

Co–Stone residuated lattices

CLAUDIA MUREȘAN

ABSTRACT. In this paper we present some applications of the reticulation of a residuated lattice, in the form of a transfer of properties between the category of bounded distributive lattices and that of residuated lattices through the reticulation functor. The results we are presenting are related to co–Stone algebras; among other applications, we transfer a known characterization of m –co–Stone bounded distributive lattices to residuated lattices and we prove that the reticulation functor for residuated lattices preserves a certain construction, that we conjecture to be the strongly co–Stone hull.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 03G10, Secondary 06F35.

Key words and phrases. Residuated lattice, reticulation, Stone algebras, strongly Stone hull.

1. Introduction

In [21] we gave an axiomatic purely algebraic definition for the reticulation of a residuated lattice, which turned out to be very useful in practice. In this work we present several applications of the reticulation, related to co–Stone algebras, applications in the form of transfers of properties between the category of bounded distributive lattices and the category of residuated lattices through the reticulation functor.

We have introduced the co–Stone structures as duals of Stone structures. In Section 2 we introduce their definition and we recall other definitions and results that the reader might find necessary for understanding the results in the next sections.

Sections 3 and 4 are entirely made of original results.

In Section 3 we prove the fact that a residuated lattice is co–Stone iff its reticulation is co–Stone and the same is valid for strongly co–Stone structures, then we obtain a structure theorem for m –co–Stone residuated lattices, by transferring through the reticulation a known characterization of m –co–Stone bounded distributive lattices to residuated lattices. This is the first major example of a result that can be transferred through the reticulation functor from the category of bounded distributive lattices to the category of residuated lattices. It also permits us to state that a residuated lattice is m –co–Stone iff its reticulation is m –co–Stone. We then bring an argument for our choice of the definition of the co–Stone structures over another definition for them that can be found in mathematical literature, for instance in [6]: the fact that the notion with our definition is transferrable through the reticulation (while the alternate one is not and does not coincide with ours).

In Section 4, we give a construction that we conjecture to be the strongly co–Stone hull of a residuated lattice, show that this construction is preserved by the reticulation functor and exemplify its calculation for a finite residuated lattice.

Received June 21, 2011; Revised June 28, 2013.

Dedicated to the memory of my dear grandmother, Elena Mircea.

In future papers we will continue our research on the transfer of properties between the category of bounded distributive lattices and that of residuated lattices through the reticulation functor. This transfer of properties between different categories is the very purpose of the reticulation.


2. Preliminaries

We make the usual convention: throughout this paper, every algebraic structure will be designated by its support set, whenever it is clear which algebraic structure on that set we are referring to.

Definition 2.1. A *residuated lattice* is an algebraic structure $(A, \vee, \wedge, \odot, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$, where $\vee, \wedge, \odot, \rightarrow$ are binary operations on A and $0, 1 \in A$, such that $(A, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ is a bounded lattice, whose partial order will be denoted \leq , $(A, \odot, 1)$ is a commutative monoid and the following property, called *the law of residuation*, is satisfied: for all $a, b, c \in A$, $a \leq b \rightarrow c$ iff $a \odot b \leq c$.

Here are some examples of residuated lattices that we will use in the sequel, for illustrating various properties and various classes of residuated lattices. I have chosen some examples from previous works by other authors, and one example of my own (Example 2.2).


Example 2.1. [14, Section 11.1], [16] $A = \{0, a, b, c, 1\}$, with the bounded lattice structure given by the Hasse diagram below and the operations that succeed it, is a residuated lattice.



| \rightarrow | 0 | a | b | c | 1 |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| a | b | 1 | b | 1 | 1 |
| b | a | a | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| c | 0 | a | b | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | a | b | c | 1 |

and $\odot = \wedge$.


Example 2.2. $A = \{0, a, b, c, 1\}$, with the lattice structure and the operations presented below, is a residuated lattice:



| \rightarrow | 0 | a | b | c | 1 |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| a | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| b | 0 | c | 1 | c | 1 |
| c | 0 | b | b | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | a | b | c | 1 |


and $\odot = \wedge$.

Example 2.3. [14], [16] $A = \{0, a, b, c, d, 1\}$, with the structure described below, is a residuated lattice.




| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|---------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| \rightarrow | 0 | a | b | c | d | 1 | \odot | 0 | a | b | c | d | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a | d | 1 | d | 1 | d | 1 | a | 0 | a | 0 | a | 0 | a |
| b | c | c | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | b | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | b | b |
| c | b | c | d | 1 | d | 1 | c | 0 | a | 0 | a | b | c |
| d | a | a | c | c | 1 | 1 | d | 0 | 0 | b | b | d | d |
| 1 | 0 | a | b | c | d | 1 | 1 | 0 | a | b | c | d | 1 |

Example 2.4. [14], [16] $A = \{0, a, b, c, d, e, f, g, 1\}$, with the following structure, is a residuated lattice:




| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|---|---------|---|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| \rightarrow | 0 | a | b | c | d | e | f | g | 1 | \odot | 0 | a | b | c | d | e | f | g | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a | g | 1 | 1 | g | 1 | 1 | g | 1 | 1 | a | 0 | 0 | a | 0 | 0 | a | 0 | 0 | a |
| b | f | g | 1 | f | g | 1 | f | g | 1 | b | 0 | a | b | 0 | a | b | 0 | a | b |
| c | e | e | e | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | c | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | c | c | c |
| d | d | e | e | g | 1 | 1 | g | 1 | 1 | d | 0 | 0 | a | 0 | 0 | a | c | c | d |
| e | c | d | e | f | g | 1 | f | g | 1 | e | 0 | a | b | 0 | a | b | c | d | e |
| f | b | b | b | e | e | e | 1 | 1 | 1 | f | 0 | 0 | 0 | c | c | c | f | f | f |
| g | a | b | b | d | e | e | g | 1 | 1 | g | 0 | 0 | a | c | c | d | f | f | g |
| 1 | 0 | a | b | c | d | e | f | g | 1 | 1 | 0 | a | b | c | d | e | f | g | 1 |

Example 2.5. [15, Section 15.2.1], [16] Let $A = \{0, n, a, b, i, f, g, h, j, c, d, 1\}$, described below. A is a residuated lattice.



| \rightarrow | 0 | n | a | b | i | f | g | h | j | c | d | 1 | \odot | 0 | n | a | b | i | f | g | h | j | c | d | 1 | |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| n | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | n | 0 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n |
| a | 0 | d | 1 | d | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | a | 0 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | a | n | a | a |
| b | 0 | c | c | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | b | 0 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | b | b | b |
| i | 0 | j | c | d | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | i | 0 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | a | b | i | i |
| f | 0 | h | h | h | h | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | f | 0 | n | n | n | n | n | n | n | f | f | f | f | f |
| g | 0 | g | g | g | g | h | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | g | 0 | n | n | n | n | n | n | f | g | g | g | g | g |
| h | 0 | f | f | f | f | h | h | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | h | 0 | n | n | n | n | n | f | f | h | h | h | h | h |
| j | 0 | i | i | i | i | f | g | h | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | j | 0 | n | n | n | n | f | g | h | j | j | j | j | j |
| c | 0 | b | i | b | i | f | g | h | d | 1 | d | 1 | c | 0 | n | a | n | a | f | g | h | j | c | j | c | c |
| d | 0 | a | a | i | i | f | g | h | c | c | 1 | 1 | d | 0 | n | n | b | b | f | g | h | j | j | d | d | d |
| 1 | 0 | n | a | b | i | f | g | h | j | c | d | 1 | 1 | 0 | n | a | b | i | f | g | h | j | c | d | 1 | 1 |

Example 2.6. [17] $A = \{0, a, b, c, d, 1\}$, with the following Hasse diagram and operations \odot and \wedge , is a residuated lattice.



| \rightarrow | 0 | a | b | c | d | 1 | \odot | 0 | a | b | c | d | 1 |
|---------------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---------|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| a | 0 | 1 | b | c | c | 1 | a | 0 | a | b | d | d | a |
| b | c | a | 1 | c | c | 1 | b | c | b | b | 0 | 0 | b |
| c | b | a | b | 1 | a | 1 | c | b | d | 0 | d | d | c |
| d | b | a | b | a | 1 | 1 | d | b | d | 0 | d | d | d |
| 1 | 0 | a | b | c | d | 1 | 1 | 0 | a | b | c | d | 1 |

Remark 2.1. The lattice reduct of the residuated lattice in the previous example has the pentagon as a sublattice, thus it is not distributive. This shows that the lattice reduct of a residuated lattice is not necessarily distributive.

It is easily seen that, for any residuated lattice A and any $a, b \in A$, $a \rightarrow b = \sup\{x \in A \mid a \odot x \leq b\} = \max\{x \in A \mid a \odot x \leq b\}$ (see also [10], [13], [16], [17], [24]).

For any residuated lattice A and any $a, b \in A$, we denote $a \leftrightarrow b = (a \rightarrow b) \wedge (b \rightarrow a)$ and $\neg a = a \rightarrow 0$.

Let A be a residuated lattice, $a \in A$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, arbitrary. We shall denote by a^n the following element of A : $\underbrace{a \odot \dots \odot a}_{n \text{ of } a}$. We also denote $a^0 = 1$.

Lemma 2.2. [10], [13], [17], [24], [26] *Let A be a residuated lattice and $a, b, c \in A$. Then:*

- (i) $a \odot b \leq a \wedge b$ and, if $a \vee b = 1$, then $a \odot b = a \wedge b$;
- (ii) $(a \vee b) \odot (a \vee c) \leq a \vee (b \odot c)$, hence $(a \vee c) \odot (b \vee c) \leq (a \odot b) \vee c$ and, for any $n, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $(a \vee b)^{nk} \leq a^n \vee b^k$;
- (iii) $a \leq b$ iff $a \rightarrow b = 1$, and $a = b$ iff $a \leftrightarrow b = 1$.

Definition 2.2. Let L be a distributive lattice with 0. An element l of L is said to be *pseudocomplemented* iff there exists a greatest element m of L which satisfies: $l \wedge m = 0$; such an element m is denoted l^* and called the *pseudocomplement* of l . L is said to be *pseudocomplemented* iff all its elements are pseudocomplemented.

The notation in the previous definition is correct, because, for l a pseudocomplemented element of a distributive lattice L with 0, $l^* = \max\{m \in L \mid l \wedge m = 0\}$, thus $l^* \in L$ is uniquely determined by l .

Remark 2.3. Let L be a bounded distributive lattice and l be a complemented element of L , with \bar{l} its complement. Then l is pseudocomplemented and $l^* = \bar{l}$. This is immediately shown by the definitions of l^* and \bar{l} and by the fact that they are uniquely determined by l .

Definition 2.3. Let L be a lattice. A nonempty subset F of L is called a *filter* of L iff it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) for all $l, m \in F$, $l \wedge m \in F$;
- (ii) for all $l \in F$ and all $m \in L$, if $l \leq m$ then $m \in F$.

The set of all filters of L is denoted $\mathcal{F}(L)$.

A filter F of L is said to be *proper* iff $F \neq L$.

A proper filter P of L is called a *prime filter* iff, for all $l, m \in L$, if $l \vee m \in P$, then $l \in P$ or $m \in P$. The set of all prime filters of L is called *the (prime) spectrum* of L .

Definition 2.4. Let A be a residuated lattice. A nonempty subset F of A is called a *filter* of A iff it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) for all $a, b \in F$, $a \odot b \in F$;
- (ii) for all $a \in F$ and all $b \in A$, if $a \leq b$ then $b \in F$.

The set of all filters of A is denoted $\mathcal{F}(A)$.

A filter F of A is said to be *proper* iff $F \neq A$.

A proper filter P of A is called a *prime filter* iff, for all $a, b \in A$, if $a \vee b \in P$, then $a \in P$ or $b \in P$. The set of all prime filters of A is called *the (prime) spectrum* of A .

Obviously, any filter of a lattice with 1 or a residuated lattice contains the element 1.

Remark 2.4. [21] Let A be a residuated lattice, F a filter of A and $a, b \in A$. Then: $a \odot b \in F$ iff $a \wedge b \in F$ iff $a, b \in F$.

Proof. By Definition 2.4. □

Corollary 2.5. *Any filter of a residuated lattice is a filter of its lattice reduct. The converse is not true (see, for instance, [26]).*

For all elements x and all subsets X of a lattice or residuated lattice A , we denote by $\langle x \rangle$ the principal filter of A generated by x and by $\langle X \rangle$ the filter of A generated by X .

The above and other notions related to residuated lattices share their denominations and/or notations with notions related to lattices. Throughout this paper, each of these notions will refer to the richest algebraic structure the set of the elements is endowed with, unless mentioned otherwise. Thus, whenever a set is endowed with a residuated lattice structure, those notions will refer to that residuated lattice, unless otherwise specified.

Lemma 2.6. [21] *Let A be a residuated lattice and $a \in A$. Then $\langle a \rangle = \{b \in A \mid (\exists n \in \mathbb{N}^*) a^n \leq b\}$.*

It is known that, in any lattice L , for every $l \in L$, $\langle l \rangle = \{m \in L \mid l \leq m\}$.

Example 2.7. If A is the residuated lattice in Example 2.3, then $\langle b \rangle = A$, while, in the lattice reduct of A , $\langle b \rangle = \{b, c, d, 1\}$.

Definition 2.5. Let L be a lattice and F a filter of L . For all $l, m \in L$, we denote $l \equiv m \pmod{F}$ and say that l and m are congruent modulo F iff there exists an element $e \in F$ such that $l \wedge e = m \wedge e$. It is known and easy to check that $\equiv \pmod{F}$ is a congruence relation on L . The quotient lattice with respect to the congruence relation $\equiv \pmod{F}$ is denoted L/F and its elements are denoted l/F , $l \in L$.

Definition 2.6. Let A be a residuated lattice and F a filter of A . For all $a, b \in A$, we denote $a \equiv b \pmod{F}$ and say that a and b are congruent modulo F iff $a \leftrightarrow b \in F$. It is known and easy to check that $\equiv \pmod{F}$ is a congruence relation on A . Residuated lattices form an equational class, which ensures us that the quotient set with respect to the congruence relation $\equiv \pmod{F}$ is a residuated lattice. It is denoted A/F and its elements are denoted a/F , $a \in A$.

Lemma 2.7. [13] *Let F be a filter of A and $a, b \in A$. Then:*

- (i) $a/F = 1/F$ iff $a \in F$;
- (ii) $a/F \leq b/F$ iff $a \rightarrow b \in F$; consequently, if $a \leq b$ then $a/F \leq b/F$.

Notation 2.7. Let A be a lattice (residuated lattice). For all filters F, G of A , we denote $\langle F \cup G \rangle$ by $F \vee G$. More generally, for any family $\{F_t \mid t \in T\}$ of filters of A , we denote $\langle \bigcup_{t \in T} F_t \rangle$ by $\bigvee_{t \in T} F_t$.

Proposition 2.8. [7] *Let A be a lattice (residuated lattice). Then $(\mathcal{F}(A), \vee, \cap, \{1\}, A)$ is a complete distributive lattice, whose order relation is \subseteq .*

If A is a bounded lattice or a residuated lattice, then the set of the complemented elements of A is called the *Boolean center* of A and is denoted by $B(A)$. It is known that, for A a bounded distributive lattice or a residuated lattice, $B(A)$ is a Boolean algebra with the operations induced by those of A ([1], [16], [17], [24]).

Lemma 2.9. [5],[10],[18],[12] *Let A be a residuated lattice. Then, for every $e, f \in B(A)$ and $a \in A$, we have:*

- (i) $e \odot e = e$;
- (ii) $a \in B(A)$ iff $a \vee \neg a = 1$;

- (iii) $\neg e \rightarrow a = e \vee a$;
 (iv) $e \odot f = e \wedge f \in B(A)$, $e \rightarrow f = \neg e \vee f \in B(A)$ and $e \leftrightarrow f = (e \rightarrow f) \wedge (f \rightarrow e) \in B(A)$.

Proposition 2.10. [7] *Let A be a distributive lattice or a residuated lattice. Then, for all $a, b \in A$: $\langle a \rangle \cap \langle b \rangle = \langle a \vee b \rangle$.*

Definition 2.8. Let A be a bounded distributive lattice or a residuated lattice; the definitions we are about to give are valid for both types of structures. For any nonempty subset X of A , the *co-annihilator* of X is the set $X^\top = \{a \in A \mid (\forall x \in X)a \vee x = 1\}$. In the case when X consists of a single element x , we denote the co-annihilator of X by x^\top and call it the *co-annihilator* of x . Also, we will denote $X^{\top\top} = (X^\top)^\top$ and $x^{\top\top} = (x^\top)^\top$.

Remark 2.11. Notice that, for all bounded distributive lattices or residuated lattices A and for all nonempty subsets X, Y of A , if $X \subseteq Y$ then $Y^\top \subseteq X^\top$.

Proposition 2.12. *Let A be a bounded distributive lattice or a residuated lattice. Then, for any $X \subseteq A$, X^\top is a filter of A .*

Proof. By Lemma 2.2, (ii), for A a residuated lattice and by the distributivity for A a bounded distributive lattice. \square

Definition 2.9. Let A be a bounded distributive lattice or a residuated lattice. Then A is said to be *co-Stone* (respectively *strongly co-Stone*) iff, for all $x \in A$ (respectively all $X \subseteq A$), there exists an element $e \in B(A)$ such that $x^\top = \langle e \rangle$ (respectively $X^\top = \langle e \rangle$).

Remark 2.13. Any complete co-Stone lattice (residuated lattice) is strongly co-Stone, as shown by Proposition 2.10 and the fact that, with the notations in the previous definition, $X^\top = \bigcap_{x \in X} x^\top$.

In [6], the author defines a Stone residuated lattice to be a residuated lattice A that satisfies the equation: $\neg a \vee \neg \neg a = 1$ for all $a \in A$. We have preferred our definition of co-Stone residuated lattices over the dual of the definition in [6] for a reason that is explained by Remark 3.14.

Notation 2.10. For any bounded distributive lattice or residuated lattice A , we shall denote $\text{CoAnn}(A) = \{X^\top \mid X \subseteq A\}$ and, for all $F, G \in \text{CoAnn}(A)$, we shall denote $F \vee^\top G = (F^\top \cap G^\top)^\top$. More generally, for all $\{F_t \mid t \in T\} \subseteq \text{CoAnn}(A)$, we denote

$$\bigvee_{t \in T}^\top F_t = \left(\bigcap_{t \in T} F_t^\top \right)^\top.$$

Proposition 2.14. *Let A be a bounded distributive lattice or a residuated lattice. Then $(\text{CoAnn}(A), \vee^\top, \cap, \top, \{1\}, A)$ is a complete Boolean algebra.*

Proof. This result can be found in [20] for BL-algebras. Its proof is also valid for bounded distributive lattices and residuated lattices. \square

For any set X , we denote by $|X|$ the cardinality of X .

Definition 2.11. Let m be an infinite cardinal. An m -complete lattice is a lattice L with the property that any subset X of L with $|X| \leq m$ has an infimum and a supremum in L .

Theorem 2.15. *Let L be a bounded distributive lattice and m an infinite cardinal. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) *for each nonempty subset X of L with $|X| \leq m$, there exists an element $e \in B(L)$ such that $X^\top = \langle e \rangle$;*
- (ii) *L is a co-Stone lattice and $B(L)$ is an m -complete Boolean algebra;*
- (iii) *$L_{\top\top} = \{l^{\top\top} \mid l \in L\}$ is an m -complete Boolean sublattice of $\mathcal{F}(L)$;*
- (iv) *for all $l, p \in L$, $(l \vee p)^\top = l^\top \vee p^\top$ and, for each nonempty subset X of L with $|X| \leq m$, there exists an element $x \in L$ such that $X^{\top\top} = x^\top$;*
- (v) *for each nonempty subset X of L with $|X| \leq m$, $X^\top \vee X^{\top\top} = L$.*

Proof. By duality, from [8, Theorem 1]. \square

A bounded distributive lattice will be called an m -co-Stone lattice iff the conditions of Theorem 2.15 hold for it.

Definition 2.12. Let A be a bounded lattice or a residuated lattice and B a subalgebra of A . We say that B is *co-dense* in A iff, for all $a \in A \setminus \{1\}$, there exists $b \in B$ such that $a \leq b < 1$ (that is $a \leq b \leq 1$ and $b \neq 1$).

We denote by \mathcal{RL} the category of residuated lattices and by $\mathcal{D01}$ the category of bounded distributive lattices.

For the definitions related to the inductive limit, that we present below, we are using the terminology of [4].

A partially ordered set (I, \leq) is called an *up-directed set*, or, simply, a *directed set* iff, for any $i, j \in I$, there exists an element $k \in I$ such that $i \leq k$ and $j \leq k$.

Definition 2.13. Let (I, \leq) be a directed set and \mathcal{C} a category. By an *inductive system* of objects in \mathcal{C} with respect to the directed index set I we mean a pair $((A_i)_{i \in I}, (\phi_{ij})_{i, j \in I, i \leq j})$ with $(A_i)_{i \in I}$ a family of objects of \mathcal{C} and, for all $i, j \in I$ with $i \leq j$, $\phi_{ij} : A_i \rightarrow A_j$ a morphism in \mathcal{C} , such that:

- (i) for every $i \in I$, $\phi_{ii} = id_{A_i}$ (the identity function of A_i);
- (ii) for any $i, j, k \in I$ with $i \leq j \leq k$, $\phi_{jk} \circ \phi_{ij} = \phi_{ik}$.

Whenever there is no danger of confusion, an inductive system as above will be denoted (A_i, ϕ_{ij}) .

Definition 2.14. Let (A_i, ϕ_{ij}) be an inductive system of objects in a category \mathcal{C} relative to a directed index set I . A pair $(A, (\phi_i)_{i \in I})$, with A an object in \mathcal{C} and, for all $i \in I$, $\phi_i : A_i \rightarrow A$ a morphism in \mathcal{C} , is called *inductive limit* of the inductive system (A_i, ϕ_{ij}) iff:

- (i) for every $i, j \in I$ with $i \leq j$, $\phi_j \circ \phi_{ij} = \phi_i$;

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i & \xrightarrow{\phi_{ij}} & A_j \\ & \searrow \phi_i & \downarrow \phi_j \\ & & A \end{array}$$

- (ii) for any object B of \mathcal{C} and any family $(f_i)_{i \in I}$ of morphisms in \mathcal{C} such that, for all $i \in I$, $f_i : A_i \rightarrow B$ and, for all $i, j \in I$ with $i \leq j$, $f_j \circ \phi_{ij} = f_i$, there is a unique morphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ in \mathcal{C} such that, for every $i \in I$, $f \circ \phi_i = f_i$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A_i & \xrightarrow{\phi_i} & A \\ & \searrow f_i & \downarrow f \\ & & B \end{array}$$

It is immediate that the inductive limit of a given inductive system is unique up to an isomorphism in \mathcal{C} , that is, if $(A, (\phi_i)_{i \in I})$ and $(B, (\psi_i)_{i \in I})$ are two inductive limits of the same inductive system, then there exists a unique isomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ such that, for every $i \in I$, $f \circ \phi_i = \psi_i$.

The next lemma is known and easy to prove.

Lemma 2.16. *Let $((A_i)_{i \in I}, (\phi_{ij})_{\substack{i, j \in I \\ i \leq j}})$ and $((B_i)_{i \in I}, (\psi_{ij})_{\substack{i, j \in I \\ i \leq j}})$ be two inductive systems in the same category, with inductive limits $(A, (\phi_i)_{i \in I})$ and $(B, (\psi_i)_{i \in I})$, respectively. If, for every $i \in I$, there exists an isomorphism $f_i : A_i \rightarrow B_i$ such that, for all $i, j \in I$ with $i \leq j$, $\psi_{ij} \circ f_i = f_j \circ \phi_{ij}$, then there exists an isomorphism $f : A \rightarrow B$ such that, for all $i \in I$, $f \circ \phi_i = \psi_i \circ f_i$.*

We say that a category \mathcal{C} is a *category with inductive limits* iff every inductive system in \mathcal{C} has an inductive limit. The category of sets, the category of residuated lattices and the category of bounded distributive lattices are categories with inductive limits. Indeed, [4, Example 4.7.2] contains the construction of the inductive limits in any equational class of algebras.

In the following, we shall present a construction for the inductive limit in the category of residuated lattices. As we believe that this construction is known, we shall not give any proofs here. See also [4].

Let (A_i, ϕ_{ij}) be an inductive system in \mathcal{RL} . We denote by $\coprod_{i \in I} A_i$ the disjoint union of the family $(A_i)_{i \in I}$. Let us consider the following relation on $\coprod_{i \in I} A_i$: for all $i, j \in I$, all $a \in A_i$ and all $b \in A_j$, $a \sim b$ iff there exists $k \in I$ such that $i \leq k$, $j \leq k$ and $\phi_{ik}(a) = \phi_{jk}(b)$. It is immediate that \sim is an equivalence relation on $\coprod_{i \in I} A_i$. We denote

by A the quotient set $\left(\coprod_{i \in I} A_i \right) / \sim$ and by $[a]$ the equivalence class of an element $a \in \coprod_{i \in I} A_i$. For any $i \in I$, let $\phi_i : A_i \rightarrow A$, for all $a \in A_i$, $\phi_i(a) = [a]$.

Let us define residuated lattice operations on A . We define $0 = [0]$ and $1 = [1]$. Obviously, this definition does not depend on the residuated lattice A_i the 0 and the 1 are taken from. Let $[a], [b] \in A$. Let $i, j \in I$ such that $a \in A_i$ and $b \in A_j$. Then, by the definition of the directed set, there exists $k \in I$ such that $i \leq k$ and $j \leq k$. We define $[a] \vee [b] = [\phi_{ik}(a) \vee \phi_{jk}(b)]$ and $[a] \wedge [b] = [\phi_{ik}(a) \wedge \phi_{jk}(b)]$. The same for \odot and \rightarrow . Here is the definition of the partial order relation: for all $[a], [b] \in A$ with $a \in A_i$ and $b \in A_j$ for some $i, j \in I$, we define: $[a] \leq [b]$ iff there exists $k \in I$ such that $i \leq k$, $j \leq k$ and $\phi_{ik}(a) \leq \phi_{jk}(b)$.

Then $(A, (\phi_i)_{i \in I})$ is an inductive limit of the inductive system (A_i, ϕ_{ij}) in the category \mathcal{RL} .

A similar construction can be done for inductive limits in the category $\mathcal{D01}$.

Now let $P(B)$ be the set of the finite partitions of a Boolean algebra B , that is $P(B) = \{\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}^*, x_1, \dots, x_n \in B \setminus \{0\}, \bigvee_{i=1}^n x_i = 1, (\forall i, j \in \overline{1, n}) i \neq j \Rightarrow x_i \wedge x_j = 0\}$. We define the partial order \leq on $P(B)$ by: for all $p, q \in P(B)$, $p \leq q$ iff q is a refinement of p , that is: $p = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $q = \{y_{ij} \mid i \in \overline{1, n}, (\forall i \in \overline{1, n}) j \in \overline{1, k_i}\}$, where $n, k_1, \dots, k_n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and, for all $i \in \overline{1, n}$, $\bigvee_{j=1}^{k_i} y_{ij} = x_i$. For all $p, q \in P(B)$ with $p \leq q$, we

define $k_{pq} : q \rightarrow p$, for all $a \in q$ and $b \in p$, $k_{pq}(a) = b$ iff $a \leq b$ (it is easily seen that, for every $a \in q$, there exists a unique $b \in p$ such that $a \leq b$; namely, with the notations above for the elements of p and those of q , for all $i \in \overline{1, n}$ and all $j \in \overline{1, k_i}$, $k_{pq}(y_{ij}) = x_i$). The fact that the functions k_{pq} are well defined is obvious (if, for an $a \in q$, there exist $b_1, b_2 \in p$, $b_1 \neq b_2$ and $a \leq b_1$, $a \leq b_2$, then $a \leq b_1 \wedge b_2 = 0$, so $a = 0$, which is a contradiction to the definition of $P(B)$).

Let us now turn our attention to the reticulation of a residuated lattice. The reticulation of an algebra was first defined by Simmons ([25]) for commutative rings and then by Belluce for MV-algebras ([2]). Later, it was extended by Belluce to non-commutative rings ([3]) and then it was defined for quantales ([11]) and for BL-algebras ([19], [20]). In each of the papers cited above, although it is not explicitly defined this way, the reticulation of an algebra A is a pair $(L(A), \lambda)$ consisting of a bounded distributive lattice $L(A)$ and a surjection $\lambda : A \rightarrow L(A)$ such that the function given by the inverse image of λ induces (by restriction) a homeomorphism of topological spaces between the prime spectrum of $L(A)$ and that of A . This construction allows many properties to be transferred between $L(A)$ and A , and this transfer of properties between the category of bounded distributive lattices and another category (in our case that of residuated lattices) is the very purpose of the reticulation.

Here is the definition that we gave in [21] for the reticulation of a residuated lattice.

Definition 2.15. [21] Let A be a residuated lattice. A *reticulation of A* is a pair (L, λ) , where L is a bounded distributive lattice and $\lambda : A \rightarrow L$ is a function that satisfies conditions (1)–(5) below:

- (1) for all $a, b \in A$, $\lambda(a \odot b) = \lambda(a) \wedge \lambda(b)$;
- (2) for all $a, b \in A$, $\lambda(a \vee b) = \lambda(a) \vee \lambda(b)$;
- (3) $\lambda(0) = 0$; $\lambda(1) = 1$;
- (4) λ is surjective;
- (5) for all $a, b \in A$, $\lambda(a) \leq \lambda(b)$ iff $(\exists n \in \mathbb{N}^*) a^n \leq b$.

In [21] and [22] we proved that this definition is in accordance with the general notion of reticulation applied to residuated lattices, more precisely that, given a residuated lattice A and a pair (L, λ) consisting of a bounded distributive lattice L and a function $\lambda : A \rightarrow L$, we have: if λ satisfies conditions (1)–(5) above, then its inverse image induces (by restriction) a homeomorphism between the prime spectrum of L and that of A (regarded as topological spaces with the Stone topologies); and conversely: if the function given by the inverse image of λ takes prime filters of L to prime filters of A and its restriction to the prime spectrum of L is a homeomorphism between the prime spectrum of L and that of A (with the Stone topologies), then λ satisfies conditions (1)–(5) from the definition above.

Lemma 2.17. [21] *With the notations in Definition 2.15, a function λ that fulfills conditions (1)–(3) also satisfies:*

- (a) λ is order-preserving;
- (b) for all $a, b \in A$, $\lambda(a \wedge b) = \lambda(a) \wedge \lambda(b)$;
- (c) for all $a \in A$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\lambda(a^n) = \lambda(a)$.

We shall keep the notations of the conditions (1)–(5) and of the properties (a)–(c) throughout the rest of this paper.

The following theorem states the existence and uniqueness of the reticulation for any residuated lattice.

Theorem 2.18. [21] *Let A be a residuated lattice. Then there exists a reticulation of A . Let $(L_1, \lambda_1), (L_2, \lambda_2)$ be two reticulations of A . Then there exists an isomorphism of bounded lattices $f : L_1 \rightarrow L_2$ such that $f \circ \lambda_1 = \lambda_2$.*

Until mentioned otherwise, let A be a residuated lattice and (L, λ) its reticulation.

Lemma 2.19. [21] *For any filter F of A , $\lambda(F)$ is a filter of L .*

Lemma 2.20. [21] *For any filters F, G of A , we have: $\lambda(F) = \lambda(G)$ iff $F = G$.*

Remark 2.21. [21] *For all $a \in A$, $\lambda(\langle a \rangle) = \langle \lambda(a) \rangle$.*

For any filter F of A , let us denote $\mu(F) = \{\lambda(a) \mid a \in F\} = \lambda(F)$. By Lemma 2.19, we have defined a function $\mu : \mathcal{F}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(L)$.

Proposition 2.22. [21] *The function $\mu : \mathcal{F}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(L)$ defined above is a bounded lattice isomorphism.*

In [21] and [22], we defined *the reticulation functor* $\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{RL} \rightarrow \mathcal{D01}$. If A is a residuated lattice and $(L(A), \lambda_A)$ is its reticulation, then $\mathcal{L}(A) = L(A)$. If B is another residuated lattice, $(L(B), \lambda_B)$ is its reticulation and $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a morphism of residuated lattices, then $\mathcal{L}(f) : \mathcal{L}(A) = L(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(B) = L(B)$, for all $a \in A$, $\mathcal{L}(f)(\lambda_A(a)) = \lambda_B(f(a))$. This definition makes \mathcal{L} a covariant functor from \mathcal{RL} to $\mathcal{D01}$.

Here is an alternate definition of \mathcal{L} , which is in accordance with the one above:

Proposition 2.23. *Let A, B be residuated lattices, $f : A \rightarrow B$ a morphism of residuated lattices and $(\mathcal{L}(A), \lambda_A), (\mathcal{L}(B), \lambda_B)$ the reticulations of A and B , respectively. Then there exists a unique bounded lattice morphism $h : \mathcal{L}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(B)$ such that $h \circ \lambda_A = \lambda_B \circ f$ (i. e. that makes the diagram below commutative).*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \lambda_A \downarrow & & \downarrow \lambda_B \\ \mathcal{L}(A) & \xrightarrow{h = \mathcal{L}(f)} & \mathcal{L}(B) \end{array}$$

Definition 2.16. With the notations in Proposition 2.23, set $\mathcal{L}(f) = h$.


Out of the two constructions for the reticulation of a residuated lattice that we have provided in [21], we present here the second one: let A be a residuated lattice, $\mathcal{PF}(A)$ be the set of the principal filters of A and $\lambda : A \rightarrow \mathcal{PF}(A)$ the function given by: for all $a \in A$, $\lambda(a) = \langle a \rangle$.

Theorem 2.24. [21] *$((\mathcal{PF}(A), \cap, \vee, A, \{1\}), \lambda)$ is a reticulation of A .*

Notice that the partial order relation of the lattice $(\mathcal{PF}(A), \cap, \vee, A, \{1\})$ is \supseteq .

Here is an example of reticulation of a residuated lattice that we will use in the sequel:

Example 2.8. [21] Let A be the residuated lattice in Example 2.3. The principal filters of this residuated lattice are: $\langle 0 \rangle = \langle b \rangle = A$, $\langle a \rangle = \langle c \rangle = \{a, c, 1\}$, $\langle d \rangle = \{d, 1\}$, $\langle 1 \rangle = \{1\}$, so $\mathcal{L}(A) = \{\langle 0 \rangle, \langle a \rangle, \langle d \rangle, \langle 1 \rangle\}$, with the following lattice structure:



Here are three preservation properties of the reticulation functor for residuated lattices.

Proposition 2.25. [23] \mathcal{L} preserves finite direct products. More precisely, if $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n are residuated lattices, $A = \prod_{i=1}^n A_i$, for each $i \in \overline{1, n}$, $(\mathcal{L}(A_i), \lambda_i)$ is a reticulation of A_i , and $\lambda : A \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}(A_i)$, for all $(a_1, \dots, a_n) \in A$, $\lambda(a_1, \dots, a_n) = (\lambda_1(a_1), \dots, \lambda_n(a_n))$, then $(\prod_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}(A_i), \lambda)$ is a reticulation of A .

Proposition 2.26. [23] \mathcal{L} preserves quotients. More precisely, if A is a residuated lattice, F is a filter of A , $(\mathcal{L}(A), \lambda)$ is the reticulation of A , $(\mathcal{L}(A/F), \lambda_1)$ is the reticulation of A/F and $h : \mathcal{L}(A)/\lambda(F) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(A/F)$, for all $a \in A$, $h(\lambda(a)/\lambda(F)) = \lambda_1(a/F)$, then h is a bounded lattice isomorphism.

Proposition 2.27. [23] \mathcal{L} preserves inductive limits. More precisely, if $((A_i)_{i \in I}, (\phi_{ij})_{\substack{i, j \in I \\ i \leq j}})$ is an inductive system of residuated lattices and $(A, (\phi_i)_{i \in I})$ is its inductive limit, then $(\mathcal{L}(A), (\mathcal{L}(\phi_i))_{i \in I})$ is the inductive limit of the inductive system $((\mathcal{L}(A_i))_{i \in I}, (\mathcal{L}(\phi_{ij}))_{\substack{i, j \in I \\ i \leq j}})$.

3. Co-Stone Algebras

This section contains several properties transferred between $\mathcal{D}01$ and $\mathcal{R}\mathcal{L}$ through \mathcal{L} , related to co-Stone structures.

Concerning co-Stone and strongly co-Stone structures (by structure we mean here bounded distributive lattice or residuated lattice), the first question that arises is whether they exist. Naturally, any strongly co-Stone structure is co-Stone and, by Remark 2.13, any complete co-Stone structure is strongly co-Stone; in particular, any finite Stone structure is strongly co-Stone.

Example 3.1. The answer to the question above is given by the fact that the trivial structure (having cardinality 1) is strongly co-Stone and, moreover, any chain is strongly co-Stone, because a chain A clearly has all co-annihilators equal to $\{1\} = \langle 1 \rangle$, except for 1^\top , which is equal to $A = \langle 0 \rangle$.

Remark 3.1. Also, notice that a residuated lattice A is co-Stone, respectively strongly co-Stone iff its lattice reduct is co-Stone, respectively strongly co-Stone. This is because the co-annihilator of any subset of A equals the co-annihilator of that subset in the lattice reduct of A , the Boolean center of A equals the Boolean center of the lattice reduct of A and, by Lemma 2.9, (i), and Lemma 2.6, for every $e \in B(A)$, the principal filter generated by e in A equals the principal filter generated by e in the lattice reduct of A .

The second natural question is whether there exist structures that are not co-Stone, and the third immediate question is whether there exist co-Stone structures that are not strongly co-Stone. As shown below, the answer is affirmative for both of these questions.

Example 3.2. The residuated lattice in Example 2.2 is not co-Stone. Indeed, $B(A) = \{0, 1\}$ and, for instance, $b^T = \{c, 1\} \notin \{\langle 0 \rangle, \langle 1 \rangle\}$.

Example 3.3. In order to find a co-Stone structure which is not strongly co-Stone, let $(A, \vee, \wedge, \neg, 0, 1)$ be a Boolean algebra which is not complete. Then it is known and easy to prove that $(A, \vee, \wedge, \odot = \wedge, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$ is a residuated lattice, where, for all $a, b \in A$,

$a \rightarrow b = \neg a \vee b$, and $B(A) = A$. Since $\odot = \wedge$, it follows that the filters of the residuated lattice A coincide with the filters of its lattice reduct, and the same goes for principal filters (which also results from the fact that $B(A) = A$, as we have noticed in Remark 3.1). In this case, for every $x \in A$, $x^T = \langle \neg x \rangle$ (see Remark 2.3), and $\neg x \in A = B(A)$, thus the residuated lattice A is co-Stone. Since A is not complete, it follows that there exists $Y \subseteq A$ such that Y has no supremum in A . Let $X = \{\neg y \mid y \in Y\}$. Then $Y = \{\neg x \mid x \in X\}$. Assume by absurdum that $X^T = \langle e \rangle = \{f \in A \mid e \leq f\}$, with $e \in A = B(A)$. Then $e = \min\{f \in A \mid (\forall x \in X) f \vee x = 1\}$. For every $f, x \in A$, $f \vee x = 1$ iff $\neg x \leq f$; thus, for every $f \in A$, we have the equivalence: $(\forall x \in X) f \vee x = 1$ iff $(\forall x \in X) \neg x \leq f$. So $e = \min\{f \in A \mid (\forall x \in X) \neg x \leq f\} = \sup\{\neg x \mid x \in X\} = \sup(Y)$. We have obtained a contradiction. Hence there exists no $e \in A = B(A)$ such that $\langle e \rangle = X^T$. Therefore the co-Stone residuated lattice A is not strongly co-Stone.

Until mentioned otherwise, let A be a residuated lattice and $(\mathcal{L}(A), \lambda)$ its reticulation.

Lemma 3.2. *For any $a \in A$, we have: $\lambda(a) = 1$ iff $a = 1$, and $\lambda(a) = 0$ iff there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $a^n = 0$.*

Proof. By conditions (3) and (5), we get: $\lambda(a) = 1$ iff $1 \leq \lambda(a)$ iff $\lambda(1) \leq \lambda(a)$ iff there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $1^n \leq a$ iff $1 \leq a$ iff $a = 1$.

Again by conditions (3) and (5), we have: $\lambda(a) = 0$ iff $\lambda(a) \leq 0$ iff $\lambda(a) \leq \lambda(0)$ iff there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $a^n \leq 0$ iff there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $a^n = 0$. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let $a \in A$. Then:*

- (i) *if $a \in B(A)$, then $\lambda(a) \in B(\mathcal{L}(A))$;*
- (ii) *$\lambda(a) \in B(\mathcal{L}(A))$ iff there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $a^n \in B(A)$.*

Proof. (i) By properties (2), (b) and (3).

(ii) If, for a certain $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $a^n \in B(A)$, then, by (i) and property (c), $\lambda(a) = \lambda(a^n) \in B(\mathcal{L}(A))$.

If $\lambda(a) \in B(\mathcal{L}(A))$, then, by condition (4), there exists $b \in A$ such that $\lambda(a) \vee \lambda(b) = 1$ and $\lambda(a) \wedge \lambda(b) = 0$. Using conditions (2) and (1), Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 2.2, (ii) and (i), we find that this is equivalent to $\lambda(a \vee b) = 1$ and $\lambda(a \odot b) = 0$, which in turn is equivalent to $a \vee b = 1$ and $(a \odot b)^n = 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$, hence $a^n \vee b^n \geq 1^n = 1$ and $a^n \odot b^n = 0$, so $a^n \vee b^n = 1$ and $a^n \odot b^n = 0$, so $a^n \wedge b^n = 0$. Hence $a^n \in B(A)$. \square

Proposition 3.4. $\lambda|_{B(A)}: B(A) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{L}(A))$ is an isomorphism of Boolean algebras.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, (i), for all $a \in B(A)$, $\lambda(a) \in B(\mathcal{L}(A))$. Properties (2), (b) and (3) imply that λ also preserves the complement, hence it is a Boolean morphism. Let $a, b \in B(A)$ such that $\lambda(a) = \lambda(b)$. By property (5) and Lemma 2.9, (i), $\lambda(a) = \lambda(b)$ iff $\lambda(a) \leq \lambda(b)$ and $\lambda(b) \leq \lambda(a)$ iff $a^n \leq b$ and $b^k \leq a$ for some $n, k \in \mathbb{N}^*$ iff $a \leq b$ and $b \leq a$ iff $a = b$. Therefore $\lambda|_{B(A)}$ is injective. Let $f \in B(\mathcal{L}(A))$. By condition (4), there exists $a \in A$ such that $\lambda(a) = f$. By Lemma 3.3, (ii), there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $a^n \in B(A)$, and $\lambda(a^n) = \lambda(a) = f$, by property (c), so $\lambda|_{B(A)}: B(A) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{L}(A))$ is also surjective. \square

Remark 3.5. For any subset X of A , $\lambda(X^\top) = \lambda(X)^\top$.

Proof. By conditions (4) and (2) and Lemma 3.2, we have: $\lambda(X)^\top = \{\lambda(a) \mid a \in A, (\forall x \in X) \lambda(a) \vee \lambda(x) = 1\} = \{\lambda(a) \mid a \in A, (\forall x \in X) \lambda(a \vee x) = 1\} = \{\lambda(a) \mid a \in A, (\forall x \in X) a \vee x = 1\} = \lambda(X^\top)$. \square

Proposition 3.6. A is a co-Stone residuated lattice iff $\mathcal{L}(A)$ is a co-Stone lattice.

Proof. Assume that A is a co-Stone residuated lattice and let $l \in \mathcal{L}(A)$. λ is surjective, hence there exists $a \in A$ with $\lambda(a) = l$. By Definition 2.9, there exists $e \in B(A)$ such that $a^\top = \langle e \rangle$. By Lemma 3.3, (i), $\lambda(e) \in B(\mathcal{L}(A))$. By Remarks 3.5 and 2.21, $l^\top = \lambda(a)^\top = \lambda(a^\top) = \lambda(\langle e \rangle) = \langle \lambda(e) \rangle$. Therefore $\mathcal{L}(A)$ is a co-Stone lattice.

Now conversely: assume that $\mathcal{L}(A)$ is a co-Stone lattice and let $a \in A$. By Definition 2.9, the surjectivity of λ and Remark 3.5, there exists $e \in A$, such that $\lambda(e) \in B(\mathcal{L}(A))$ and $\lambda(a^\top) = \lambda(a)^\top = \langle \lambda(e) \rangle$. By Lemma 3.3, (ii), there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $e^n \in B(A)$. By property (c) and Remark 2.21, $\lambda(a^\top) = \langle \lambda(e) \rangle = \langle \lambda(e^n) \rangle = \lambda(\langle e^n \rangle)$. By Proposition 2.12 and Lemma 2.20, we get $a^\top = \langle e^n \rangle$. So A is a co-Stone residuated lattice. \square

Proposition 3.7. *A is a strongly co-Stone residuated lattice iff $\mathcal{L}(A)$ is a strongly co-Stone lattice.*

Proof. Similar to the proof of Proposition 3.6. \square

Proposition 3.8. *Let A be a residuated lattice, $(\mathcal{L}(A), \lambda)$ be the reticulation of A and $\mu : \text{CoAnn}(A) \rightarrow \text{CoAnn}(\mathcal{L}(A))$, for all $F \in \text{CoAnn}(A)$, $\mu(F) = \lambda(F)$. Then $\text{CoAnn}(A)$ and $\text{CoAnn}(\mathcal{L}(A))$ are isomorphic Boolean algebras, with μ an isomorphism between them.*

Proof. Proposition 2.22 and Remark 3.5 show that μ is injective, preserves the intersection, the first and the last element and the complement of $\text{CoAnn}(A)$, hence it is an injective morphism of Boolean algebras. For all $F \in \text{CoAnn}(\mathcal{L}(A))$, there exists $X \subseteq \mathcal{L}(A)$ such that $F = X^\top$. By the surjectivity of λ , there exists $Y \subseteq A$ such that $\lambda(Y) = X$. $Y^\top \in \text{CoAnn}(A)$ and, by Remark 3.5, $\mu(Y^\top) = \lambda(Y^\top) = \lambda(Y)^\top = X^\top = F$. So μ is also surjective, hence it is a Boolean isomorphism. \square

Corollary 3.9. *With the notations in Proposition 3.8, for all $F \in \text{CoAnn}(\mathcal{L}(A))$, $\mu^{-1}(F^\top) = \mu^{-1}(F)^\top$.*

Proof. By Remark 3.5. \square

Theorem 3.10. *Let A be a residuated lattice and m an infinite cardinal. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (I) *for each nonempty subset X of A with $|X| \leq m$, there exists an element $e \in B(A)$ such that $X^\top = \langle e \rangle$;*
- (II) *A is a co-Stone residuated lattice and $B(A)$ is an m -complete Boolean algebra;*
- (III) *$A_{\top\top} = \{a^{\top\top} \mid a \in A\}$ is an m -complete Boolean sublattice of $\mathcal{F}(A)$;*
- (IV) *for all $a, b \in A$, $(a \vee b)^\top = a^\top \vee b^\top$ and, for each nonempty subset X of A with $|X| \leq m$, there exists an element $x \in A$ such that $X^{\top\top} = x^\top$;*
- (V) *for each nonempty subset X of A with $|X| \leq m$, $X^\top \vee X^{\top\top} = A$.*

Proof. Let $(\mathcal{L}(A), \lambda)$ be the reticulation of A . Let us denote by (i'), (ii'), (iii'), (iv'), (v') the equivalents of conditions (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), respectively (v) from Theorem 2.15 for $\mathcal{L}(A)$ instead of L . By Theorem 2.15, it is sufficient to prove that condition (I) is equivalent with condition (i') and the same is valid for conditions (II), (III), (IV), (V) with conditions (ii'), (iii'), (iv'), respectively (v').

(I) \Rightarrow (i'): Let $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq \mathcal{L}(A)$ with $|X| \leq m$. The fact that λ is surjective implies that there exists $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq A$ with $|Y| = |X| \leq m$ and $\lambda(Y) = X$. By (I), there exists $e \in B(A)$ such that $Y^\top = \langle e \rangle$. By Lemma 3.3, (i), $\lambda(e) \in B(\mathcal{L}(A))$. By Remarks 3.5 and 2.21, $X^\top = \lambda(Y)^\top = \lambda(Y^\top) = \lambda(\langle e \rangle) = \langle \lambda(e) \rangle$.

(i') \Rightarrow (I): Let $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq A$ with $|X| \leq m$. Then $\lambda(X) \neq \emptyset$ and $|\lambda(X)| \leq |X| \leq m$, so there exists $f \in B(\mathcal{L}(A))$ such that $\lambda(X)^\top = \langle f \rangle$. λ is surjective, so there exists $e \in A$ such that $\lambda(e) = f$. By Lemma 3.3, (ii), there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $e^n \in B(A)$.

Using Remarks 3.5 and 2.21 and property (c), we get $\lambda(X^\top) = \lambda(X)^\top = \langle \lambda(e) \rangle = \langle \lambda(e^n) \rangle = \lambda(\langle e^n \rangle)$, which, by Proposition 2.12 and Lemma 2.20, implies $X^\top = \langle e^n \rangle$.

Propositions 3.6 and 3.4 ensure us that (II) and (ii') are equivalent.

(III) \Leftrightarrow (iii'): Let us consider the posets $(A_{\top\top}, \subseteq)$ and $(\mathcal{L}(A)_{\top\top}, \subseteq)$. By Proposition 2.12, $A_{\top\top} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(A)$ and $\mathcal{L}(A)_{\top\top} \subseteq \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{L}(A))$. Let μ be the bounded lattice isomorphism from Proposition 2.22 and $\psi : A_{\top\top} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(A)_{\top\top}$ be the restriction of μ to $A_{\top\top}$, that is: for all $a \in A$, $\psi(a^{\top\top}) = \mu(a^{\top\top}) = \lambda(a^{\top\top}) = \lambda(a)^{\top\top}$, where the last equality was obtained from Remark 3.5 and shows that ψ is well defined. Propositions 2.12 and 2.22 imply that ψ is an injective order-preserving function, and the fact that λ is surjective implies that ψ is surjective; this and Proposition 2.22 show that ψ is an order isomorphism. Therefore $A_{\top\top}$ is an m -complete Boolean algebra iff $\mathcal{L}(A)_{\top\top}$ is an m -complete Boolean algebra.

(IV) \Rightarrow (iv'): Let $a, b \in A$. We will use the surjectivity of λ . By condition (2), Remark 3.5 and Proposition 2.22, $(\lambda(a) \vee \lambda(b))^\top = \lambda(a \vee b)^\top = \lambda((a \vee b)^\top) = \lambda(a^\top \vee b^\top) = \lambda(a^\top) \vee \lambda(b^\top) = \lambda(a)^\top \vee \lambda(b)^\top$. Let $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq \mathcal{L}(A)$ with $|X| \leq m$. By the surjectivity of λ , there exists $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq A$ with $\lambda(Y) = X$ and $|Y| = |\lambda(Y)| = |X| \leq m$ (Y can be obtained by choosing, for each $x \in X$, only one $y \in A$ such that $\lambda(y) = x$ and taking Y to be the set of all these elements y). This implies that there exists $y \in A$ such that $Y^{\top\top} = y^\top$, which in turn, by Remark 3.5, implies that $X^{\top\top} = \lambda(Y)^{\top\top} = \lambda(Y^{\top\top}) = \lambda(y^\top) = \lambda(y)^\top$.

(iv') \Rightarrow (IV): Let $a, b \in A$. We have: $(\lambda(a) \vee \lambda(b))^\top = \lambda(a)^\top \vee \lambda(b)^\top$, which, by computations similar to the ones above, is equivalent to: $\lambda((a \vee b)^\top) = \lambda(a^\top \vee b^\top)$. This, by Proposition 2.12 and Lemma 2.20, implies that $(a \vee b)^\top = a^\top \vee b^\top$. Let $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq A$ with $|Y| \leq m$. Then $\lambda(Y) \neq \emptyset$ and $|\lambda(Y)| \leq |Y| \leq m$, so, by the surjectivity of λ , there exists $y \in A$ such that $\lambda(Y)^{\top\top} = \lambda(y)^\top$. By Remark 3.5, this is equivalent to $\lambda(Y^{\top\top}) = \lambda(y^\top)$, which, by Proposition 2.12 and Lemma 2.20, is equivalent to $Y^{\top\top} = y^\top$.

(V) \Rightarrow (v'): Let $\emptyset \neq X \subseteq \mathcal{L}(A)$ such that $|X| \leq m$. The surjectivity of λ implies that there exists $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq A$ with $\lambda(Y) = X$ and $|Y| = |\lambda(Y)| = |X| \leq m$ (Y can be chosen as in the proof of (IV) \Rightarrow (iv')). Therefore $Y^\top \vee Y^{\top\top} = A$. By Remark 3.5, Proposition 2.22 and condition (4), this implies that $X^\top \vee X^{\top\top} = \lambda(Y)^\top \vee \lambda(Y)^{\top\top} = \lambda(Y^\top) \vee \lambda(Y^{\top\top}) = \lambda(Y^\top \vee Y^{\top\top}) = \lambda(A) = \mathcal{L}(A)$.

(v') \Rightarrow (V): Let $\emptyset \neq Y \subseteq A$ such that $|Y| \leq m$. Then $\lambda(Y) \neq \emptyset$ and $|\lambda(Y)| \leq |Y| \leq m$, so $\lambda(Y)^\top \vee \lambda(Y)^{\top\top} = \mathcal{L}(A)$. By Remark 3.5, Proposition 2.22 and condition (4), this is equivalent to $\lambda(Y^\top \vee Y^{\top\top}) = \lambda(A)$, which, by Lemma 2.20, is equivalent to $Y^\top \vee Y^{\top\top} = A$. \square

A residuated lattice will be called an m -co-Stone residuated lattice iff the conditions of Theorem 3.10 hold for it.

Proposition 3.11. *A is an m -co-Stone residuated lattice iff $\mathcal{L}(A)$ is an m -co-Stone lattice.*

Proof. This is a paraphrase of the equivalences established in the proof of Theorem 3.10. \square

The following two remarks show that co-Stone residuated lattices do not have a characterization like the one in [1, Theorem 8.7.1, page 164] for co-Stone pseudocomplemented distributive lattices.

Remark 3.12. There exist co-Stone residuated lattices A with elements $a \in A$ that do not satisfy the identity $\neg a \vee \neg\neg a = 1$.

Proof. Let us consider the residuated lattice A from Example 2.1. $B(A) = \{0, 1\}$, $\langle 0 \rangle = A$, $\langle 1 \rangle = \{1\}$, $0^\top = a^\top = b^\top = c^\top = \{1\}$, $1^\top = A$, therefore A is a co-Stone residuated lattice. But $\neg a = b$, $\neg\neg a = \neg b = a$, so $\neg a \vee \neg\neg a = b \vee a = c \neq 1$. \square

Notice that A from the proof above is strongly co-Stone.

Remark 3.13. There exist residuated lattices A that satisfy the identity $\neg a \vee \neg\neg a = 1$ for all $a \in A$ and that are not co-Stone.

Proof. Let A be the residuated lattice in Example 2.5. A satisfies the identity in the enunciation. $B(A) = \{0, 1\}$, $\langle 0 \rangle = A$, $\langle 1 \rangle = \{1\}$, but $c^\top = \{d, 1\}$, hence A is not co-Stone. \square

Remark 3.14. *There exist residuated lattices A that do not satisfy the identity $\neg a \vee \neg\neg a = 1$ for all $a \in A$, but whose reticulations $\mathcal{L}(A)$ are pseudocomplemented lattices and satisfy this identity: $l^* \vee l^{**} = 1$ for all $l \in \mathcal{L}(A)$.*

Proof. Let A be the residuated lattice in Example 2.3. For instance, $\neg b \vee \neg\neg b = c \vee b = c \neq 1$.

See its reticulation $\mathcal{L}(A)$ in Example 2.8. One can see that $\mathcal{L}(A)$ is pseudocomplemented and satisfies the identity in the enunciation, as it is a Boolean algebra. \square

The remark above shows that the alternate definition of co-Stone algebras, from [6], is not transferrable through the reticulation, which is the reason why we have chosen our definition over it.

4. A Possible Construction for the Strongly Co-Stone Hull of a Residuated Lattice

In this section we associate with every residuated lattice A a strongly co-Stone residuated lattice \tilde{A} , using a construction suggested by the construction provided in [9] for the strongly Stone hull of an MV-algebra. We prove that \tilde{A} has some good properties, including the fact that it is preserved by the reticulation functor, and we conjecture that \tilde{A} might be the strongly co-Stone hull of A .

In the following, let A be a residuated lattice. Let $B = \text{CoAnn}(A)$, which is a complete Boolean algebra according to Proposition 2.14. Let us consider the poset $\Pi(A) = P(B)$ of the finite partitions of B (see Section 2 for the definitions). For any $\mathcal{C} \in \Pi(A)$, set $A_{\mathcal{C}} = \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}} A/(C^\top)$. For every $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \Pi(A)$ with $\mathcal{C} \leq \mathcal{D}$, we shall consider the map

$\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}} : A_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow A_{\mathcal{D}}$, for all $(a_C)_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \subseteq A$, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}((a_C/(C^\top))_{C \in \mathcal{C}}) = (b_D/(D^\top))_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$, where, by definition, for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$, $b_D = a_C$, where C is the unique member of \mathcal{C} such that $D \subseteq C$. It is immediate that $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}$ is an injective morphism of residuated lattices and that $((A_{\mathcal{C}})_{\mathcal{C} \in \Pi(A)}, (\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}})_{\mathcal{C} \leq \mathcal{D}})$ is an inductive system of residuated lattices. Let $\tilde{A} = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{C} \in \Pi(A)} A_{\mathcal{C}}$

be its inductive limit. By the uniqueness of the inductive limit, it follows that \tilde{A} is unique up to a residuated lattice isomorphism.

For every $a \in A$ and every $\mathcal{C} \in \Pi(A)$, we denote by $\epsilon(a)$ the congruence class $[a_{\mathcal{C}}]$ in \tilde{A} of the element $(a/(C^\top))_{C \in \mathcal{C}}$, element which we will denote $a_{\mathcal{C}}$. The definition of ϵ does not depend on \mathcal{C} , because, if $\mathcal{D} \in \Pi(A)$, then we have: $[a_{\mathcal{C}}] = [a_{\mathcal{D}}]$ iff there exists $\mathcal{E} \in \Pi(A)$ with $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \leq \mathcal{E}$, such that $a_{\mathcal{E}} = a_{\mathcal{E}}$, which is true.

Remark 4.1. $\epsilon : A \rightarrow \tilde{A}$ is an injective morphism of residuated lattices.

Proof. Let $a, b \in A$, $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \Pi(A)$ and $\mathcal{E} \in \Pi(A)$ such that $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \leq \mathcal{E}$. Then: $\epsilon(a) \vee \epsilon(b) = [a_{\mathcal{C}}] \vee [b_{\mathcal{D}}] = [(a \vee b)_{\mathcal{E}}] = \epsilon(a \vee b)$. One can similarly prove that ϵ preserves the other residuated lattice operations, hence it is a morphism of residuated lattices. For the injectivity, we have that $\epsilon(a) = \epsilon(b)$ iff $[a_{\mathcal{C}}] = [b_{\mathcal{D}}]$ iff there exists $\mathcal{F} \in \Pi(A)$ with $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \leq \mathcal{F}$ such that $a_{\mathcal{F}} = b_{\mathcal{F}}$ iff, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $a/(F^{\top}) = b/(F^{\top})$ iff, for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $a \leftrightarrow b \in F^{\top}$ iff $a \leftrightarrow b \in \bigcap_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F^{\top} = \left(\bigcup_{F \in \mathcal{F}} F \right)^{\top} = A^{\top} = \{1\}$ iff $a = b$, by Lemma 2.2, (iii). \square

One can identify A and $\epsilon(A)$.

Remark 4.2. For all $C \in \text{CoAnn}(A)$, $\{C, C^{\top}\}$ is a partition of $B = \text{CoAnn}(A)$ and $C^{\top\top} = C$.

Proof. Obviously $C \cap C^{\top} = \{1\}$, and $C \vee^{\top} C^{\top} = (C^{\top} \cap C^{\top\top})^{\top} = 1^{\top} = A$.

Obviously $C \subseteq C^{\top\top}$, but $C = D^{\top}$ for some $D \subseteq A$, so $C^{\top} = D^{\top\top} \supseteq D$, which implies $C^{\top\top} \subseteq D^{\top} = C$, hence $C^{\top\top} = C$. \square

Lemma 4.3. For all $x \in \tilde{A}$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and there exist $e_1, \dots, e_n \in B(\tilde{A})$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ such that: for all $i, j \in \overline{1, n}$ with $i \neq j$, $e_i \vee e_j = 1$, $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n e_i = 0$ and $x = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (\epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i)$.

Proof. Let $x \in \tilde{A}$. Then there exists $\mathcal{C} = \{C_1, \dots, C_n\} \in \Pi(A)$ and there exist $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$ such that $x = [(a_1/C_1^{\top}, \dots, a_n/C_n^{\top})]$.

For every $i \in \overline{1, n}$, let $\mathcal{D}_i = \{C_i, C_i^{\top}\} \in \Pi(A)$, so $A_{\mathcal{D}_i} = A/C_i^{\top} \times A/C_i$. Obviously, for each i , $\mathcal{D}_i \leq \mathcal{C}$ (the distributivity of the Boolean algebra $\text{CoAnn}(A)$ and the definition of the complement imply that $\bigvee_{j \neq i}^{\top} C_j = C_i^{\top}$) and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{C}} : A_{\mathcal{D}_i} \rightarrow A_{\mathcal{C}}$, for all $a, b \in A$, $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{C}}(a/C_i^{\top}, b/C_i) = (b/C_1^{\top}, \dots, b/C_{i-1}^{\top}, a/C_i^{\top}, b/C_{i+1}^{\top}, \dots, b/C_n^{\top})$. For every $i \in \overline{1, n}$, let $d_i = \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{C}}(0/C_i^{\top}, 1/C_i) = (1/C_1^{\top}, \dots, 1/C_{i-1}^{\top}, 0/C_i^{\top}, 1/C_{i+1}^{\top}, \dots, 1/C_n^{\top})$ and let $e_i = [(0/C_i^{\top}, 1/C_i)]$. Notice that: for all $i, j \in \overline{1, n}$ with $i \neq j$, $e_i \vee e_j = 1$ and $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n e_i = 0$. For all $i \in \overline{1, n}$, $\epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i = [(a_i/C_i^{\top}, a_i/C_i)] \vee [(0/C_i^{\top}, 1/C_i)] = [(a_i/C_i^{\top}, 1/C_i)]$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{C}}(a_i/C_i^{\top}, 1/C_i) = (1/C_1^{\top}, \dots, 1/C_{i-1}^{\top}, a_i/C_i^{\top}, 1/C_{i+1}^{\top}, \dots, 1/C_n^{\top})$, so $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n (\epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i) = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n [(a_i/C_i^{\top}, 1/C_i)] = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n [\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{C}}(a_i/C_i^{\top}, 1/C_i)] = [\bigwedge_{i=1}^n \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{D}_i, \mathcal{C}}(a_i/C_i^{\top}, 1/C_i)] = [(a_1/C_1^{\top}, \dots, a_n/C_n^{\top})] = x$. \square

Lemma 4.4. \tilde{A} is a co-Stone residuated lattice.

Proof. For all $a \in A$, we denote $\epsilon(a)^* = [(0/a^{\top\top}, 1/a^{\top})]$. Let $a \in A$ and let us prove that $\epsilon(a)^{\top} = \langle \epsilon(a)^* \rangle$. Let $x \in \tilde{A}$, arbitrary, so, by Lemma 4.3, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and there exist $e_1, \dots, e_n \in B(\tilde{A})$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n \in A$, chosen as in the proof of Lemma 4.3, such that: for all $i, j \in \overline{1, n}$ with $i \neq j$, $e_i \vee e_j = 1$, $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n e_i = 0$ and $x = \bigwedge_{i=1}^n (\epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i)$.

Let $i \in \overline{1, n}$. Notice that $\{a^\top \cap C_i^\top, a^{\top\top} \cap C_i^\top, a^\top \cap C_i, a^{\top\top} \cap C_i\}$ is a partition and it is a refinement of each of the partitions $\{a^\top, a^{\top\top}\}$ and $\{C_i^\top, C_i\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i &= [(a_i/C_i^\top, a_i/C_i)] \vee [(0/C_i^\top, 1/C_i)] = [(a_i/C_i^\top, 1/C_i)] \\ &= [(1/(a^\top \cap C_i^\top)^\top, 1/(a^{\top\top} \cap C_i^\top)^\top, a_i/(a^\top \cap C_i)^\top, a_i/(a^{\top\top} \cap C_i)^\top)], \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i \vee \epsilon(a)^* &= [(1/(a^\top \cap C_i^\top)^\top, 1/(a^{\top\top} \cap C_i^\top)^\top, a_i/(a^\top \cap C_i)^\top, a_i/(a^{\top\top} \cap C_i)^\top)] \\ &\quad \vee [(0/(a^\top \cap C_i^\top)^\top, 1/(a^{\top\top} \cap C_i^\top)^\top, 0/(a^\top \cap C_i)^\top, 1/(a^{\top\top} \cap C_i)^\top)] \\ &= [(1/(a^\top \cap C_i^\top)^\top, 1/(a^{\top\top} \cap C_i^\top)^\top, a_i/(a^\top \cap C_i)^\top, 1/(a^{\top\top} \cap C_i)^\top)]. \\ \epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i \vee \epsilon(a) &= [(a_i/C_i^\top, 1/C_i)] \vee [(a/C_i^\top, a/C_i)] = [(a_i \vee a)/C_i^\top, 1/C_i]. \end{aligned}$$

For the following, see Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.7, (i). Hence: $\epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i \in \epsilon(a)^\top$ iff $\epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i \vee \epsilon(a) = 1$ iff $(a_i \vee a)/C_i^\top = 1/C_i^\top$ iff $a_i \vee a \in C_i^\top$, and, since $\epsilon(a)^*$ is obviously idempotent, $\epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i \in \epsilon(a)^* >$ iff $\epsilon(a)^* \leq \epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i$ iff $\epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i \vee \epsilon(a)^* = \epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i$ iff $a_i/(a^{\top\top} \cap C_i)^\top = 1/(a^{\top\top} \cap C_i)^\top$ iff $a_i \in (a^{\top\top} \cap C_i)^\top$. We aim to prove that $\epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i \in \epsilon(a)^\top$ iff $\epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i \in \epsilon(a)^* >$; it is sufficient to show that $a_i \vee a \in C_i^\top$ iff $a_i \in (a^{\top\top} \cap C_i)^\top$. Let us prove the direct implication; so let us assume that $a_i \vee a \in C_i^\top$. Let $t \in a^{\top\top} \cap C_i$, arbitrary. Since $t \in a^{\top\top}$, it follows that $t \vee a_i \in a^{\top\top}$. Since $t \in C_i$, we get $t \vee a_i \vee a = 1$, hence $t \vee a_i \in a^\top$. So $t \vee a_i \in a^{\top\top} \cap a^\top = \{1\}$, hence $t \vee a_i = 1$. Therefore $a_i \in (a^{\top\top} \cap C_i)^\top$. For the converse implication, let us assume that $a_i \in (a^{\top\top} \cap C_i)^\top$. Let $t \in C_i$, arbitrary, so $t \vee a \in C_i$. $a \in a^{\top\top}$, so $t \vee a \in a^{\top\top}$. Therefore $t \vee a \in a^{\top\top} \cap C_i$. Then $t \vee a \vee a_i = 1$, so $a \vee a_i \in C_i^\top$. Thus $\epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i \in \epsilon(a)^\top$ iff $\epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i \in \epsilon(a)^* >$.

Now we can go one step further and prove that $x \in \epsilon(a)^\top$ iff $x \in \epsilon(a)^* >$, which leads to the conclusion that $\epsilon(a)^\top = \epsilon(a)^* >$, as x is arbitrary in \tilde{A} . By Remark 2.4 and the above, $x \in \epsilon(a)^\top$ iff $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n (\epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i) \in \epsilon(a)^\top$ iff, for all $i \in \overline{1, n}$, $\epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i \in \epsilon(a)^\top$

iff, for all $i \in \overline{1, n}$, $\epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i \in \epsilon(a)^* >$ iff $\bigwedge_{i=1}^n (\epsilon(a_i) \vee e_i) \in \epsilon(a)^* >$ iff $x \in \epsilon(a)^* >$.

Hence $\epsilon(a)^\top = \epsilon(a)^* >$.

Let $y \in \tilde{A}$, arbitrary. We shall prove that y^\top is generated by an element from the Boolean center of \tilde{A} , which will end the proof. First, let us write y as an expression made of elements of A and elements from the Boolean center of \tilde{A} . By Lemma 4.3, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and there exist $f_1, \dots, f_m \in B(\tilde{A})$ and $b_1, \dots, b_m \in A$, chosen like in the proof of the lemma, such that: for all $i, j \in \overline{1, m}$ with $i \neq j$, $f_i \vee f_j = 1$, $\bigwedge_{i=1}^m f_i = 0$

and $y = \bigwedge_{i=1}^m (\epsilon(b_i) \vee f_i)$. Next we shall obtain a writing of y^\top depending on the b_i s and

the f_i s. Let $v \in \tilde{A}$, arbitrary. By Remark 2.4, $v \in y^\top$ iff $v \vee y = 1$ iff $y \in v^\top$ iff $\bigwedge_{i=1}^m (\epsilon(b_i) \vee f_i) \in v^\top$ iff, for all $i \in \overline{1, m}$, $\epsilon(b_i) \vee f_i \in v^\top$ iff, for all $i \in \overline{1, m}$, $\epsilon(b_i) \vee f_i \vee v = 1$

iff $v \in \bigcap_{i=1}^m (\epsilon(b_i) \vee f_i)^\top$. Therefore $y^\top = \bigcap_{i=1}^m (\epsilon(b_i) \vee f_i)^\top$.

Now we shall write each co-annihilator filter from this writing of y^\top as a principal filter generated by an element from the Boolean center of \tilde{A} .

For every $i \in \overline{1, m}$, let us denote $d_i = \epsilon(b_i)^*$, which is idempotent; then, by the above, $\epsilon(b_i)^\top = \langle d_i \rangle$, with d_i idempotent, for every i . Moreover, each $d_i \in B(\tilde{A})$, as Lemma 2.9, (ii) shows.

Let $z \in \tilde{A}$, arbitrary. By Lemma 2.9, (iii), Lemma 2.6 and the law of residuation, for each $i \in \overline{1, m}$, $z \in (\epsilon(b_i) \vee f_i)^\top$ iff $z \vee \epsilon(b_i) \vee f_i = 1$ iff $z \vee f_i \in \epsilon(b_i)^\top = \langle d_i \rangle$ iff $d_i \leq z \vee f_i = \neg f_i \rightarrow z$ iff $d_i \odot \neg f_i \leq z$ iff $z \in \langle d_i \odot \neg f_i \rangle$ (remember that $\neg f_i$ is also idempotent). Hence, for each $i \in \overline{1, m}$, $(\epsilon(b_i) \vee f_i)^\top = \langle d_i \odot \neg f_i \rangle$, with $d_i \odot \neg f_i \in B(\tilde{A})$, as Lemma 2.9, (iv) shows.

Therefore, by Proposition 2.10, $y^\top = \bigcap_{i=1}^m (\epsilon(b_i) \vee f_i)^\top = \bigcap_{i=1}^m \langle d_i \odot \neg f_i \rangle = \langle \bigvee_{i=1}^m (d_i \odot \neg f_i) \rangle$, with $\bigvee_{i=1}^m (d_i \odot \neg f_i) \in B(\tilde{A})$.

Hence \tilde{A} is a co-Stone residuated lattice. \square

Lemma 4.5. *Let $C, D \in \text{CoAnn}(A)$. Then $[(0/C^\top, 1/C)] \vee [(0/D^\top, 1/D)] = [(0/(C \cap D)^\top, 1/(C \cap D))]$.*

Proof. We will use the following refinement of the partitions $\{C^\top, C\}$ and $\{D^\top, D\}$: $\{C^\top \cap D^\top, C^\top \cap D, C \cap D^\top, C \cap D\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} & [(0/C^\top, 1/C)] \vee [(0/D^\top, 1/D)] \\ &= [(1/(C^\top \cap D^\top)^\top, 1/(C^\top \cap D)^\top, 0/(C \cap D^\top)^\top, 0/(C \cap D)^\top)] \\ &\quad \vee [(1/(C^\top \cap D^\top)^\top, 0/(C^\top \cap D)^\top, 1/(C \cap D^\top)^\top, 0/(C \cap D)^\top)] \\ &= [(1/(C^\top \cap D^\top)^\top, 1/(C^\top \cap D)^\top, 1/(C \cap D^\top)^\top, 0/(C \cap D)^\top)] \\ &= [(0/(C \cap D)^\top, 1/(C \cap D))]. \end{aligned}$$

\square

Here is a generalization of the previous lemma (see Proposition 2.10).

Lemma 4.6. *Let I be an arbitrary index set and, for all $i \in I$, $E_i \in \text{CoAnn}(A)$, $e_i = [(0/E_i^\top, 1/E_i)]$, and $e = [(0/(\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i)^\top, 1/(\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i))]$. Then: $\bigcap_{i \in I} \langle e_i \rangle = \langle e \rangle$.*

Proof. Let $x \in \tilde{A}$, arbitrary. We shall prove that: $x \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \langle e_i \rangle$ iff $x \in \langle e \rangle$. By the proof of Lemma 4.3, there exists an $n \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and, for all $j \in \overline{1, n}$, there exists $a_j \in A$ and $C_j \in \text{CoAnn}(A)$, such that $x = \bigwedge_{j=1}^n [(a_j/C_j^\top, 1/C_j)]$. By Remark 2.4, it is sufficient to show that, for each $j \in \overline{1, n}$, $[(a_j/C_j^\top, 1/C_j)] \in \bigcap_{i \in I} \langle e_i \rangle$ iff $[(a_j/C_j^\top, 1/C_j)] \in \langle e \rangle$.

Let $j \in \overline{1, n}$. Obviously, e and each e_i are idempotent (actually, by Lemma 2.9, (ii), they belong to $B(\tilde{A})$), therefore it is sufficient to show that $e \leq [(a_j/C_j^\top, 1/C_j)]$ iff, for all $i \in I$, $e_i \leq [(a_j/C_j^\top, 1/C_j)]$ (see Lemma 2.6).

Let $i \in I$. $e_i \leq [(a_j/C_j^\top, 1/C_j)]$ iff $[(0/E_i^\top, 1/E_i)] \leq [(a_j/C_j^\top, 1/C_j)]$ iff $[(0/(E_i \cap C_j)^\top, 1/(E_i^\top \cap C_j)^\top, 0/(E_i \cap C_j^\top)^\top, 1/(E_i^\top \cap C_j^\top)^\top)] \leq [(a_j/(E_i \cap C_j)^\top, a_j/(E_i^\top \cap C_j^\top)^\top, 1/(E_i \cap C_j^\top)^\top, 1/(E_i^\top \cap C_j^\top)^\top)]$ iff $1/(E_i^\top \cap C_j^\top)^\top \leq a_j/(E_i^\top \cap C_j^\top)^\top$ iff $1/(E_i^\top \cap C_j^\top)^\top = a_j/(E_i^\top \cap C_j^\top)^\top$ iff $a_j \in (E_i^\top \cap C_j^\top)^\top$ (see Lemma 2.7, (i)). Analogously, $e \leq [(a_j/C_j^\top, 1/C_j)]$ iff $a_j \in ((\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i)^\top \cap C_j)^\top$. So it remains to show that $a_j \in ((\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i)^\top \cap C_j)^\top$ iff, for all

$i \in I$, $a_j \in (E_i^\top \cap C_j)^\top$, which is true, because, by the definition of the co-annihilator of a set: $(\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i)^\top = \bigcup_{i \in I} E_i^\top$, hence $(\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i)^\top \cap C_j = \bigcup_{i \in I} E_i^\top \cap C_j$, that is $(\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i)^\top \cap C_j = \bigcup_{i \in I} (E_i^\top \cap C_j)$, thus $((\bigcap_{i \in I} E_i)^\top \cap C_j)^\top = (\bigcup_{i \in I} (E_i^\top \cap C_j))^\top = \bigcap_{i \in I} (E_i^\top \cap C_j)^\top$. \square

Lemma 4.7. \tilde{A} is a strongly co-Stone residuated lattice.

Proof. Let $X \subseteq \tilde{A}$. By Lemma 4.4, $X^\top = \bigcap_{x \in X} x^\top = \bigcap_{x \in X} \langle e_x \rangle$ for some $e_x \in B(\tilde{A})$ for every $x \in X$.

Let $x \in X$. Using the notations from the proofs of Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, e_x is of the form $e_x = \bigvee_{i=1}^m (d_i \odot \neg f_i)$, where $d_i = \epsilon(b_i)^* = [(0/b_i^{\top\top}, 1/b_i^\top)]$, with $b_i \in A$, and $f_i = [(0/C_i^\top, 1/C_i)]$, with $C_i \in \text{CoAnn}(A)$. So $\neg f_i = [(1/C_i^\top, 0/C_i)]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} d_i \odot \neg f_i &= [(1/(b_i^{\top\top} \cap C_i^\top)^\top, 1/(b_i^{\top\top} \cap C_i)^\top, 0/(b_i^\top \cap C_i^\top)^\top, 0/(b_i^\top \cap C_i)^\top)] \\ &\quad \odot [(0/(b_i^{\top\top} \cap C_i^\top)^\top, 1/(b_i^{\top\top} \cap C_i)^\top, 0/(b_i^\top \cap C_i^\top)^\top, 1/(b_i^\top \cap C_i)^\top)] \\ &= [(0/(b_i^{\top\top} \cap C_i^\top)^\top, 1/(b_i^{\top\top} \cap C_i)^\top, 0/(b_i^\top \cap C_i^\top)^\top, 0/(b_i^\top \cap C_i)^\top)] \\ &= [(0/(b_i^{\top\top} \cap C_i), 1/(b_i^{\top\top} \cap C_i)^\top)] = [(0/D_i^\top, 1/D_i)], \end{aligned}$$

where $D_i = (b_i^{\top\top} \cap C_i)^\top$. By Lemma 4.5, for every $i, j \in \overline{1, m}$ with $i \neq j$, $[(0/D_i^\top, 1/D_i)] \vee [(0/D_j^\top, 1/D_j)] = [(0/(D_i \cap D_j)^\top, 1/(D_i \cap D_j))]$, hence, by induction on m , one can show that

$$e_x = \bigvee_{i=1}^m (d_i \odot \neg f_i) = [(0/(\bigcap_{i=1}^m D_i)^\top, 1/(\bigcap_{i=1}^m D_i))] = [(0/E_x^\top, 1/E_x)],$$

where $E_x = \bigcap_{i=1}^m D_i$.

Let $e = [(0/(\bigcap_{x \in X} E_x)^\top, 1/(\bigcap_{x \in X} E_x))]$. Lemma 2.9, (ii) ensures us that $e \in B(\tilde{A})$. By

Lemma 4.6, $\langle e \rangle = \bigcap_{x \in X} \langle e_x \rangle = X^\top$. So \tilde{A} is strongly co-Stone. \square

Proposition 4.8. A is co-dense in \tilde{A} .

Proof. Let $x = [a] \in \tilde{A} \setminus \{1\}$, with $a = (a_C/C^\top)_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \in A_{\mathcal{C}}$, $\mathcal{C} \in \Pi(A)$. What we have to do is prove that there exists $y \in A$ such that $x \leq \epsilon(y) < 1$.

$x \neq 1$, so there exists $C \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $a_C/C^\top \neq 1/C^\top$, that is $a_C \notin C^\top$ (see Lemma 2.7, (i)), which means that there exists an element $b \in C$ such that $b \vee a_C \neq 1$. Set $y = b \vee a_C \in C$, since $b \in C$ and $C \in \text{CoAnn}(A) \subseteq \mathcal{F}(A)$, by Proposition 2.12. Let $D \in \mathcal{C} \setminus \{C\}$, arbitrary, so $C \cap D = \{1\}$, hence $C \subseteq D^\top$ (see Proposition 2.14; the complement D^\top of D in the Boolean algebra $\text{CoAnn}(A)$ equals its pseudocomplement). $y \in C$, therefore $y \in D^\top$, hence $y/D^\top = 1/D^\top$, by Lemma 2.7, (i). But $C \cap C^\top = \{1\}$ and $y \neq 1$, so $y \in C \setminus \{1\}$, so $y \notin C^\top$, that is $y/C^\top \neq 1/C^\top$, by Lemma 2.7, (i).

So we have proven that $(y/D^\top)_{D \in \mathcal{C}}$ has exactly one component different from 1, namely y/C^\top , and this component equals $(b \vee a_C)/C^\top$, so it is greater than a_C/C^\top . Therefore we have: $x = [(a_D/D^\top)_{D \in \mathcal{C}}] \leq [(y/D^\top)_{D \in \mathcal{C}}] = \epsilon(y) < 1$. \square

In the following, unless mentioned otherwise, let L be a bounded distributive lattice. We shall construct a bounded distributive lattice \tilde{L} in the same manner that \tilde{A} is constructed from A , and we shall keep the notation \tilde{L} in what follows.

Let $B = \text{CoAnn}(L)$, which is a complete Boolean algebra according to Proposition 2.14 (the notation B will only be used with this meaning in this construction). Let us consider the poset $\Pi(L) = P(B)$ of the finite partitions of B (see Section 2 for the definitions). For any $\mathcal{C} \in \Pi(L)$, set $L_{\mathcal{C}} = \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}} L/(C^{\top})$. For every $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \Pi(L)$ with $\mathcal{C} \leq \mathcal{D}$,

we shall consider the map $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}} : L_{\mathcal{C}} \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{D}}$, for all $(l_C)_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \subseteq L$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}((l_C/(C^{\top}))_{C \in \mathcal{C}}) = (n_D/(D^{\top}))_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$, where, by definition, for all $D \in \mathcal{D}$, $n_D = l_C$, where C is the unique member of \mathcal{C} such that $D \subseteq C$. It is immediate that $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}$ is an injective morphism of bounded lattices and that $((L_{\mathcal{C}})_{\mathcal{C} \in \Pi(L)}, (\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}})_{\mathcal{C} \leq \mathcal{D}})$ is an inductive system of bounded distributive lattices. Let $\tilde{L} = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{C} \in \Pi(L)} L_{\mathcal{C}}$ be its inductive limit. By the uniqueness of the

inductive limit, it follows that \tilde{L} is unique up to a bounded lattice isomorphism.

For every $l \in L$ and every $\mathcal{C} \in \Pi(L)$, we denote by $\tau(l)$ the congruence class $[l_{\mathcal{C}}]$ in \tilde{L} of the element $(l/(C^{\top}))_{C \in \mathcal{C}}$, element which we will denote $l_{\mathcal{C}}$. The definition of τ does not depend on \mathcal{C} , which can be shown in the same way that we have shown this for $\epsilon : A \rightarrow \tilde{A}$. As in Remark 4.1, one can prove that $\tau : L \rightarrow \tilde{L}$ is an injective morphism of bounded lattices, thus one can identify L and $\tau(L)$.

Proposition 4.9. $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{A})$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}(A)}$ are isomorphic bounded lattices.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{A})$ be the reticulation of \tilde{A} and $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}(A)} = \overline{\mathcal{L}(A)}$ be the strongly co-Stone hull of the reticulation $(\mathcal{L}(A), \lambda)$ of A . We will prove that there exists a bounded lattice isomorphism from $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}(A)}$ to $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{A})$.

The order isomorphism μ from Proposition 3.8 obviously induces an order isomorphism $\nu : \Pi(A) \rightarrow \Pi(\mathcal{L}(A))$, for all $\mathcal{C} \in \Pi(A)$, $\nu(\mathcal{C}) = \{\mu(C) \mid C \in \mathcal{C}\}$. $\tilde{A} = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{C} \in \Pi(A)} (A_{\mathcal{C}}, \mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}})$,

hence, by Proposition 2.27, $\mathcal{L}(\tilde{A}) = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{C} \in \Pi(A)} (\mathcal{L}(A_{\mathcal{C}}), \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}))$, and

$$\widetilde{\mathcal{L}(A)} = \varinjlim_{\substack{\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \in \Pi(\mathcal{L}(A)), \\ \mathcal{E} \leq \mathcal{F}}} (\mathcal{L}(A)_{\mathcal{E}}, \mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}}) = \varinjlim_{\substack{\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \Pi(A), \\ \mathcal{C} \leq \mathcal{D}}} (\mathcal{L}(A)_{\nu(\mathcal{C})}, \mathcal{Q}_{\nu(\mathcal{C})\nu(\mathcal{D})}),$$

where, in conformity to the construction of the strongly co-Stone hull of a bounded distributive lattice, the $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}}$ are defined this way: for all $\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{F} \in \Pi(\mathcal{L}(A))$ with $\mathcal{E} \leq \mathcal{F}$, for all $(a_E)_{E \in \mathcal{E}} \subseteq A$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\mathcal{E}\mathcal{F}}((\lambda(a_E)/E^{\top})_{E \in \mathcal{E}}) = (\lambda(a_F)/F^{\top})_{F \in \mathcal{F}}$, where $a_F = a_E$ iff $F \subseteq E$. It follows that, for all $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \Pi(A)$ with $\mathcal{C} \leq \mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu(\mathcal{C})\nu(\mathcal{D})}$ is defined as follows: for all $x = (\lambda(a_{\mu(C)})/\mu(C)^{\top})_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \in \mathcal{L}(A)_{\nu(\mathcal{C})}$, with $(a_{\mu(C)})_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \subseteq A$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\nu(\mathcal{C})\nu(\mathcal{D})}(x) = (\lambda(a_{\mu(D)})/\mu(D)^{\top})_{D \in \mathcal{D}} = (\lambda(a_{\mu(D)})/\lambda(D)^{\top})_{D \in \mathcal{D}} = (\lambda(a_{\mu(D)})/\lambda(D^{\top}))_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$, where $a_{\mu(D)} = a_{\mu(C)}$ iff $\mu(D) \subseteq \mu(C)$ iff $D \subseteq C$ (since μ is an order isomorphism); we have used Remark 3.5.

Let $\mathcal{C} \in \Pi(A)$, arbitrary but fixed. $A_{\mathcal{C}} = \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}} A/C^{\top}$ and $\mathcal{L}(A)_{\nu(\mathcal{C})} = \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{L}(A)/\mu(C)^{\top} = \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{L}(A)/\lambda(C)^{\top} = \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{L}(A)/\lambda(C^{\top})$, as Remark 3.5 shows. By Proposition 2.25, $\mathcal{L}(A_{\mathcal{C}}) = \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \mathcal{L}(A/C^{\top})$. By Proposition 2.26, for each $C \in \mathcal{C}$, the function $h_C : \mathcal{L}(A)/\lambda(C^{\top}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(A/C^{\top})$, for all $a \in A$, $h_C(\lambda(a)/\lambda(C^{\top})) = \lambda_C(a/C^{\top})$ is a bounded lattice isomorphism,

where we denoted by $(\mathcal{L}(A/C^\top), \lambda_C)$ the reticulation of A/C^\top . Let $f_C : \mathcal{L}(A)_{\nu(C)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(A_C)$, for all x as above, $f_C(x) = (h_C(\lambda(a_{\mu(C)})/\lambda(C^\top)))_{C \in \mathcal{C}} = (\lambda_C(a_{\mu(C)}/C^\top))_{C \in \mathcal{C}}$. The fact that each h_C is well defined and it is a bounded lattice isomorphism implies that f_C is well defined and it is a bounded lattice isomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \Pi(A)$ with $\mathcal{C} \leq \mathcal{D}$, arbitrary but fixed. Let x be as above and $(a_{\mu(D)})_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \subseteq A$, with $a_{\mu(D)} = a_{\mu(C)}$ iff $\mu(D) \subseteq \mu(C)$ iff $D \subseteq C$ (since μ is an order isomorphism).

Then $f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{Q}_{\nu(C)\nu(D)}(x)) = f_{\mathcal{D}}((\lambda(a_{\mu(D)})/\lambda(D^\top))_{D \in \mathcal{D}}) = (\lambda_D(a_{\mu(D)}/D^\top))_{D \in \mathcal{D}}$.

On the other hand, according to Proposition 2.25, $(\mathcal{L}(A_C), \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \lambda_C)$ is the reticulation of A_C and $(\mathcal{L}(A_D), \prod_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_D)$ is the reticulation of A_D , thus, by Proposition 2.23 and

Definition 2.16, we obtain the commutative diagram below and thus the equalities that follow it:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & A_C & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}} & A_D \\ \prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}} \lambda_C \downarrow & & & \downarrow \prod_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_D \\ \mathcal{L}(A_C) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}})} & \mathcal{L}(A_D) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}})(f_C(x)) &= \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}})((\lambda_C(a_{\mu(C)}/C^\top))_{C \in \mathcal{C}}) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}})((\prod_{C \in \mathcal{C}})(a_{\mu(C)}/C^\top)_{C \in \mathcal{C}})) \\ &= (\prod_{D \in \mathcal{D}} \lambda_D)(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}((a_{\mu(C)}/C^\top)_{C \in \mathcal{C}})) = (\lambda_D(a_{\mu(D)}/D^\top))_{D \in \mathcal{D}}, \end{aligned}$$

where again $a_{\mu(D)} = a_{\mu(C)}$ iff $D \subseteq C$.

So $f_{\mathcal{D}}(\mathcal{Q}_{\nu(C)\nu(D)}(x)) = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}})(f_C(x))$ for all $x \in \mathcal{L}(A)_{\nu(C)}$ arbitrary, hence $f_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \mathcal{Q}_{\nu(C)\nu(D)} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}) \circ f_C$, that is we have the commutative diagram below.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{L}(A)_{\nu(C)} & \xrightarrow{f_C} & \mathcal{L}(A_C) \\ \mathcal{Q}_{\nu(C)\nu(D)} \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}) \\ \mathcal{L}(A)_{\nu(D)} & \xrightarrow{f_D} & \mathcal{L}(A_D) \end{array}$$

Let us notice that we are situated in the conditions of Lemma 2.16. By the above, we have the inductive systems $((\mathcal{L}(A)_{\nu(C)})_{C \in \Pi(A)}, (\mathcal{Q}_{\nu(C)\nu(D)})_{C, \mathcal{D} \in \Pi(A), C \leq \mathcal{D}})$ and $((\mathcal{L}(A_C))_{C \in \Pi(A)}, (\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}))_{C, \mathcal{D} \in \Pi(A), C \leq \mathcal{D}})$ in the category of bounded distributive lattices, and their inductive limits are $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}(A)}$ and $\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{A})$, respectively. For each $\mathcal{C} \in \Pi(A)$, we have the bounded lattice isomorphism $f_C : \mathcal{L}(A)_{\nu(C)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(A_C)$, and these isomorphisms verify: for all $\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{D} \in \Pi(A)$ with $\mathcal{C} \leq \mathcal{D}$, $f_{\mathcal{D}} \circ \mathcal{Q}_{\nu(C)\nu(D)} = \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{C}\mathcal{D}}) \circ f_C$.

Therefore, by Lemma 2.16, it follows that $\widetilde{\mathcal{L}(A)}$ and $\mathcal{L}(\widetilde{A})$ are isomorphic bounded lattices (one isomorphism between them being $\varphi : \widetilde{\mathcal{L}(A)} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\widetilde{A})$, for all $\mathcal{C} \in \Pi(A)$, for all $x \in \mathcal{L}(A)_{\nu(C)}$, $\varphi([x]) = [f_C(x)]$, as Lemma 2.16 shows). \square


Example 4.1. In this example we will determine \widetilde{A} for the residuated lattice A in Example 2.2.

Let $B = \text{CoAnn}(A)$. $0^\top = a^\top = \{1\}$, $1^\top = A$, $b^\top = \{c, 1\} = \langle c \rangle$ and $c^\top = \{b, 1\} = \langle b \rangle$, hence $B = \{1^\top, b^\top, c^\top, 0^\top\}$ and $\Pi(A) = P(B) = \{\{1^\top\}, \{b^\top, c^\top\}\}$. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{1^\top\}$ and $\mathcal{D} = \{b^\top, c^\top\}$. $\mathcal{C} \leq \mathcal{D}$. $A_C = A/1^\top = A/A = \{1/A\}$ and $A_D = A/b^\top \times A/c^\top$. As the table of the operation \leftrightarrow shows, $0/b^\top = \{0\}$, $a/b^\top = \{a, b\} = b/b^\top$

and $c/b^\top = 1/b^\top = b^\top$, so $A/b^\top = \{0/b^\top, a/b^\top, 1/b^\top\}$, and $0/c^\top = \{0\}$, $a/c^\top = \{a, c\} = c/c^\top$ and $b/c^\top = 1/c^\top = c^\top$, so $A/c^\top = \{0/c^\top, a/c^\top, 1/c^\top\}$. Therefore $A_{\mathcal{D}} = \{0/b^\top, a/b^\top, 1/b^\top\} \times \{0/c^\top, a/c^\top, 1/c^\top\} = \{0, x_{0a}, x_{01}, x_{a0}, x_{aa}, x_{a1}, x_{10}, x_{1a}, 1\}$, where we denoted: $0 = (0/b^\top, 0/c^\top)$, $1 = (1/b^\top, 1/c^\top)$ and $x_{ij} = (i/b^\top, j/c^\top)$ for all $i, j \in \{0, a, 1\}$ with $(i, j) \notin \{(0, 0), (1, 1)\}$.

$\tilde{A} = \varinjlim_{\mathcal{E} \in \Pi(A)} A_{\mathcal{E}} = A_{\mathcal{D}}$, because, as it is easily seen, $(A_{\mathcal{D}}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{CD}}, \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}}\})$ is the inductive limit of the inductive system $(\{A_{\mathcal{C}}, A_{\mathcal{D}}\}, \{\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{CD}}\})$.

The operations of $A_{\mathcal{D}} = \tilde{A}$ are defined componentwise from those of the quotient lattices A/b^\top and A/c^\top , hence, like in A , $\odot = \wedge$ also in \tilde{A} . As shown by Lemma 2.7, (ii), A/b^\top and A/c^\top share the same lattice structure, namely that of the three-element chain, hence the lattice structure of $A_{\mathcal{D}} = \tilde{A}$ is the following:



Here is the table of the operation \rightarrow in $A_{\mathcal{D}} = \tilde{A}$:

| \rightarrow | 0 | x_{0a} | x_{01} | x_{a0} | x_{aa} | x_{a1} | x_{10} | x_{1a} | 1 |
|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|---|
| 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| x_{0a} | x_{10} | 1 | 1 | x_{10} | 1 | 1 | x_{10} | 1 | 1 |
| x_{01} | x_{10} | x_{1a} | 1 | x_{10} | x_{1a} | 1 | x_{10} | x_{1a} | 1 |
| x_{a0} | x_{01} | x_{01} | x_{01} | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| x_{aa} | 0 | x_{01} | x_{01} | x_{10} | 1 | 1 | x_{10} | 1 | 1 |
| x_{a1} | 0 | x_{0a} | x_{01} | x_{10} | x_{1a} | 1 | x_{10} | x_{1a} | 1 |
| x_{10} | x_{01} | x_{01} | x_{01} | x_{a1} | x_{a1} | x_{a1} | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| x_{1a} | 0 | x_{01} | x_{01} | x_{a0} | x_{a1} | x_{a1} | x_{10} | 1 | 1 |
| 1 | 0 | x_{0a} | x_{01} | x_{a0} | x_{aa} | x_{a1} | x_{10} | x_{1a} | 1 |

We conclude the paper with the conjecture we have already announced.

Definition 4.1. We define *the strongly co-Stone hull of A* to be a strongly co-Stone residuated lattice \bar{A} that satisfies the following universality property: there exists an injective morphism of residuated lattices $\epsilon : A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ and, for any strongly co-Stone residuated lattice A_1 and any morphism of residuated lattices $f : A \rightarrow A_1$ with the property that, for any $X \subseteq A$, we have $f(X^\top) = f(X)^\top$, there exists a unique morphism of residuated lattices $\bar{f} : \bar{A} \rightarrow A_1$ such that $\bar{f} \circ \epsilon = f$.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{\epsilon} & \bar{A} \\ & \searrow f & \downarrow \bar{f} \\ & & A_1 \end{array}$$

One can easily notice that \bar{A} , if it exists, is unique up to a residuated lattice isomorphism.

Conjecture 4.1. $\tilde{A} = \bar{A}$, that is: \tilde{A} is the strongly co-Stone hull of A .

Acknowledgements. I thank Professor Sergiu Rudeanu for his valuable suggestions on how the initial form of this manuscript could be improved. I also thank Professor George Georgescu for offering me this research theme and for his permanent guidance during the elaboration of this article.

References

- [1] R. Balbes and P. Dwinger, *Distributive Lattices*, University of Missouri Press, Columbia, Missouri, 1974.
- [2] L. P. Belluce, Semisimple Algebras of Infinite Valued Logic and Bold Fuzzy Set Theory, *Can. J. Math.* **38** (1986), no. 6, 1356–1379.
- [3] L. P. Belluce, Spectral Spaces and Non-commutative Rings, *Comm. Algebra* **19** (1991), 1855–1865.
- [4] D. Buşneag, *Categories of Algebraic Logic*, Editura Academiei Române, Bucharest, 2006.
- [5] D. Buşneag and D. Piciu, Residuated Lattices of Fractions Relative to a \wedge -closed System, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie (N.S.)* **49 (97)** (2006), 13–24.
- [6] R. Cignoli, Free Algebras in Varieties of Stonean Residuated Lattices, *Soft Computing* **12** (2008), 315–320.
- [7] R. Creţan and A. Jeflea, On the Lattice of Congruence Filters of a Residuated Lattice, *Ann. Univ. Craiova, Math. Comp. Sci. Series* **33** (2006), 174–188.
- [8] B. A. Davey, m -Stone Lattices, *Can. J. Math.* **24** (1972), no. 6, 1027–1032.
- [9] A. Di Nola and G. Georgescu, Projective Limits of MV-spaces, *Order* **13** (1996), 391–398.
- [10] N. Galatos, P. Jipsen, T. Kowalski and H. Ono, *Residuated Lattices: An Algebraic Glimpse at Substructural Logics*, Studies in Logic and The Foundations of Mathematics 151, Elsevier, Amsterdam/Boston/Heidelberg/London/New York/Oxford/Paris/San Diego/San Francisco/Singapore/Sydney/ Tokyo, 2007.
- [11] G. Georgescu, The Reticulation of a Quantale, *Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl.* **40** (1995), no. 7–8, 619–631.
- [12] G. Georgescu, L. Leuştean and C. Mureşan, Maximal Residuated Lattices with Lifting Boolean Center, *Algebra Universalis* **63** (2010), no. 1, 83–99.
- [13] P. Hájek, *Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic*, Trends in Logic–Studia Logica, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht/Boston/London, 1998.
- [14] A. Iorgulescu, Classes of BCK Algebras–Part III, Preprint Series of the Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, preprint No. 3 (2004), 1–37.
- [15] A. Iorgulescu, Classes of BCK Algebras–Part IV, Preprint Series of the Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy, preprint No. 4 (2004), 1–25.
- [16] A. Iorgulescu, *Algebras of Logic as BCK Algebras*, Editura ASE, Bucharest, 2008.
- [17] T. Kowalski and H. Ono, *Residuated Lattices: An Algebraic Glimpse at Logics without Contraction*, manuscript (2000).
- [18] J. Kühn, Boolean and Central Elements and Cantor–Bernstein Theorem in Bounded Pseudo–BCK–algebras, *Journal of Multiple-valued Logic and Soft Computing* **16** (2010), no. 3–5, *Special Issue: Multiple-Valued Logic and Its Algebras*, 387–404.
- [19] L. Leuştean, The Prime and Maximal Spectra and the Reticulation of BL–algebras, *Central European Journal of Mathematics* **1** (2003), no. 3, 382–397.
- [20] L. Leuştean, *Representations of Many-valued Algebras*, Ph. D. Thesis, University of Bucharest (2004), published by Editura Universitară, Bucharest (2010).
- [21] C. Mureşan, The Reticulation of a Residuated Lattice, *Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. Roumanie* **51 (99)** (2008), no. 1, 47–65.
- [22] C. Mureşan, Characterization of the Reticulation of a Residuated Lattice, *Journal of Multiple-valued Logic and Soft Computing* **16** (2010), no. 3–5, *Special Issue: Multiple-Valued Logic and Its Algebras*, 427–447.
- [23] C. Mureşan, Further Functorial Properties of the Reticulation, *Journal of Multiple-valued Logic and Soft Computing* **16** (2010), no. 1–2, 177–187.
- [24] D. Piciu, *Algebras of Fuzzy Logic*, Editura Universitaria, Craiova, 2007.
- [25] H. Simmons, Reticulated Rings, *J. Algebra* **66** (1980), 169–192.
- [26] E. Turunen, *Mathematics behind Fuzzy Logic*, Advances in Soft Computing, Physica–Verlag, Heidelberg, 1999.

(Claudia Mureşan) UNIVERSITY OF BUCHAREST, FACULTY OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ACADEMIEI 14, RO 010014, BUCHAREST, ROMANIA
E-mail address: c.muresan@yahoo.com, cmuresan@fmi.unibuc.ro