

On R - I -open sets and \mathcal{A}_I^* -sets in ideal topological spaces

ERDAL EKICI

ABSTRACT. In this paper, properties of R - I -open sets and \mathcal{A}_I^* -sets in ideal topological spaces are discussed. The relationships between R - I -open sets, \mathcal{A}_I^* -sets and the related sets in ideal topological spaces are investigated. Moreover, decompositions of \mathcal{A}_I^* -continuous functions are established.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 54A05; Secondary 54A10, 54C08, 54C10.

Key words and phrases. R - I -open set, \mathcal{A}_I^* -set, ideal topological space, decomposition, semi*- I -open set, β_I^* -open set.

1. Introduction

The notions of R - I -open sets and \mathcal{A}_I^* -sets in ideal topological spaces are introduced by [11] and [5], respectively. In [11], the notion of δ - I -open sets via R - I -open sets was studied. In [5], decompositions of continuity via \mathcal{A}_I^* -sets in ideal topological spaces have been established. The aim of this paper is to investigate properties of R - I -open sets and \mathcal{A}_I^* -sets in ideal topological spaces. The relationships between R - I -open sets, \mathcal{A}_I^* -sets and the related sets in ideal topological spaces are discussed. Also, decompositions of \mathcal{A}_I^* -continuous functions are provided.

In this paper, (X, τ) or (Y, σ) denote a topological space with no separation properties assumed. $Cl(K)$ and $Int(K)$ denote the closure and interior of K in (X, τ) , respectively for a subset K of a topological space (X, τ) . An ideal I on a topological space (X, τ) is a nonempty collection of subsets of X which satisfies

- (1) $V \in I$ and $U \subset V$ implies $U \in I$,
- (2) $V \in I$ and $U \in I$ implies $V \cup U \in I$ [10].

Also, (X, τ, I) is called an ideal topological space or simply an ideal space if I is an ideal on (X, τ) . For a topological space (X, τ) with an ideal I on X and if $P(X)$ is the set of all subsets of X , a set operator $(\cdot)^* : P(X) \rightarrow P(X)$, said to be a local function [10] of $N \subset X$ with respect to τ and I is defined as follows:

$$N^*(I, \tau) = \{x \in X : K \cap N \notin I \text{ for every } K \in \tau(x)\} \text{ where } \tau(x) = \{K \in \tau : x \in K\}.$$

A Kuratowski closure operator $Cl^*(\cdot)$ for a topology $\tau^*(I, \tau)$, said to be the \star -topology, finer than τ , is defined by $Cl^*(N) = N \cup N^*(I, \tau)$ [9]. We simply write N^* for $N^*(I, \tau)$ and τ^* for $\tau^*(I, \tau)$.

Definition 1.1. A subset K of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is said to be

- (1) \star -dense [2] if $Cl^*(K) = X$.
- (2) R - I -open [11] if $K = Int(Cl^*(K))$.
- (3) R - I -closed [11] if its complement is R - I -open.

Lemma 1.1. ([8]) Let K be a subset of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) . If N is an open set, then $N \cap Cl^*(K) \subset Cl^*(N \cap K)$.

Received December 15, 2010. Revision received March 29, 2011.

Definition 1.2. ([3, 4]) A subset K of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is said to be

- (1) semi^* - I -open if $K \subset Cl(Int^*(K))$.
- (2) semi^* - I -closed if its complement is semi^* - I -open.

2. Properties of R - I -open sets and \mathcal{A}_I^* -sets

Theorem 2.1. For an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) and a subset K of X , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) K is an R - I -closed set,
- (2) K is semi^* - I -open and closed.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) : Let K be an R - I -closed set in X . Then we have $K = Cl(Int^*(K))$. It follows that K is semi^* - I -open and closed.

(2) \Rightarrow (1) : Suppose that K is a semi^* - I -open set and a closed set in X . It follows that $K \subset Cl(Int^*(K))$. Since K is closed, then we have

$$Cl(Int^*(K)) \subset Cl(K) = K \subset Cl(Int^*(K)).$$

Thus, $K = Cl(Int^*(K))$ and hence K is R - I -closed. \square

Theorem 2.2. For an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) and a subset K of X , K is an R - I -open set if and only if K is semi^* - I -closed and open.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4. \square

Theorem 2.3. ([4]) A subset K of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is semi^* - I -open if and only if there exists $N \in \tau^*$ such that $N \subset K \subset Cl(N)$.

Theorem 2.4. For an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) and a subset K of X , the following properties are equivalent:

- (1) K is an R - I -closed set,
- (2) There exists a \star -open set L such that $K = Cl(L)$.

Proof. (2) \Rightarrow (1) : Suppose that there exists a \star -open set L such that $K = Cl(L)$. Since $L = Int^*(L)$, then we have $Cl(L) = Cl(Int^*(L))$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} Cl(Int^*(Cl(L))) &= Cl(Int^*(Cl(Int^*(L)))) \\ &= Cl(Int^*(L)) = Cl(L). \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned} K &= Cl(L) = Cl(Int^*(Cl(L))) \\ &= Cl(Int^*(K)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $K = Cl(Int^*(K))$ and hence K is an R - I -closed set in X .

(1) \Rightarrow (2) : Suppose that K is an R - I -closed set in X . We have $K = Cl(Int^*(K))$. We take $L = Int^*(K)$. It follows that L is a \star -open set and $K = Cl(L)$. \square

Theorem 2.5. For an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) and a subset K of X , K is semi^* - I -open if $K = L \cap M$ where L is an R - I -closed set and $Int(M)$ is a \star -dense set.

Proof. Suppose that $K = L \cap M$ where L is an R - I -closed set and $Int(M)$ is a \star -dense set. By Theorem 7, there exists a \star -open set N such that $L = Cl(N)$. We take $O = N \cap Int(M)$. It follows that O is \star -open and $O \subset K$. Moreover, we have

$Cl(O) = Cl(N \cap Int(M))$ and $Cl(N \cap Int(M)) \subset Cl(N)$. Since $Int(M)$ is \star -dense, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} N &= N \cap Cl^*(Int(M)) \subset Cl^*(N \cap Int(M)) \\ &\subset Cl(N \cap Int(M)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $Cl(N) \subset Cl(N \cap Int(M))$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Cl(O) &= Cl(N \cap Int(M)) \\ &\subset Cl(N) = L \subset Cl(N \cap Int(M)) \\ &= Cl(O). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $O \subset K \subset L = Cl(O)$. Hence, by Theorem 6, K is a semi*- I -open set in X . \square

Definition 2.1. ([4]) *The semi*- I -closure of a subset K of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) , denoted by $s_I^*Cl(K)$, is defined by the intersection of all semi*- I -closed sets of X containing K .*

Theorem 2.6. ([4]) *For a subset K of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) , $s_I^*Cl(K) = K \cup Int(Cl^*(K))$.*

Definition 2.2. *Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and $K \subset X$. K is called*

- (1) *generalized semi*- I -closed (gs_I^* -closed) in (X, τ, I) if $s_I^*Cl(K) \subset O$ whenever $K \subset O$ and O is an open set in (X, τ, I) .*
- (2) *generalized semi*- I -open (gs_I^* -open) in (X, τ, I) if $X \setminus K$ is a gs_I^* -closed set in (X, τ, I) .*

Theorem 2.7. *For a subset M of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) , M is gs_I^* -open if and only if $T \subset s_I^*Int(M)$ whenever $T \subset M$ and T is a closed set in (X, τ, I) , where $s_I^*Int(M) = M \cap Cl(Int^*(M))$.*

Proof. (\Rightarrow) : Suppose that M is a gs_I^* -open set in X . Let $T \subset M$ and T be a closed set in (X, τ, I) . It follows that $X \setminus M$ is a gs_I^* -closed set and $X \setminus M \subset X \setminus T$ where $X \setminus T$ is an open set. Since $X \setminus M$ is gs_I^* -closed, then $s_I^*Cl(X \setminus M) \subset X \setminus T$, where $s_I^*Cl(X \setminus M) = (X \setminus M) \cup Int(Cl^*(X \setminus M))$. Since $(X \setminus M) \cup Int(Cl^*(X \setminus M)) = (X \setminus M) \cup X \setminus Cl(Int^*(M)) = X \setminus (M \cap Cl(Int^*(M)))$, then $(X \setminus M) \cup Int(Cl^*(X \setminus M)) = X \setminus (M \cap Cl(Int^*(M))) = X \setminus s_I^*Int(M)$. It follows that $s_I^*Cl(X \setminus M) = X \setminus s_I^*Int(M)$. Thus, $T \subset X \setminus s_I^*Cl(X \setminus M) = s_I^*Int(M)$ and hence $T \subset s_I^*Int(M)$.

(\Leftarrow) : The converse is similar. \square

Theorem 2.8. *Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and $N \subset X$. The following properties are equivalent:*

- (1) *N is an R - I -open set,*
- (2) *N is open and gs_I^* -closed.*

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) : Let N be an R - I -open set in X . Then we have $N = Int(Cl^*(N))$. It follows that N is open and semi*- I -closed in X . Thus, $s_I^*Cl(N) \subset N$ whenever $N \subset K$ and K is an open set in (X, τ, I) . Hence, N is a gs_I^* -closed set in X .

(2) \Rightarrow (1) : Let N be open and gs_I^* -closed in X . We have $N \subset Int(Cl^*(N))$. Since N is gs_I^* -closed and open, then we have $s_I^*Cl(N) \subset N$. Since $s_I^*Cl(N) = N \cup Int(Cl^*(N))$, then $s_I^*Cl(N) = N \cup Int(Cl^*(N)) \subset N$. Thus, $Int(Cl^*(N)) \subset N$ and $N \subset Int(Cl^*(N))$. Hence, $N = Int(Cl^*(N))$ and N is an R - I -open set in X . \square

Definition 2.3. *A subset K of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is said to be*

- (1) *an \mathcal{A}_I^* -set [5] if $K = L \cap M$, where L is an open set and $M = Cl(Int^*(M))$.*
- (2) *a locally closed set [1] if $K = L \cap M$ where L is an open set and M is a closed set in X .*

Remark 2.1. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space. Any open set and any R - I -closed set in X is an \mathcal{A}_I^* -set in X . The reverse of this implication is not true in general as shown in the following example.

Example 2.1. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}\}$. Then the set $K = \{b, c, d\}$ is an \mathcal{A}_I^* -set but it is not open. The set $L = \{a, b, c\}$ is an \mathcal{A}_I^* -set but it is not R - I -closed.

Remark 2.2. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space. Any \mathcal{A}_I^* -set is a locally closed set in X . The reverse implication is not true in general as shown in the following example.

Example 2.2. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}\}$. Then the set $K = \{d\}$ is locally closed but it is not an \mathcal{A}_I^* -set.

Theorem 2.9. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space, $N \subset X$ and $K \subset X$. If N is a semi*- I -open set and K is an open set, then $N \cap K$ is semi*- I -open.

Proof. Suppose that N is a semi*- I -open set and K is an open set in X . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} N \cap K &\subset Cl(Int^*(N)) \cap K \\ &\subset Cl(Int^*(N) \cap K) = Cl(Int^*(N \cap K)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $N \cap K \subset Cl(Int^*(N \cap K))$ and hence, $N \cap K$ is a semi*- I -open set in X . \square

Lemma 2.1. ([1]) For a subset A of a topological space (X, τ) , A is locally closed if and only if $A = U \cap Cl(A)$ for an open set U .

Definition 2.4. ([6]) A subset K of an ideal topological space (X, τ, I) is said to be

- (1) β_I^* -open if $K \subset Cl(Int^*(Cl(K)))$.
- (2) β_I^* -closed if $X \setminus K$ is β_I^* -open.

Theorem 2.10. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space and $K \subset X$. The following properties are equivalent:

- (1) K is an \mathcal{A}_I^* -set,
- (2) K is semi*- I -open and locally closed,
- (3) K is a β_I^* -open set and a locally closed set.

Proof. (1) \Rightarrow (2) : Suppose that K is an \mathcal{A}_I^* -set in X . It follows that $K = L \cap M$ where L is an open set and $M = Cl(Int^*(M))$. Then K is locally closed. Since M is a semi*- I -open set, then by Theorem 19, K is a semi*- I -open set in X .

(2) \Rightarrow (3) : It follows from the fact that any semi*- I -open set is β_I^* -open.

(3) \Rightarrow (1) : Let K be a β_I^* -open set and a locally closed set in X . We have $K \subset Cl(Int^*(Cl(K)))$. Since K is a locally closed set in X , then there exists an open set L such that $K = L \cap Cl(K)$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} K &= L \cap Cl(K) \\ &\subset L \cap Cl(Int^*(Cl(K))) \\ &\subset L \cap Cl(K) = K \end{aligned}$$

and then $K = L \cap Cl(Int^*(Cl(K)))$. We take $M = Cl(Int^*(Cl(K)))$.

Then $Cl(Int^*(M)) = M$. Thus, K is an \mathcal{A}_I^* -set in X . \square

Theorem 2.11. Let (X, τ, I) be an ideal topological space. If every subset of (X, τ, I) is an \mathcal{A}_I^* -set, then (X, τ, I) is a discrete ideal topological space with respect to τ^* .

Proof. Suppose that every subset of (X, τ, I) is an \mathcal{A}_I^* -set. It follows from Theorem 22 that $\{x\}$ is semi*- I -open and locally closed for any $x \in X$. We have $\{x\} \subset Cl(Int^*(\{x\}))$. Thus, we have $Int^*(\{x\}) = \{x\}$. Hence, (X, τ, I) is a discrete ideal topological space with respect to τ^* . \square

3. Decompositions of \mathcal{A}_I^* -continuous functions

Definition 3.1. A function $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be

- (1) \mathcal{A}_I^* -continuous [5] if $f^{-1}(T)$ is an \mathcal{A}_I^* -set in X for each open set T in Y .
- (2) LC-continuous [7] if $f^{-1}(T)$ is a locally closed set in X for each open set T in Y .

Remark 3.1. For a function $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$, the following diagram holds. The reverses of these implications are not true in general as shown in the following example.

$$\begin{array}{c} \text{LC-continuous} \\ \uparrow \\ \text{continuous} \Rightarrow \mathcal{A}_I^*\text{-continuous} \end{array}$$

Example 3.1. Let $X = \{a, b, c, d\}$, $\tau = \{X, \emptyset, \{a\}, \{b, c\}, \{a, b, c\}\}$ and $I = \{\emptyset, \{a\}, \{d\}, \{a, d\}\}$. The function $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$, defined by $f(a) = a$, $f(b) = b$, $f(c) = b$, $f(d) = c$ is \mathcal{A}_I^* -continuous but it is not continuous. The function $g : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (X, \tau)$, defined by $g(a) = b$, $g(b) = c$, $g(c) = c$, $g(d) = a$ is LC-continuous but it is not \mathcal{A}_I^* -continuous.

Definition 3.2. A function $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$ is said to be

- (1) semi*- I -continuous [5] if $f^{-1}(T)$ is a semi*- I -open in X for each open set T in Y .
- (2) β_I^* -continuous if $f^{-1}(T)$ is a β_I^* -open set in X for each open set T in Y .

Theorem 3.1. The following properties are equivalent for a function $f : (X, \tau, I) \rightarrow (Y, \sigma)$:

- (1) f is \mathcal{A}_I^* -continuous,
- (2) f is semi*- I -continuous and LC-continuous,
- (3) f is β_I^* -continuous and LC-continuous.

Proof. It follows from Theorem 22. \square

References

- [1] N. Bourbaki, *General Topology*, Part I, Addison Wesley, Reading, Mass 1966.
- [2] J. Dontchev, M. Ganster and D. Rose, Ideal resolvability, *Topology and its Appl.* **93** (1999), 1–16.
- [3] E. Ekici and T. Noiri, \star -extremally disconnected ideal topological spaces, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **122** (2009), No. 1-2, 81–90.
- [4] E. Ekici and T. Noiri, \star -hyperconnected ideal topological spaces, *Analele Stiin. Ale Univ. A. I. Cuza Din Iasi-Serie Noua-Mat.*, in press.
- [5] E. Ekici, On \mathcal{A}_I^* -sets, \mathcal{C}_I -sets, \mathcal{C}_I^* -sets and decompositions of continuity in ideal topological spaces, (submitted).
- [6] E. Ekici, On \mathcal{AC}_I -sets, \mathcal{BC}_I -sets, β_I^* -open sets and decompositions of continuity in ideal topological spaces, (submitted).
- [7] M. Ganster and I.L. Reilly, Locally closed sets and LC-continuous functions, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **12** (1989), No. 3, 417–424.

- [8] E. Hatir, A. Keskin, and T. Noiri, A note on strong β - I -sets and strongly β - I -continuous functions, *Acta Math. Hungar.* **108** (2005), 87–94.
- [9] D. Janković and T.R. Hamlett, New topologies from old via ideals, *Amer. Math. Monthly* **97** (1990), 295–310.
- [10] K. Kuratowski, *Topology*, Vol. I, Academic Press, NewYork, 1966.
- [11] S. Yuksel, A. Acikgoz and T. Noiri, On δ - I -continuous functions, *Turk J. Math.* **29** (2005), 39–51.

(Erdal Ekici) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CANAKKALE ONSEKIZ MART UNIVERSITY, TERZIOGLU CAMPUS, 17020 CANAKKALE/TURKEY
E-mail address: eekici@comu.edu.tr