

## Positive Mapping from Semigroup into Anti-ordered Set

DANIEL A. ROMANO

---

ABSTRACT. We introduce a definition of positive mapping from semigroup with apartness into anti-ordered set and describe a connection between this notion and positive quasi-antiorder.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 03F65; Secondary: 03E04, 20M10.

Key words and phrases. Constructive mathematics, semigroup with apartness, anti-order, quasi-antiorder, positive quasi-antiorder, positive mapping.

---

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

This short investigation, in Bishop's constructive mathematics in sense of well-known book [1] and Romano's papers [5]-[8], is continuation of forthcoming papers Romano's [9], and Crvenković, Mitrović and Romano's [3]. Bishop's constructive mathematics was developed on Constructive logic ([10]) - logic without the Law of Excluded Middle  $P \vee \neg P$ . Let us note that in the Constructive logic the 'Double Negation Law'  $P \iff \neg\neg P$  does not hold, but the following implication  $P \implies \neg\neg P$  holds even in the Minimal logic. Since the Constructive logic is a part of the Classical logic, results gained in the Constructive mathematics are compatible with suitable results in the Classical mathematics. Let us recall that the following deduction principle  $A \vee B, \neg B \vdash A$  acceptable in the Constructive logic.

Let  $(X, =, \neq)$  be a set, where the relation " $\neq$ " is a binary relation on  $X$ , called *diversity* on  $X$ , which satisfies the following properties:

$$\neg(x \neq x), x \neq y \implies y \neq x, x \neq y \wedge y = z \implies x \neq z.$$

Follows Heyting, if the following implication  $x \neq z \implies x \neq y \vee y \neq z$  holds then the diversity  $\neq$  called *apartness*. Let  $x$  be an element of  $X$  and  $A$  a subset of  $X$ . We write  $x \bowtie A$  if and only if  $(\forall a \in A)(x \neq a)$ , and  $A^C = \{x \in X : x \bowtie A\}$ . In  $X \times X$  the equality and diversity are defined by

$$(x, y) = (u, v) \iff x = u \wedge y = v, (x, y) \neq (u, v) \iff x \neq u \vee y \neq v.$$

A relation  $q$  on  $X$  is a coequality relation ([5], [6]) on  $X$  if and only if it is consistent, symmetric and cotransitive:

$$q \subseteq \neq, q = q^{-1}, q \subseteq q * q,$$

where " $*$ " is operation between relations  $\alpha \subseteq X \times Y$  and  $\beta \subseteq Y \times Z$  defined by

$$(a, c) \in \beta * \alpha \iff (\forall b \in Y)((a, b) \in \alpha \vee (b, c) \in \beta).$$

This operation is called *filled product* ([6]) of relations. For coequality  $q$  on semigroup  $S$  we say that it is an *anticongruence* on  $S$  if

---

Received January 09, 2010. Revision received February 13, 2010.

Partially supported by the Ministry of science and technology of the Republic of Srpska, Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina.

$$(\forall a, b, x, y \in S)((ax, by) \in q \implies ((a, b) \in q \vee (x, y) \in q)).$$

In articles [5] and [6] the author proved the following: If  $e$  is an equivalence on set  $X$ , then there exists the maximal coequality relation  $q$  on  $X$  compatible with  $e$  in the following sense:  $e \circ q \subseteq q$  and  $q \circ e \subseteq q$ . Opposite, if  $q$  is a coequality relation on set  $X$ , then the relation  $q^C = \{(x, y) \in X \times X : (x, y) \bowtie q\}$  is an equivalence on  $X$  compatible with  $q$  ([6], Theorem 1), and we can ([6], Theorem 2) construct the factor-set  $X/(q^C, q) = \{aq^C : a \in X\}$  with:

$$aq^C =_1 bq^C \iff (a, b) \bowtie q, \quad aq^C \neq_1 bq^C \iff (a, b) \in q.$$

Also, we can ([6]) construct the factor-set  $X/q = \{aq : a \in X\}$ . If  $q$  is a coequality relation on a set  $X$ , then ([6], Theorem 3)  $X/q$  is a set with:

$$aq =_1 bq \iff (a, b) \bowtie q, \quad aq \neq_1 bq \iff (a, b) \in q.$$

It is clear that  $X/(q^C, q) \cong X/q$ , and the mapping  $\pi : X \longrightarrow X/q$ , defined by  $\pi(x) = xq$ , a strongly extensional surjective mapping.

Subset  $C(x) = \{y \in S : y \neq x\}$  satisfies the following implication:

$$y \in C(x) \wedge z \in X \implies y \neq z \vee z \in C(x).$$

It is called a *principal strongly extensional subset* of  $X$ , and besides it satisfies the following condition  $x \bowtie C(x)$ . If  $A$  is a subset of  $X$ , we say that it is a *strongly extensional subset* of  $X$  if and only if the following implication

$$x \in A \wedge y \in X \implies x \neq y \vee y \in A$$

holds.

As in [7] and [8] a relation  $\alpha$  on  $X$  is an *antiorder* on  $X$  if and only if

$$\alpha \subseteq \neq, \quad \alpha \subseteq \alpha * \alpha, \quad \neq \subseteq \alpha \cup \alpha^{-1} \text{ (linearity).}$$

Let  $\varphi$  be a strongly extensional mapping of anti-ordered sets from  $(X, =, \neq, \alpha)$  into  $(Y, =, \neq, \beta)$ . For  $\varphi$  we say that it is *reverse isotone* if

$$(\forall a, b \in X)((\varphi(a), \varphi(b)) \in \beta \implies (a, b) \in \alpha)$$

holds. A relation  $\tau$  on  $X$  is a *quasi-antiorder* ([7], [8]) on  $X$  if

$$\tau \subseteq (\alpha \subseteq) \neq, \quad \tau \subseteq \tau * \tau.$$

It is clear that each coequality relation  $q$  on set  $X$  is a quasi-antiorder relation on  $X$ , and the apartness is a trivial anti-order relation on  $X$ . It is easy to check that if  $\tau$  is a quasi-antiorder on  $X$ , then ([8]) the relation  $q = \tau \cup \tau^{-1}$  is an coequality relation on  $X$ . According to [8], in that case, the relation  $\Theta$  in  $X/q$ , defined by

$$(aq, bq) \in \Theta \iff (a, b) \in \tau,$$

is an anti-order on  $X/q$ .

In the next lemma we give a description of classes of quasi-antiorder:

**Lemma 1.1.** *Let  $\tau$  be a quasi-antiorder on set  $X$ . Then  $x\tau$  (res.  $\tau x$ ) is a strongly extensional subset of  $X$ , such that  $x \bowtie x\tau$  (res.  $x \bowtie \tau x$ ), for each  $x \in X$ . Besides, the following implication  $(x, z) \in \tau \implies x\tau \cup \tau z = X$  holds for each  $x, z$  of  $X$ .*

*Proof.* From  $\tau \subseteq \neq$  follows  $x \bowtie x\tau$ . Let  $y \in x\tau$  and let  $z$  be an arbitrary element of  $X$ . Thus  $(x, y) \in \tau$  and  $(x, z) \in \tau \vee (z, y) \in \tau$ . So, we have  $z \in x\tau \vee y \neq z$ . Therefore,  $x\tau$  is a strongly extensional subset of  $X$  such that  $x \bowtie x\tau$ . The proof that  $\tau x$  is a strongly extensional subset of  $X$  such that  $x \bowtie \tau x$  is analogous. Besides, the following implication  $(x, z) \in \tau \implies x\tau \cup \tau z = X$  holds for each  $x, y$  of  $X$ . Indeed, if  $(x, z) \in \tau$  and  $y$  is an arbitrary element of  $X$ , then  $(\forall y \in X)((x, y) \in \tau \vee (y, z) \in \tau)$ . Thus  $S = x\tau \cup \tau z$ .  $\square$

In the next proposition we give a construction of quasi-antiorder relation.

**Proposition 1.1.** *If  $A$  is a strongly extensional subset of  $X$ , then the relation  $\sigma$  on  $X$ , defined by  $(x, y) \in \sigma \iff x \in A \wedge x \neq y$ , is a quasi-antiorder relation on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\sigma$  is a consistent relation on  $X$ . Let  $(x, z) \in \sigma$  and let  $y$  be an arbitrary element of  $X$ . Then,  $x \in A \wedge x \neq z$ . Thus,  $x \neq y \vee y \neq z$ . If  $x \neq y$  and  $x \in A$ , then  $(x, y) \in \sigma$ . If  $y \neq z$  and  $x \in A$ , by strongly extensionality of  $A$ , we have  $y \neq z$  and  $x \in A$  and  $x \neq y \vee y \in A$ . In the case when  $y \neq z \wedge x \in A \wedge x \neq y$  we have again  $(x, y) \in \sigma$ ; in the case when  $y \neq z$  and  $x \in A$  and  $y \in A$  we have  $(y, z) \in \sigma$ . So, the relation  $\sigma$  is a cotransitive relation. Therefore, relation  $\sigma$  is a quasi-antiorder relation on  $X$ . Further, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} x \in A &\implies x\sigma = C(x), \quad \neg(x \in A) \implies x\sigma = \emptyset; \\ y \in A &\implies y = C(y) \cap A, \quad y \bowtie A \implies \sigma y = A. \end{aligned}$$

□

For undefined notions and notations we refer readers to the books [1] and [10] and to author's papers [5]-[8]

## 2. Positive quasi-antiorder

According to [3], in this section we give a definition and some basic properties of positive quasi-antiorder: A quasi-antiorder  $\tau$  on a semigroup  $(S, =, \neq, \cdot)$  is positive if and only if

$$(\forall a, b \in S)((a, ab) \bowtie \tau \wedge (a, ba) \bowtie \tau).$$

Quasi-order and positive quasi-order are important notion in the Semigroup Theory. They studied, for example, by M.S.Putcha in [4] and S.Bogdanović and M.Ćirić in [2]. Quasi-antiorder is introduced and studied by Romano in [7], [8] and [9]. In the article [7], this author studied the maximal quasi-antiorder in semigroup with apartness. Positive quasi-antiorder defined and studied by Crvenković, Mitrović and Romano in their forthcoming article [3].

In the following proposition we give a construction of positive quasi-antiorder relation on semigroup with apartness using ideal of  $S$ :

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $J$  be a strongly extensional ideal of  $S$  such that  $J \subset S$ . Then the relation  $\sigma$  on  $S$ , defined by  $(a, b) \in \sigma \iff a \in J \wedge b \bowtie J$ , is a positive quasi-antiorder relation on  $S$ .*

*Proof.* It is clearly that  $\sigma \subseteq \neq$ . Let  $(a, c) \in \sigma$  and let  $b$  be an arbitrary element of  $S$ . Then,  $a \in J$  and  $c \bowtie J$ . Thus, by strongly extensionality of  $J$ , we have

$$a \in J \wedge (t \neq b \vee b \in J) \wedge c \bowtie J \text{ for any } t \in J.$$

If  $b \in J \wedge c \bowtie J$ , then  $(b, c) \in \sigma$ . In the second case we have  $(a, b) \in \sigma$ . So, relation  $\sigma$  is cotransitive. Let  $(u, v)$  be an arbitrary element of  $\sigma$  and let  $a, b$  be arbitrary elements of  $S$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (u, v) \in \sigma &\implies (u, a) \in \sigma \vee (a, ab) \in \sigma \vee (ab, v) \in \sigma \\ &\implies u \neq a \vee (a \in J \wedge ab \bowtie J) \vee ab \neq v \\ &\implies (a, ab) \neq (u, v) \in \sigma. \end{aligned}$$

The proof for  $(a, ba) \bowtie \sigma$  is similar to this proof.

Immediately follows:

$$\begin{aligned} a \in J &\implies a\sigma = J^C, \quad \neg(a \in J) \implies a\sigma = \emptyset; \\ b \in J &\implies \sigma b = \emptyset, \quad b \bowtie J \implies \sigma b = J. \end{aligned}$$

□

In the following theorem, taken from article [3], we give without proof some fundamental properties of positive quasi-antiorde in semigroup  $S$  with apartness:

**Theorem 2.1.** *The following conditions for a quasi-antiorde  $\tau$  on a semigroup  $S$  are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\tau$  is positive;
- (2)  $(\forall a, b \in S)(a\tau \cup b\tau \subseteq (ab)\tau)$ ;
- (3)  $(\forall a, b \in S)(\tau(ab) \subseteq \tau a \cap \tau b)$ ;
- (4)  $a\tau$  is a strongly extensional consistent subset of  $S$  such that  $a \bowtie a\tau$  for each  $a \in S$ ; and
- (5)  $\tau b$  is a strongly extensional ideal of  $S$  such that  $b \bowtie \tau b$ , for each  $b \in S$ .

As in [6] we describe construction of the maximal positive quasi-antiorde in a semigroup  $S = S^1$ . Let  $a$  be an element of  $S$ . Then ([6], Theorem 6) the set  $C_{(a)} = \{x \in S : x \bowtie SaS\}$  is a consistent subset of  $S$  such that  $a \bowtie C_{(a)}$ . This subset  $C_{(a)}$  is called a *principal* consistent subset of  $S$  generated by  $a$ . If we introduce relation  $f$ , defined by  $(a, b) \in f \iff b \in C_{(a)}$ , we have ([6], Theorem 7) that the relation  $f$  is a consistent relation, and the relation  $c(f) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} {}^n f$  is a quasi-antiorde on  $S$ . For an element  $a$  of a semigroup  $S$  and for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  we introduce the following notations

$$\begin{aligned} A_n(a) &= \{x \in S : (a, x) \in {}^n f\}, \quad A(a) = \{x \in S : (a, x) \in c(f)\} \\ B_n(a) &= \{y \in S : (y, a) \in {}^n f\}, \quad B(a) = \{y \in S : (y, a) \in c(f)\}. \end{aligned}$$

In the following theorem present some characteristics of these sets.

**Theorem 2.2.** (1) *The set  $A(a) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n(a)$  is the maximal strongly extensional consistent subset of  $S$  such that  $a \bowtie A(a)$ .*

- (2)  $A(a) \cup A(b) \subseteq A(ab)$ .
- (3) *The set  $B(a) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n(a)$  is the maximal strongly extensional ideal of  $S$  such that  $a \bowtie B(a)$ .*
- (4)  $B(ab) \subseteq B(a) \cap B(b)$ .
- (5) *The relation  $c(f)$  is the maximal positive quasi-antiorde relation on semigroup  $S$ .*
- (6) *A quasi-antiorde  $\tau$  on a semigroup  $S$  is positive if and only if it contained in the maximal quasi-antiorde relation  $c(f)$  on  $S$ .*

*Proof.* (1)-(5) Proofs for (1)-(5) immediately follows from Theorem 2, Theorem 3, Theorem 4 and Theorem 5 of [6].

(6) It is clear that if  $\tau$  is a positive quasi-antiorde relation on  $S$ , then  $\tau \subseteq c(f)$ , since  $c(f)$  is the maximal positive quasi-antiorde relation on  $S$ . For opposite proof, let holds  $\tau \subseteq c(f)$ . Then  $(x, xy) \bowtie c(f) \supseteq \tau$  and  $(x, yx) \bowtie c(f) \supseteq \tau$  for any  $x, y$  of  $S$ . So, the quasi-antiorde  $\tau$  is positive. □

### 3. Positive mapping

In parallel with positive quasi-antiorders there is possibility to define and investigate positive mapping from semigroup  $S$  into an anti-ordered set. For mapping  $\varphi : S \longrightarrow P$ , from a semigroup  $(S, =, \neq, \cdot)$  into an anti-ordered set  $(P, =, \neq, \theta)$ , we say that it is *positive* if and only if

$$(\varphi(a), \varphi(ab)) \bowtie \theta \text{ and } (\varphi(b), \varphi(ab)) \bowtie \theta, \text{ for all } a, b \in S.$$

A connection between this mapping and positive quasi-antiorder has been given by the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.1.** *If  $\varphi$  is a positive mapping of a semigroup  $S$  into an anti-ordered set  $P$ , then the relation  $\tau$  on  $S$ , defined by  $(a, b) \in \tau \iff (\varphi(a), \varphi(b)) \in \theta$ , is a positive quasi-order on  $S$ . Opposite, if  $\tau$  is a positive quasi-antiorder on semigroup  $S$ , then there anti-ordered semigroup  $T$  and positive mapping  $\varphi : S \longrightarrow T$ .*

*Proof.* (1) By Lemma 2 in [8], the relation  $\tau$  on semigroup  $S$  is a quasi-antiorder on  $S$ . Let  $x, y, a$  and  $b$  be arbitrary elements of  $S$  such that  $(x, y) \in \tau$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y) \in \tau &\implies (x, a) \in \tau \vee (a, ab) \in \tau \vee (ab, y) \in \tau \\ &\implies x \neq a \vee (\varphi(a), \varphi(ab)) \in \theta \vee ab \neq y \\ &\implies (a, ab) \neq (x, y) \in \tau. \end{aligned}$$

For the fact  $(b, ab) \bowtie \tau$  a proof is analogous. Therefore, the relation  $\tau$  on  $S$  is a positive quasi-antiorder relation on  $S$ .

(2) If  $\tau$  is a positive quasi-antiorder on semigroup  $S$ , then the relation  $q = \tau \cup \tau^{-1}$  is a coequality on  $S$ . Thus, the factor-set  $S/q$  is an anti-ordered set under the antiorder  $\theta$ , defined by  $(aq, bq) \in \theta \iff (a, b) \in \tau$ . Expect that, let  $xq, yq, aq$  and  $bq$  be elements of  $S/q$  such that  $(xq, yq) \in \theta$ . Thus:

$$\begin{aligned} (xq, yq) \in \theta &\implies (xq, aq) \in \theta \vee (aq, abq) \in \theta \vee (abq, yq) \in \theta \\ &\implies xq \neq aq \vee (a, ab) \in \tau \vee abq \neq yq \\ &\implies (aq, abq) \neq (xq, yq) \in \theta \end{aligned}$$

and, analogously, we have  $(bq, abq) \bowtie \theta$ . So, for the strongly extensional mapping  $\pi : S \longrightarrow S/q$  we have:

$$(\forall a, b \in S)((\pi(a), \pi(ab)) \bowtie \theta \wedge (\pi(b), \pi(ab)) \bowtie \theta).$$

□

Using such connection between quasi-antiorders and mappings of a semigroup into a anti-ordered set, various notions concerning quasi-antiorders can be translated to the notions concerning the corresponding mappings.

## References

- [1] D. S. Bridges and F. Richman, *Varieties of Constructive Mathematics*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes 97, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987.
- [2] S. Bogdanović and M. Ćirić, Quasi-orders and Semilattice Decompositions of Semigroups (A Survey), *Proceedings of Internationals Conference in Semigroups and its Related Topics* (1998), 27–57.
- [3] S. Crvenković, M. Mitrović and D.A. Romano, *On Positive Quasi-antiorders in Semigroup with Apartness*, (to appear).
- [4] M.S. Putcha, Positive Quasi-orders on Semigroups, *Duke Math. J.* 40 (1973), 857–869.
- [5] D. A. Romano, A Left Compatible Coequality Relation on Semigroup with Apartness, *Novi Sad J. Math* 29 (1999), no. 2, 221–234.
- [6] D.A. Romano, Some Relations and Subsets Generated by Principal Consistent Subset of Semigroup with Apartness, *Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektotehn. Fak. Ser. Math* 13 (2002), 7–25.
- [7] D.A. Romano, Construction of Classes of the Quasi-antiorder Relation Generated by Principal Consistent Subsets on Semigroup with Apartness, *Proceedings of 16th Conference on Applied mathematics* (2006), 129–135.
- [8] D.A. Romano, A Note on Quasi-antiorder in Semigroup, *Novi Sad J. Math.* 37 (2007), no. 1, 3–8.
- [9] D.A. Romano, *On Quasi-antiorder in Semigroups*; (to appear).

- [10] A.S. Troelstra and D. van Dalen, *Constructivism in Mathematics, An Introduction*, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1988.

(Daniel A. Romano) FACULTY OF EDUCATION BIJELJINA, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, EAST SARAJEVO UNIVERSITY 76300 BIJELJINA, SEMBERSKIH RATARA STREET, BOSNIA AND HERZEGOVINA  
*E-mail address:* [bato49@hotmail.com](mailto:bato49@hotmail.com)