

Characterization of K -algebras by self maps II

KARAMAT H. DAR AND MUHAMMAD AKRAM

ABSTRACT. The notion of a K -algebra was introduced in [2] and it was characterized by its left and right mappings in [3] when group is abelian. In this paper we first explore some new properties of K -algebras, and then we characterize K -algebras by using their left and right mappings when the group is non-abelian.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 06F35.

Key words and phrases. K -algebras, Left and right mappings.

1. Introduction

The notion of a K -algebra (G, \cdot, \odot, e) was first introduced by Dar and Akram [2] in 2003 and published in 2005. A K -algebra is an algebra built on a group (G, \cdot, e) by adjoining an induced binary operation \odot on G which is attached to an abstract K -algebra (G, \cdot, \odot, e) . This system is, in general non-commutative and non-associative with a right identity e , if (G, \cdot, e) is non-commutative. For a given group G , the K -algebra is proper if G is not an elementary abelian 2-group. Thus, a K -algebra is abelian and non-abelian purely depends on the base group G . Dar and Akram further renamed a K -algebra on a group G as a $K(G)$ -algebra [3] due to its structural basis G . The $K(G)$ -algebras have already been characterized by their left and right mappings in [3] when group is abelian. In this paper we shall explore some new properties and examples of K -algebras. We shall characterize K -algebras by using their left and right mappings when the group is non-abelian. K -algebras have been extensively studied by authors since 2004 (see [1-7]).

2. Properties of K -algebras

Definition 2.1. [2] Let (G, \cdot, e) be a group in which each non-identity element is not of order 2. Then a K -algebra is a structure $\mathcal{K} = (G, \cdot, \odot, e)$ on a group G in which induced binary operation $\odot : G \times G \rightarrow G$ is defined by $\odot(x, y) = x \odot y = x \cdot y^{-1}$ and satisfies the following axioms:

$$(K1) \quad (x \odot y) \odot (x \odot z) = (x \odot ((e \odot z) \odot (e \odot y))) \odot x,$$

$$(K2) \quad x \odot (x \odot y) = (x \odot (e \odot y)) \odot x,$$

$$(K3) \quad (x \odot x) = e,$$

$$(K4) \quad (x \odot e) = x,$$

$$(K5) \quad (e \odot x) = x^{-1}$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$.

Definition 2.2. [4] A K -algebra \mathcal{K} is called abelian if and only if $x \odot (e \odot y) = y \odot (e \odot x)$ for all $x, y \in G$.

Received January 10, 2010. Revision received February 14, 2010.

If a K -algebra \mathcal{K} is abelian, then the axioms (K1) and (K2) can be written as:

$$\overline{(K1)} \quad (x \odot y) \odot (x \odot z) = z \odot y .$$

$$\overline{(K2)} \quad x \odot (x \odot y) = y .$$

Remark 2.1. (a) Let $G = \{e, a, b, c\}$ be a Klein four group. Consider a K -algebra on G with the following Cayley table:

\odot	e	a	b	c
e	e	a	b	c
a	a	e	c	b
b	b	c	e	a
c	c	b	a	e

This is an improper K -algebra on Klein four group since it is elementary abelian 2-group, i.e., $x \odot y = x.y^{-1} = x.y$.

(b) A K -algebra is proper if G is not an elementary abelian 2-group.

Example 2.1. Let $G_1 = \{ \langle a \rangle : a^3 = e \}$ and $G_2 = \{ \langle a \rangle : a^2 = e \}$ be two cyclic groups. Then $G = G_1 \times G_2 = \{ (e, e), (a, e), (a^2, e), (e, b), (a, b), (a^2, b) \}$ is a cyclic group of order 6. Consider the K -algebra $\mathcal{K} = (G, \cdot, \odot, e)$ on $G = \{e, v, w, x, y, z\}$, where $e = (e, e)$, $v = (a, e)$, $w = (a^2, e)$, $x = (e, b)$, $y = (a, b)$, $z = (a^2, b)$, and \odot is given by the following Cayley's table:

\odot	e	v	w	x	y	z
e	e	w	v	x	z	y
v	v	e	w	y	x	z
w	w	v	e	z	y	x
x	x	z	y	e	w	v
y	y	x	z	v	e	w
z	z	y	x	w	v	e

Example 2.2. Consider the K -algebra $\mathcal{K} = (G, \cdot, \odot, e)$ on the Dihedral group $G = \{e, a, u, v, b, x, y, z\}$ where $u = a^2$, $v = a^3$, $x = ab$, $y = a^2b$, $z = a^3b$, and \odot is given by the following Cayley's table:

\odot	e	a	u	v	b	x	y	z
e	e	v	u	a	b	x	y	z
a	a	e	v	u	x	y	z	b
u	u	a	e	v	y	z	b	x
v	v	u	a	e	z	b	x	y
b	b	x	y	z	e	v	u	a
x	x	y	z	b	a	e	v	u
y	y	z	b	x	u	a	e	v
z	z	b	x	y	v	u	a	e

Example 2.3. Let $G = V_3(R) = \{(x, y, z) : x, y, z \in R\}$ be the set of all 3-dimensional real vectors which forms an additive (+) abelian group. Define the operation \odot on $V_3(R)$ by $a \odot b = a - b$ for all $a, b \in V_3(R)$.

Then $(G, +, \odot, e)$ is a K -algebra \mathcal{K} .

We give the following theorem without proof.

Theorem 2.1. Let G_1 and G_2 be two groups and let \mathcal{K}_1 and \mathcal{K}_2 be K -algebras constructed on G_1 and G_2 , respectively. Then $\mathcal{K}_1 \cong \mathcal{K}_2$ if $G_1 \cong G_2$, but its converse is not true.

Proposition 2.1. *In K -algebras \mathcal{K} the following statements are equivalent:*

- (a) *A K -algebra \mathcal{K} is abelian,*
- (b) $x \odot (e \odot y) = y \odot (e \odot x)$,
- (c) $x \odot (x \odot y) = y$,
- (d) $(x \odot y) \odot z = (x \odot z) \odot y$,
- (e) $(e \odot x) \odot (e \odot y) = e \odot (x \odot y)$,
- (f) $(x \odot y) \odot (x \odot z) = z \odot y$

for all $x, y, z \in G$.

Proof. The proof is easy and hence omitted. \square

Proposition 2.2. *If the class of K -algebras \mathcal{K} is an abelian. Then the following identities hold:*

- 1. $x \odot (e \odot y) = y \odot (e \odot x)$,
- 2. $(x \odot y) \odot z = (x \odot z) \odot y$,
- 3. $(x \odot (x \odot y)) \odot y = e$,
- 4. $e \odot (x \odot y) = (e \odot x) \odot (e \odot y) = y \odot x$

for all $x, y, z \in G$.

Proof. The proof is easy and hence omitted. \square

Proposition 2.3. *In an abelian K -algebra \mathcal{K} the following assertions are equivalent:*

- 5. $x \odot (y \odot z)$
- 6. $(x \odot y) \odot (e \odot z)$
- 7. $z \odot (y \odot x)$

Proof. (5) \Rightarrow (6) since

$$\begin{aligned}
 x \odot (y \odot z) &= (x \odot (e \odot (z \odot y))) \text{ [by 4]} \\
 &= (z \odot y) \odot (e \odot x) \text{ [by 1]} \\
 &= (z \odot (e \odot x)) \odot y \text{ [by 2]} \\
 &= (x \odot (e \odot z)) \odot y \text{ [by 1]} \\
 &= (x \odot y) \odot (e \odot z) \text{ [by 2]}
 \end{aligned}$$

(6) \Rightarrow (7) since

$$\begin{aligned}
 (x \odot y) \odot (e \odot x) &= (e \odot (y \odot x)) \odot (e \odot z) \text{ [by 4]} \\
 &= z \odot (e \odot (e \odot (y \odot x))) \text{ [by 1]} \\
 &= z \odot (y \odot x) \text{ [by 4]}
 \end{aligned}$$

(7) \Rightarrow (5) since

$$z \odot (y \odot x) = x \odot (y \odot z) \text{ by (7) and (6).}$$

\square

We now formulate the following propositions without their proofs when the group is non abelian.

Proposition 2.4. *Let \mathcal{K} be a K -algebra on non-abelian group G . Then the following identities hold in \mathcal{K} for all $x, y, z \in G$:*

- (a) $x \odot (y \odot z) = (x \odot (e \odot z)) \odot y$.

- (b) $(x \odot y) \odot z = x \odot (z \odot (e \odot y))$.
 (c) $e \odot (x \odot y) = y \odot x$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let \mathcal{K} be a K -algebra on non-abelian group G . Then the following identities hold in \mathcal{K} for all $x, y, z \in G$:*

- (d) $e \odot (e \odot x) = x$.
 (e) $x \odot (x \odot (e \odot x)) = e \odot x$.
 (f) $x \odot (z \odot (e \odot x)) = (e \odot x) \odot (z \odot x) = e \odot z$.
 (g) $(x \odot y) \odot (z \odot y) = x \odot z$.
 (h) $(x \odot y) \odot (e \odot y) = x$.
 (i) $x \odot y = e = y \odot x \implies x = y$.

3. Characterization of K -algebras using self maps

It is known that all the bijective mappings on a group form a group under the binary operation of their usual composition. The sets of all left and right mappings on group G coincide elementwise if (G, \cdot, e) is an abelian group. In this Section, we extend the concept of left and right mappings to K -algebra \mathcal{K} when G is non-abelian.

Right mappings of K -algebras

Definition 3.1. *Let \mathcal{K} be a K -algebra. For a fixed element $x \in \mathcal{K}$, the mapping $R_x : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ defined by $R_x(y) = y \odot x$ for all $y \in \mathcal{K}$, is called right map on \mathcal{K} . The set of all right mappings on K - algebra \mathcal{K} is denoted by R .*

Definition 3.2. *The binary operation of composition (\circ) of R on K -algebras built on non-abelian group G behaves in the following way:*

$$(g)R_x \circ R_y = ((g)R_x)R_y = (g \odot x) \odot y = g \odot (y \odot (e \odot x)) = (g)R_{y \odot (e \odot x)}.$$

Example 3.1. *Consider the K -algebra $\mathcal{K} = (S_3, \cdot, \odot, e)$ on the symmetric group $S_3 = \{e, a, b, x, y, z\}$ where $e = (1)$, $a = (123)$, $b = (132)$, $x = (12)$, $y = (13)$, $z = (23)$, and \odot is given by the following Cayley's table:*

\odot	e	x	y	z	a	b
e	e	x	y	z	b	a
x	x	e	a	b	z	y
y	y	b	e	a	x	z
z	z	a	b	e	y	x
a	a	z	x	y	e	b
b	b	y	z	x	a	e

The set of all right mappings of a K -algebra is

$$R = \{R_e, R_x, R_y, R_z, R_a, R_b\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} R_e & : (e)(a)(b)(x)(y)(z) = I, \\ R_x & : (e x)(y b)(z a), \\ R_y & : (e y)(x a)(z b), \\ R_z & : (e z)(x b)(y a), \\ R_a & : (e b a)(x z y), \\ R_b & : (e a b)(x y z). \end{aligned}$$

By routine calculations, it is easy to see that

$$(R, \circ) = \{ \langle R_a, R_z \rangle : R_a^3 = I = R_z^2 = (R_a \circ R_z)^2 \} \cong S_3.$$

Theorem 3.1. *Let \mathcal{K} be a K -algebra on non-abelian group G . Let R be the set of all right mappings of K -algebra with the binary operation of composition (\circ) of the right mappings defined by*

$$R_x \circ R_y = R_{y \circ (e \circ x)}.$$

Then

- a. the system (R, \circ) forms non-abelian group.
- b. $(R, \circ) \cong G$.

Proof. (a) Since R_x, R_y on K -algebra are composed by $R_x \circ R_y = R_{y \circ (e \circ x)}$. So it is easy to see that:

- (i) the composition is non commutative, that is,

$$R_x \circ R_y = R_{y \circ (e \circ x)} \neq R_{x \circ (e \circ y)} = R_y \circ R_x \quad \forall x, y \in G.$$

- (ii) the composition is associative, that is,

$$(R_x \circ R_y) \circ R_z = R_x \circ (R_y \circ R_z)$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$.

- (iii) If R_e is the identity element of (R, \circ) and $R_x^{-1} = R_{e \circ x}$ is the inverse of (R, \circ) for all $x \in G$, then

$$R_x \circ R_{e \circ x} = R_e = R_{e \circ x} \circ R_x.$$

Hence (R, \circ) forms non-abelian group.

- (b) In order to show that $(R, \circ) \cong G$, we consider the map $\phi : R \rightarrow G$, from R into G defined by $\phi(R_x) = e \circ x$ for all $R_x \in R$. We notice that:

- (i) clearly ϕ is well-defined.
- (ii) ϕ is a homomorphism since for $R_x, R_y \in R$

$$\phi(R_x \circ R_y) = \phi(R_{y \circ (e \circ x)}) = e \circ (y \circ (e \circ x)) = (e \circ x) \cdot (e \circ y) = \phi(R_x) \circ \phi(R_y).$$

- (iii) ϕ is one-to-one since

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(R_x) = \phi(R_y) &\Rightarrow e \circ x = e \circ y \\ &\Rightarrow (e \circ x) \circ (e \circ y) = e \\ &\Rightarrow y \circ x = e \\ &\Rightarrow R_{y \circ x} = R_e \\ &\Rightarrow R_y \circ R_{e \circ x} = R_e \\ &\Rightarrow R_y \circ R_x^{-1} = R_e \\ &\Rightarrow R_x = R_y. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$(R, \circ) \cong G.$$

□

We give the following Theorem without proof.

Theorem 3.2. *Let \mathcal{K} be a K -algebra on abelian group G . Let R be a set of all right mappings of K -algebra \mathcal{K} . Then (R, \circ) is a K -algebra \mathcal{K} on R if and only if the system (R, \circ) on \mathcal{K} is isomorphic to the group G .*

Left mappings of K -algebras

Definition 3.3. Let \mathcal{K} be a K -algebra. For a fixed element $x \in \mathcal{K}$, the mapping $L_x : \mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ defined by $L_x(y) = x \odot y$ for all $y \in \mathcal{K}$, is called left map on \mathcal{K} . The set of all left mappings on K - algebra \mathcal{K} is denoted by L .

Example 3.2. Consider the K -algebra $\mathcal{K} = (S_3, \cdot, \odot, e)$ on the symmetric group $S_3 = \{e, a, b, x, y, z\}$ where $e = (1)$, $a = (123)$, $b = (132)$, $x = (12)$, $y = (13)$, $z = (23)$, and \odot is given by the Cayley's table in Example 3.2. The set of all left mappings of a K -algebra is

$$L = \{L_e, L_x, L_y, L_z, L_a, L_b\}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} L_e & : (e)(x)(y)(z)(a\ b), \\ L_x & : (e\ x)(y\ a\ z\ b), \\ L_y & : (e\ y)(x\ b\ z\ a), \\ L_z & : (e\ z)(x\ a\ y\ b), \\ L_a & : (e\ a)(x\ z\ y)(b), \\ L_b & : (e\ b)(x\ y\ z)(a). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to verify the following:

$$\bullet L_x \circ L_e = R_x, \quad L_y \circ L_e = R_y, \quad L_z \circ L_e = R_z, \quad L_a \circ L_e = R_a, \quad L_b \circ L_e = R_b.$$

By routine calculations, it is easy to see that (L, \circ) does not form a group.

Definition 3.4. The binary operation of composition (\circ) of L on a K -algebra built on a non-abelian group behaves in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} L_x \circ L_y(z) & = L_x(L_y(z)) = x \odot (y \odot z) = (x \odot (e \odot z)) \odot y \\ & = R_y \circ L_x \circ L_e(z). \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see the following identities:

$$\begin{aligned} \bullet L_x(y) & = R_y(x) \\ \bullet R_z \circ L_x & = L_x \circ L_z \circ L_e \end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y, z \in G$. In order to extend further to the mutual interactions of the left and right mappings of a K -algebra, we include the following:

Proposition 3.1. Let \mathcal{K} be a K -algebra. Then the left mappings of the set (L, \circ) compose on \mathcal{K} holding the following interacting properties to (R, \circ) for all $x, y, z \in G$:

- (1) $L_e^2 = R_e$,
- (2) $L_e \circ L_x = R_x$,
- (3) $L_{x \odot y} = L_x \circ R_{e \odot y} = L_x \circ L_{e \odot y} \circ L_e$,
- (4) $L_e(x \odot y) = y \odot x = L_y(x) = R_x(y)$,
- (5) $L_x^2 \circ L_e = R_x \circ L_x$,
- (6) $L_x \circ L_z \circ L_e = R_z \circ L_x$.

Proof. Routine. □

Lemma 3.1. [3] Let \mathcal{K} be a K -algebra on an abelian group G and let (L, \circ) be the set of all left mappings of \mathcal{K} . Then $L_e \in L$ is the only non-identity automorphism of \mathcal{K} .

Theorem 3.3. Let \mathcal{K} be a K -algebra on a non-abelian group G and let (L, \circ) be the set of all left mappings of \mathcal{K} . Then $L_e \in L$ is the only non-identity endomorphism of \mathcal{K} .

Proof. L_e is an endomorphism of \mathcal{K} since

$$L_e(x \odot y) = e \odot (x \odot y) = (e \odot x) \odot (e \odot y) = L_e(x) \odot L_e(y).$$

Hence L_e is an endomorphism of K -algebras \mathcal{K} . \square

From the mutual intersection of the left mappings of a K -algebra \mathcal{K} , it is easy to note that, if $L_e \circ L_x = \bar{L}_x$, then $\bar{L}_x(y) = e \odot (x \odot y)$ for all $x \in G$. Let $L/L_e = \bar{L} = \{\bar{L}_x : x \in G\}$, then by routine simplification process one can find in (\bar{L}, \circ) that:

- $L_e \circ L_e = \bar{L}_e = \text{Identity} = R_e$
- $\bar{L}_x \circ \bar{L}_y = \bar{L}_{y \odot (e \odot x)}$
- $(\bar{L}_x \circ \bar{L}_y) \circ \bar{L}_z = \bar{L}_x \circ (\bar{L}_y \circ \bar{L}_z)$
- $\bar{L}_x \circ \bar{L}_{e \odot x} = \bar{L}_e = \bar{L}_{e \odot x} \circ \bar{L}_x$

Example 3.3. In Example 3.8, we see that the set of left mappings L of a K -algebra on non-abelian G does not form group. We generate the group of left mappings formed by $L/L_e = \bar{L} = \{L_e \circ L_x : x \in G\}$. By routine computations, It is easy to see the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{L}_e &= L_e \circ L_e = I \\ \bar{L}_x &= L_e \circ L_x = (e \ x)(y \ a)(z \ b) \\ \bar{L}_y &= L_e \circ L_y = (e \ y)(x \ b)(z \ a) \\ \bar{L}_z &= L_e \circ L_z = (e \ z)(x \ a)(y \ b) \\ \bar{L}_a &= L_e \circ L_a = (e \ a \ b)(x \ z \ y) \\ \bar{L}_b &= L_e \circ L_b = (e \ b \ a)(x \ y \ z) \end{aligned}$$

By routine calculations, it is easy to see that

$$(\bar{L}, \circ) = \{ \langle \bar{L}_a, \bar{L}_z \rangle : \bar{L}_a^3 = I = \bar{L}_z^2 = (\bar{L}_a \bar{L}_z)^2 \} \cong S_3.$$

We state the following theorem without proof.

Theorem 3.4. Let \mathcal{K} be a K -algebra on non-abelian group G . and let

$$\bar{L} = L/L_e = \{L_e \circ L_x : x \in G\}$$

be the set of all left mappings of a K -algebra with the binary operation of composition (\circ) of left mappings defined by

$$\bar{L}_x \circ \bar{L}_y = \bar{L}_{y \odot (e \odot x)}.$$

Then

- a. the system (\bar{L}, \circ) forms non-abelian group.
- b. $(\bar{L}, \circ) \cong (R, \circ) \cong G$.

Example 3.4. Let $\bar{L} = \{I = \bar{L}_e, \bar{L}_x, \bar{L}_y, \bar{L}_z, \bar{L}_a, \bar{L}_b\}$ be the set of all left mappings of \mathcal{K} on the symmetric group $S_3 = \{e, a, b, x, y, z\}$ where $e = (1)$, $a = (123)$, $b = (132)$, $x = (12)$, $y = (13)$, $z = (23)$. Consider K -algebra \mathcal{K} on \bar{L} , and \odot is given by the following Cayley table:

\odot	\bar{L}_e	\bar{L}_x	\bar{L}_y	\bar{L}_z	\bar{L}_a	\bar{L}_b
\bar{L}_e	\bar{L}_e	\bar{L}_x	\bar{L}_y	\bar{L}_z	\bar{L}_b	\bar{L}_a
\bar{L}_x	\bar{L}_x	\bar{L}_e	\bar{L}_a	\bar{L}_b	\bar{L}_z	\bar{L}_y
\bar{L}_y	\bar{L}_y	\bar{L}_b	\bar{L}_e	\bar{L}_a	\bar{L}_x	\bar{L}_z
\bar{L}_z	\bar{L}_z	\bar{L}_a	\bar{L}_b	\bar{L}_e	\bar{L}_y	\bar{L}_x
\bar{L}_a	\bar{L}_a	\bar{L}_z	\bar{L}_x	\bar{L}_y	\bar{L}_e	\bar{L}_b
\bar{L}_b	\bar{L}_b	\bar{L}_y	\bar{L}_z	\bar{L}_x	\bar{L}_a	\bar{L}_e

Thus we state the following Theorem without proof.

Theorem 3.5. *Let \mathcal{K} be a K -algebra on non-abelian group G . Let \bar{L} be a set of all left mappings of K -algebra \mathcal{K} . Then (\bar{L}, \odot) is a K -algebra \mathcal{K} on \bar{L} if and only if the system (\bar{L}, \circ) on \mathcal{K} is isomorphic to the group G .*

In closing this paper, we state the following Theorem which can be easily proved. We hence omit the details.

Theorem 3.6. *Let G be a group and let R and L be the sets of right and left mappings of K -algebras. Then $R \cong L$.*

References

- [1] M. Akram and H. S. Kim, On K -algebras and BCI-algebras, *International Mathematical Forum* **2** (2007), no. 9-12, 583–587.
- [2] K. H. Dar and M. Akram, On a K -algebra built on a group, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics* **29** (2005), no. 1, 41–49.
- [3] K. H. Dar and M. Akram, Characterization of a $K(G)$ -algebra by self maps, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics* **28** (2004), 601–610.
- [4] K. H. Dar and M. Akram, On K -homomorphisms of K -algebras, *International Mathematical Forum* **46** (2007), 2283–2293.
- [5] K. H. Dar and M. Akram, On subclasses of $K(G)$ -algebras, *Annals of University of Craiova, Math. Comp. Sci. Ser.* **33** (2006), 235–240.
- [6] K. H. Dar and M. Akram, A BCC -algebra as a subclass of K -algebras, *Annals of University of Craiova, Math. Comp. Sci. Ser.* **36** (2009), 12–16.
- [7] K.H. Dar, M. Akram and A. Farooq, A note on a left $K(G)$ -algebra, *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics* **31** (2007), 231–238.
- [8] Y. Imai and K. Iseki, On axiom system of propositional calculi, *Proc. Japonica Acad* **42** (1966), 19–22.
- [9] K. Iseki, An algebra related with a propositional calculus, *Proc. Japan Acad* **42** (1966), 26–29.
- [10] J. Meng and Y. B. Jun, *BCK-algebras*, Kyung Moon Sa Co. Seoul, Korea, 1994.

(Karamat H. Dar) G. C. UNIVERSITY LAHORE,
DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS,
KATCHERY ROAD, LAHORE-54000, PAKISTAN
E-mail address: prof_khdar@yahoo.com

(Muhammad Akram) PUNJAB UNIVERSITY COLLEGE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY,
UNIVERSITY OF THE PUNJAB, OLD CAMPUS,
LAHORE-54000, PAKISTAN
E-mail address: m.akram@pucit.edu.pk, makrammath@yahoo.com