On some double lacunary strong Zweier convergent sequence spaces
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Abstract. In this paper we define three classes of new double sequence spaces. We give some relations related to these sequence spaces. We also introduce the concept of double lacunary statistical Zweier convergence and obtain some inclusion relations related to these new double sequence spaces.
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1. Introduction

Before we enter the motivation for this paper and the presentation of the main results we give some preliminaries.

By the convergence of a double a double sequence we mean the convergence on the Pringsheim sense [1] that is, a double sequence \( x = (x_{i,j}) \) has Pringsheim limit \( L \) (denoted by \( P\text{-lim}x=L \)) provided that given \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists \( N \in \mathbb{N} \) such that \( |x_{i,j} - L| < \varepsilon \) whenever \( i,j > N \). We shall describe such an \( x = (x_{i,j}) \) more briefly as "\( P\text{-convergent} \)." We shall denote the space of all \( P\text{-convergent} \) sequences by \( c_2 \).

By a bounded double sequence we shall mean there exists a positive integer \( K \) such that \( |x_{i,j}| < K \) for all \( (i, j) \) and denote such bounded by \( l_2 \).

Zweier sequence spaces for single sequences defined and studied by Şengönül [2], Esi and Sapsız [3], Khan et all [4−5].

Definition 1.1. [7] The double sequence \( \theta_{r,s} = \{(k_r, l_s)\} \) is called double lacunary sequence if there exist two increasing of integers sequences \( (k_r) \) and \( (l_s) \) such that

\[
k_0 = 0, \quad h_r = k_r - k_{r-1} \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad r \to \infty
\]

and

\[
l_0 = 0, \quad \tilde{h}_s = l_s - l_{s-1} \to \infty \quad \text{as} \quad s \to \infty.
\]

Notations: \( k_{r,s} = k_r l_s, h_{r,s} = h_r \tilde{h}_s, \) and \( \theta_{r,s} \) is determined by

\[
I_{r,s} = \{(k, l) : \ k_{r-1} < k \leq k_r \text{ and } l_{s-1} < l \leq l_s\},
\]

\[
q_r = \frac{k_r}{k_{r-1}}, \quad q_s = \frac{l_s}{l_{s-1}}, \quad \text{and} \quad q_{r,s} = q_r q_s.
\]

The space of double lacunary convergent sequence spaces \([N\theta_{r,s}], [N\theta_{r,s}] \) and \([N\theta_{r,s}]_\infty \) were defined by Savas in [2], as follows:
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\[ [N_{\theta;s}]_o = \left\{ x = (x_{i,j}) : P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{r,s}} |x_{i,j}| = 0 \right\}, \]

\[ [N_{\theta;s}] = \left\{ x = (x_{i,j}) : P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{r,s}} |x_{i,j} - L| = 0, \text{ for some } L \right\} \]

and

\[ [N_{\theta,s}]_\infty = \left\{ x = (x_{i,j}) : \sup_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{r,s}} |x_{i,j} - L| < \infty \right\}. \]

In [8], Savaş and Patterson defined the double sequence spaces \([W^2]\) as follows:

\[ [W^2] = \left\{ x = (x_{i,j}) : P - \lim_{m,n} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |x_{i,j} - L| = 0, \text{ for some } L \right\}. \]

The purpose of this paper is to introduce and study the concepts of double Zweier lacunary strongly convergence and double Zweier lacunary statistical convergence.

2. Double Zweier lacunary strongly convergence

Define the double sequence \( y = (y_{i,j}) \) which will be used throughout the paper, as \( Z \)-transform of a sequence \( x = (x_{i,j}) \), i.e.,

\[ y_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} (x_{i,j} + x_{i,j-1}); (i,j \in \mathbb{N}). \]  \hspace{1cm} (1.1)

We introduce the double Zweier sequence spaces \([W^2, Z], [N_{\theta,s}, Z]_o, [N_{\theta,s}, Z]\) and \([N_{\theta,s}, Z]_\infty\) as the set of all double sequences such that \( Z \)-transforms of them are in \([W^2], [N_{\theta,s}]_o, [N_{\theta,s}] \) and \([N_{\theta,s}]_\infty\) respectively, that is

\[ [W^2, Z] = \left\{ x = (x_{i,j}) : P - \lim_{m,n} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i,j=1,1}^{m,n} |y_{i,j} - L| = 0, \text{ for some } L \right\}, \]

\[ [N_{\theta,s}, Z]_o = \left\{ x = (x_{i,j}) : P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{r,s}} |y_{i,j}| = 0 \right\}, \]

\[ [N_{\theta,s}, Z] = \left\{ x = (x_{i,j}) : P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{r,s}} |y_{i,j} - L| = 0, \text{ for some } L \right\} \]

and

\[ [N_{\theta,s}, Z]_\infty = \left\{ x = (x_{i,j}) : \sup_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{r,s}} |y_{i,j}| < \infty \right\}. \]

**Theorem 2.1.** The double sets \([W^2, Z], [N_{\theta,s}, Z]_o, [N_{\theta,s}, Z] \) and \([N_{\theta,s}, Z]_\infty\) are linear spaces over the set of complex numbers.

**Proof.** The proof of the theorem is standard and so we omitted. \( \square \)
Theorem 2.2. The double Zweier sequence spaces \([W^2, Z]\), \([N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_o\), \([N_{\theta,r}, Z]\) and \([N_{\theta,s}, Z]_\infty\) are linearly isomorphic to the double sequence spaces \([W^2, Z]_o\), \([N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_o\) and \([N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_\infty\), respectively, i.e., \([W^2, Z] \approx [W^2, Z]_o\), \([N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_o \approx [N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_o\), \([N_{\theta,r}, Z] \approx [N_{\theta,r}, Z]_o\) and \([N_{\theta,s}, Z]_\infty \approx [N_{\theta,s}, Z]_\infty\).

Proof. We consider only \([N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_o\). We should show the existence of a linear bijection between the double sequence spaces \([N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_o\) and \([N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_o\). Consider the transformation \(Z\) define, with the notation of (1.1), from \([N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_o\) to \([N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_o\) by

\[
Z : [N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_o \rightarrow [N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_o
\]

\[
x \rightarrow Zx = y, \ y = (y_{i,j})
\]

and \(y_{i,j} = \frac{1}{2} (x_{i,j} + x_{i,j-1}); (i, j \in \mathbb{N})\). The linearity of \(Z\) is clear. Further, it is trivial that \(x = 0\) whenever \(Zx = 0\) and hence \(Z\) is injective. Let \(y = (y_{i,j}) \in [N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_o\) and define the sequence \(x = (x_{i,j})\) by

\[
x_{i,j} = 2 \sum_{k=0}^j (-1)^{j-k} y_{i,k} \quad (\forall i \in \mathbb{N})
\]

Then

\[
\|x\|_{[N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_o} = \sup_{r,s} \frac{1}{k_{r,s}} \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{r,s}} \left| \frac{1}{2} (x_{i,j} + x_{i,j-1}) \right|
\]

\[
= \sup_{r,s} \frac{1}{k_{r,s}} \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{r,s}} \left| \frac{1}{2} \left( 2 \sum_{k=0}^j (-1)^{j-k} y_{i,k} + 2 \sum_{k=0}^j (-1)^{j-1-k} y_{i,k} \right) \right|
\]

\[
= \sup_{r,s} \frac{1}{k_{r,s}} \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{r,s}} |y_{i,j}|
\]

which says us that \(x = (x_{i,j}) \in [N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_o\). Additionally, we observe that

\[
\|x\|_{[N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_\infty} = \|y\|_{[N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_\infty}.
\]

Thus, we have that the transform \(Z\) is surjective. Hence, \(Z\) is linear bijection which therefore says us the double sequence spaces \([N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_o\) and \([N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]_o\) are linearly isomorphic. The others can be proved similarly. This completes the proof. \(\square\)

Theorem 2.3. Let \(\theta_{r,s}\) be a double lacunary sequence. Then

(i) \([W^2, Z] \subset [N_{\theta,r,s}, Z]\) if \(\lim_{q_r} q_r > 1\) and \(\lim_{q_s} q_s > 1\);

(ii) \([N_{\theta,r,s}, Z] \subset [W^2, Z]\) if \(\lim_{q_r} q_r < \infty\) and \(\lim_{q_s} q_s < \infty\);

(iii) \([N_{\theta,r,s}, Z] = [W^2, Z]\) if \(1 < \lim_{q_r} q_r < \infty\) and \(1 < \lim_{q_s} q_s < \infty\).

Proof. (i). Suppose that \(\lim_{q_r} q_r > 1\) and \(\lim_{q_s} q_s > 1\). Then there exists \(\delta > 0\) such that \(q_r > 1 + \delta\) and \(q_s > 1 + \delta\). This implies \(\frac{k_r}{k_r} \geq \frac{4}{4 + \delta}\) and \(\frac{k_s}{k_s} \geq \frac{4}{4 + \delta}\). If \(x = (x_{i,j}) \in [W^2, Z]\) then we obtain the following:

\[
A_{r,s} = \frac{1}{k_{r,s}} \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{r,s}} |y_{i,j} - L| = \frac{k_r}{k_{r,s}} \sum_{i=1}^{l_r} \sum_{j=1}^{l_s} |y_{i,j} - L| \]

\[
- \frac{k_r}{k_{r,s}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r - 1} \sum_{j=1}^{l_s} |y_{i,j} - L| - \frac{k_s}{k_{r,s}} \sum_{j=1}^{l_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_s - 1} |y_{i,j} - L| - \frac{l_r}{k_{r,s}} \sum_{j=1}^{l_r} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r - 1} |y_{i,j} - L|
\]
$$\begin{align*}
&= k_r l_s \left( \frac{1}{k_r l_s} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} \sum_{j=1}^{l_s} |y_{i,j} - L| \right) - k_{r-1} l_{s-1} \left( \frac{1}{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{l_{s-1}} |y_{i,j} - L| \right) \\
&= \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{i=k_{r-1}+1}^{k_r} l_{s-1} \frac{1}{h_{s}} \sum_{j=1}^{l_{s-1}} |y_{i,j} - L| - \frac{1}{h_{s}} \sum_{j=l_{s-1}+1}^{l_s} k_{r-1} l_{s-1} \left( \frac{1}{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{l_{s-1}} |y_{i,j} - L| \right).
\end{align*}$$

Since \( x = (x_{i,j}) \in [W^2, Z] \) the last two terms tend to zero in the Pringsheim sense, thus

$$A_{r,s} = \frac{k_r l_s}{h_{r,s}} \left( \frac{1}{k_r l_s} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} \sum_{j=1}^{l_s} |y_{i,j} - L| \right) - k_{r-1} l_{s-1} \left( \frac{1}{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{l_{s-1}} |y_{i,j} - L| \right) + o(1).$$

Since \( h_{r,s} = k_r l_s - k_r l_{s-1} - k_{r-1} l_s + k_{r-1} l_{s-1} \) we are granted the following:

$$\frac{k_r l_s}{h_{r,s}} \leq \left( \frac{1 + \delta}{\delta} \right)^2 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}}{h_{r,s}} \leq \frac{1}{\delta}.$$

The terms

$$\frac{k_r l_s}{h_{r,s}} \left( \frac{1}{k_r l_s} \sum_{i=1}^{k_r} \sum_{j=1}^{l_s} |y_{i,j} - L| \right) \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}}{h_{r,s}} \left( \frac{1}{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{l_{s-1}} |y_{i,j} - L| \right)$$

are both Pringsheim null sequences. Thus \( A_{r,s} \) is a Pringsheim null sequence. Therefore \( x = (x_{i,j}) \in [N_{q_{r,s}}, Z] \).

(ii) Suppose that \( \lim \sup q_r < \infty \) and \( \lim \sup q_s < \infty \), then there exists \( K > 0 \) such that \( q_r \leq K, \frac{q_s}{q_r} \leq K \) for all \( r \) and \( s \). Let \( x = (x_{i,j}) \in [N_{q_{r,s}}, Z] \) and \( \varepsilon > 0 \). Also there exist \( r_o > 0 \) and \( s_o > 0 \) such that for every \( k \geq r_o \) and \( l \geq s_o \)

$$A_{k,l} = \frac{1}{h_{k,l}} \sum_{i,j \in I_{k,l}} |y_{i,j} - L| < \varepsilon.$$

Let \( M = \max \{ A_{k,l} : 1 \leq k \leq r_o \text{ and } 1 \leq l \leq s_o \} \) and \( p \) and \( q \) be such that

$$k_{r-1} < p \leq k_r \quad \text{and} \quad l_{s-1} < q \leq l_s.$$

Thus we obtain the following

$$\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{pq} \sum_{i,j=1}^{p,q} |y_{i,j} - L| &\leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}} \sum_{i=1}^{k_{r-1}} \sum_{j=1}^{l_{s-1}} |y_{i,j} - L| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}} \sum_{p,q=1}^{r_o,s_o} \left( \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{p,q}} |y_{i,j} - L| \right) \left( \sum_{(r_o \leq p \leq l_s) \cup (q_o \leq q \leq l_s)} h_{p,q} A_{p,q} \right) \sum_{(r_o \leq p \leq l_s) \cup (q_o \leq q \leq l_s)} h_{p,q} A_{p,q} \\
&\leq \frac{M}{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}} \sum_{p,q=1}^{r_o,s_o} h_{p,q} + \frac{1}{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}} \sum_{(r_o \leq p \leq l_s) \cup (q_o \leq q \leq l_s)} h_{p,q} A_{p,q} \sum_{(r_o \leq p \leq l_s) \cup (q_o \leq q \leq l_s)} h_{p,q} A_{p,q} \\
&\leq \frac{M k_r l_s r_o s_o}{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}} + \left( \sup_{(p \geq r_o), (q \geq s_o)} A_{p,q} \right) \left( \frac{1}{k_{r-1} l_{s-1}} \sum_{(r_o \leq p \leq l_s) \cup (q_o \leq q \leq l_s)} h_{p,q} \right).\end{align*}$$
\begin{align*}
\leq \frac{Mk_r l_s r_0 s_0}{k_r - 1 l_s - 1} + \varepsilon \frac{1}{k_r - 1 l_s - 1} \sum_{(r_p < p \leq q)(s_q < q \leq s)} h_{p,q} \leq \frac{Mk_r l_s r_0 s_0}{k_r - 1 l_s - 1} + \varepsilon K^2.
\end{align*}

Since \(k_r\) and \(l_s\) both approaches infinity as both \(r\) and \(s\) approaches infinity, it follows that

\[ P - \lim_{p,q} \frac{1}{pq} \sum_{i,j=1}^{p,q} |y_{i,j} - L| = 0. \]

Therefor \(x = (x_{i,j}) \in [W^2, Z]. \)

(iii) Combining (i) and (ii) we have the proof of (iii). \(\square\)

3. Double Zweier lacunary statistical convergence

The following definition was presented by Mursaleen and Edely in [9]:

**Definition 3.1.** [9] A real double sequence \(x = (x_{i,j})\) is said to be statistically convergent to \(L\), provided that for each \(\varepsilon > 0\)

\[ P - \lim_{m,n} \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i,j=1}^{m,n} |(i,j) : i \leq m \text{ and } j \leq n, |x_{i,j} - L| \geq \varepsilon| = 0. \]

where the vertical bars indicate the numbers of elements in the enclosed set.

Recently in [6], Savas defined double lacunary statistical convergence as follows:

**Definition 3.2.** [6] A real double sequence \(x = (x_{i,j})\) is said to be \(S_{r,s}\)-convergent to \(L\), provided that for each \(\varepsilon > 0\)

\[ P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{r,s}} |y_{i,j} - L| \geq \varepsilon\]

where \(y_{i,j}\) is the form in (1.1). We shall denote the set of all double Zweier lacunary statistical convergent double sequences \(x = (x_{i,j})\) by \([S_{\theta_{r,s}}, Z]\) and if \(x = (x_{i,j}) \in [S_{\theta_{r,s}}, Z]\), then we will write \(x_{i,j} \to L ([S_{\theta_{r,s}}, Z]). \)

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \(\theta_{r,s}\) be a double lacunary sequence. If \(x_{i,j} \to L ([N_{\theta_{r,s}}, Z])\), then \(x_{i,j} \to L ([S_{\theta_{r,s}}, Z]). \)

**Proof.** If \(\varepsilon > 0\) and \(x_{i,j} \to L ([N_{\theta_{r,s}}, Z])\) then we can write

\[ \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{r,s}} |y_{i,j} - L| \geq \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{r,s}} |x_{i,j} - L - L| \geq \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{r,s}} |y_{i,j} - L| \geq \varepsilon \]

It follows that \(x_{i,j} \to L ([S_{\theta_{r,s}}, Z]),\) that is \([N_{\theta_{r,s}}, Z] \subset [S_{\theta_{r,s}}, Z]\) and the inclusion is strict. To show this, we can establish an example as follows. \(\square\)
Example 3.1. Let $y_{i,j}$ be defined as follows:

$$y_{i,j} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & \ldots & \sqrt{h_{r,s}} & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ 2 & 2 & 3 & \ldots & \sqrt{h_{r,s}} & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ 2 & \sqrt{h_{r,s}} & \sqrt{h_{r,s}} & \ldots & \sqrt{h_{r,s}} & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots \end{pmatrix}$$

It is clear that $x = (x_{i,j})$ is an unbounded double sequence and

$$P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} |\{(i,j) \in I_{r,s} : |y_{i,j} - L| \geq \varepsilon\}| = P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{\sqrt{h_{r,s}}}{h_{r,s}} = 0.$$ 

Therefore $x_{i,j} \rightarrow 0 \left(\left[\mathcal{S}_{\theta_{r,s}}, Z\right]\right)$. But

$$P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{r,s}} |y_{i,j}| = P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{\sqrt{h_{r,s}} \left(\left\{\sqrt{h_{r,s}} \left(\sqrt{h_{r,s}} + 1\right)\right\}\right)}{2h_{r,s}} = \frac{1}{2}.$$ 

Therefore $x_{i,j} \rightarrow 0 \left(\left[\mathcal{N}_{\theta_{r,s}}, Z\right]\right)$. This completes the proof.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\theta_{r,s}$ be a double lacunary sequence. If $x = (x_{i,j}) \in l^2_{\infty}$ and $x_{i,j} \rightarrow L \left(\left[\mathcal{S}_{\theta_{r,s}}, Z\right]\right)$ then $x_{i,j} \rightarrow L \left(\left[\mathcal{N}_{\theta_{r,s}}, Z\right]\right)$.

Proof. Suppose that $x = (x_{i,j}) \in l^2_{\infty}$, then there exists a positive integer $K$ such that

$$|y_{i,j} - L| < K \text{ for all } i, j \in \mathbb{N}.$$ 

Therefore we have, for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$P - \lim_{r,s} \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{r,s}} |y_{i,j} - L| = \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{r,s}, |y_{i,j} - L| \geq \varepsilon} |y_{i,j} - L| + \frac{1}{h_{r,s}} \sum_{(i,j) \in I_{r,s}, |y_{i,j} - L| < \varepsilon} |y_{i,j} - L| \leq \frac{K}{h_{r,s}} |\{(i,j) \in I_{r,s} : |y_{i,j} - L| < \varepsilon\}| + \varepsilon.$$

Therefore $x = (x_{i,j}) \in l^2_{\infty}$ and $x_{i,j} \rightarrow L \left(\left[\mathcal{S}_{\theta_{r,s}}, Z\right]\right)$ implies $x_{i,j} \rightarrow L \left(\left[\mathcal{N}_{\theta_{r,s}}, Z\right]\right).$ 

Corollary 3.3. Let $\theta_{r,s}$ be a double lacunary sequence, then

$$\left[\mathcal{N}_{\theta_{r,s}}, Z\right] \cap l^2_{\infty} = \left[\mathcal{S}_{\theta_{r,s}}, Z\right] \cap l^2_{\infty}.$$ 

Proof. It follows directly from Theorem 3.1. and Theorem 3.2. 
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