $\beta_1 > \lambda_1$  and  $\max_{j,k} \sum_i |a_{i,j} - a_{ik}| < \lambda_2$ .*

Now let us assume there exist  $A_0 = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$  such that  $\frac{|f(s) - As|}{|s|} \rightarrow 0$  and  $r(A_0) > \lambda_1$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Under the previous assumption and the assumptions H2-H4, the problem (1) has a non trivial solution.*

*Proof.* The proof is almost the same as the previous one. In fact we need only to worry about the degree of the operator  $T$  for a large neighborhood of zero. But we have the existence of  $R$  sufficiently large such that

$$d(I - T, B(0, R), 0) = d(I - \widetilde{T}, B(0, R), 0)$$

where  $\widetilde{T} = (-\Delta)^{-1} \circ A_0$ . In fact, we have the existence of  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$\|x - \widetilde{T}x\| \geq \delta, \forall x \in E.$$

We also have for  $R$  sufficiently large

$$\|Tx - \widetilde{T}x\| \leq \delta \|x\| \leq \|x - \widetilde{T}x\|, \forall x; \|x\| > R.$$

Using lemma(3) And since  $\sigma(\widetilde{T}) \subset ]0, 1[$  we have using lemma(2) that  $d(I - T, B(0, R), 0) = d(I - \widetilde{T}, B(0, R), 0) = 1$ . And we conclude by comparing with the degree near the origin.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.2.** *Suppose that there exist a partition  $I, J$  of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $u_I \rightarrow f(u_I, v_J)$  is nondecreasing and  $v_J \rightarrow f(u_I, v_J)$  is nonincreasing. We suppose in addition that there exist  $1 > \alpha > 0$  such that for  $t \in ]0, 1[$ ,  $f(tu_I, \frac{1}{t}v_J) \geq t^\alpha f(u_I, v_J)$ . then the problem (1) have one and only one positive solution.*

### 3. Proof of theorem 8.

Here  $E$  is equipped with the slotwise partial order on  $C_0^1(\Omega)$ .

Let  $T : E \times E \rightarrow E$  defined by

$$T(u, v) = (-\Delta)^{-1} \circ f(u_I, v_J),$$

then  $T$  satisfies

$$T(u, v) \geq T(tu, \frac{1}{t}v) \geq t^\alpha T(u, v), \quad \forall t \in ]0, 1[, \quad \forall (u, v) \in E \times E.$$

we construct now the approximation sequence  $(u_n, v_n)$  defined by

$$\begin{cases} u_{n+1} = T(u_n, v_n) \\ v_{n+1} = T(v_n, u_n) \end{cases},$$

with  $(u_0, v_0) \in E$

We choose  $t_0 > 0$  sufficiently small such that

$$\begin{cases} t_0 x_0 < x_0 < \frac{1}{t_0} x_0 \\ t_0 x_0 < y_0 < \frac{1}{t_0} x_0 \\ (t_0)^{1-\alpha} x_0 < T(x_0, x_0) < \left(\frac{1}{t_0}\right)^{1-\alpha} x_0 \end{cases}$$

let  $u_0 = t_0 x_0$  and  $v_0 = \frac{1}{t_0} x_0$ , it follows that  $u_0 \leq T(u_0, v_0)$  and  $T(v_0, u_0) \leq v_0$ . And finally we have

$$u_0 \leq u_1 \leq \dots \leq u_n \leq v_n \leq \dots \leq v_1 \leq v_0, \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N},$$

Lets show that the two sequences are Cauchy sequences.

for any  $n \geq 0$  there exist  $\lambda > 0$  such that  $\lambda v_n \leq u_n \leq v_n$ , so lets take

$$t_n = \sup \{ \lambda \geq 0; \lambda v_n \leq u_n \leq v_n \},$$

we see that  $t_n \in ]0, 1]$ , and  $t_n$  is increasing, so let

$$t^* = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} t_n,$$

suppose that  $t^* < 1$  then using the fact that  $t_n v_n \leq u_n$  and  $v_n \leq \frac{1}{t_n} u_n$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} u_{n+1} &= T(u_n, v_n) \geq T(t_n v_n, \frac{1}{t_n} u_n) = T\left(\frac{t_n}{t^*} t^* v_n, \frac{t^*}{t_n} \frac{1}{t^*} u_n\right) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{t_n}{t^*}\right)^\beta T\left(t^* v_n, \frac{1}{t^*} u_n\right) \geq \frac{t_n}{t^*} T\left(t^* v_n, \frac{1}{t^*} u_n\right) \\ &\geq \frac{t_n}{t^*} (t^*)^\beta T(v_n, u_n) \geq t_n (t^*)^{\beta-1} v_{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

so we have  $t_n (t^*)^{\beta-1} \leq t_{n+1}$ , and if  $n \rightarrow +\infty$  we have  $(t^*)^\beta \leq t^*$  which is impossible, so we have  $t^* = 1$ .

For any positive integer  $n$  and  $p$  we have

$$0 \leq u_{n+p} - u_n \leq v_n - u_n \leq v_n - t_n v_n \leq (1 - t_n) v_0 \quad (5)$$

so we have

$$\|u_{n+p} - u_n\|_\infty \leq (1 - t_n) \|v_0\|_\infty$$

and it follows that  $(u_n)$  is a Cauchy sequence, the same for  $(v_n)$ . And since  $E$  is a Banach space we have the convergence of  $(u_n)$  to  $u^*$  and  $(v_n)$  to  $v^*$ . and using (5) we have  $v^* = u^*$  and by continuity of  $T$  we have we obtain the desired fixed point.

Unicity : Let  $u^*$  and  $v^*$  be two positive fixed points of  $T$ , and denote

$$a = \sup \left\{ \lambda > 0; \lambda v^* \leq u^* \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} v^* \right\}$$

suppose that  $a < 1$ , then we have

$$u^* = T(u^*, u^*) \geq T\left(av^*, \frac{1}{a}v^*\right) \geq a^\beta T(v^*, v^*) = a^\beta v^*$$

it follows from the definition of  $a$  that  $a \geq a^\beta$  which is impossible so  $a = 1$  and we have  $v^* = u^*$ .

## References

- [1] A. Abramovich, C. D. Aliprantis, An Invitation to Operator Theory, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2002.
- [2] M.A. Krasnosel'skii, P.P. Zabreiko, Geometric Methods of Nonlinear Analysis, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [3] Z. Liang, L. Zhang, S. Li, Fixed point theorems for a class of mixed monotone operators, Z. Anal. Anwendungen 22 (2003), 529-542.
- [4] J. Sun, X. Liu, Computation of topological degree in ordered Banach spaces with lattice structure and its application to superlinear differential equations, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 348 (2008), 927-937.
- [5] J. Sun, X. Liu, Computation for topological degree and its applications, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 202 (1996), 785-796.

(Lotfi LASSOUED) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF EL MANAR, F.S.T. TUNIS,  
CAMPUS UNIVERSITAIRE 2029, TUNISIA  
*E-mail address:* [lassoued.lotfi@gmail.com](mailto:lassoued.lotfi@gmail.com)

(Ali MAALAOUI) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF EL MANAR, F.S.T. TUNIS,  
CAMPUS UNIVERSITAIRE 2029, TUNISIA  
*E-mail address:* [ali.maalaoui@gmail.com](mailto:ali.maalaoui@gmail.com)