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#### Abstract

This work is devoted to study two nonlinear problems of fourth order governed by the p-biharmonic operators in nonresonance cases. In the first problem we establish the nonresonance part of the Fredholm's alternative, the second is a nonresonance problem relative to the first eigensurface for the spectrum of the operator $\Delta_{p}^{2} u+2 \beta . \nabla\left(|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u\right)+|\beta|^{2}|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u$, where $\beta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ under Navier boundary conditions.
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## 1. Introduction

We consider the following problem

$$
\begin{cases}\Delta_{p}^{2} u+2 \beta \cdot \nabla\left(|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u\right)+|\beta|^{2}|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u=f(x, u, \Delta u)+h(x) & \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{1}\\ u=\Delta u=0 & \text { on } \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\Omega$ is a bounded smooth domain in $\mathbb{R}^{N}(N \geq 1), \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, \Delta_{p}^{2}$ denotes the pbiharmonic operator defined by $\Delta_{p}^{2} u=\Delta\left(|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u\right), h \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega),\left(p^{\prime}=\frac{p}{p-1}\right)$, and $m \in M=\left\{m \in L^{\infty}(\Omega) / \operatorname{meas}\{x \in \Omega / m(x)>0\} \neq 0\right\}$.

The investigation of existence of solutions for problems at nonresonance has drawn the attention of many authors, see for example [1, 8, 10, 13].

Recently, Ben Haddouch et al. $[4,5,3]$, showed that the spectrum of problem

$$
\begin{cases}\text { Find } \quad(\beta, \Gamma, u) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*} \times X \backslash\{0\} \quad \text { such that } & \\ \Delta_{p}^{2} u+2 \beta . \nabla\left(|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u\right)+|\beta|^{2}|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u=\Gamma m(x)|u|^{p-2} u & \text { in } \quad \Omega, \\ u=\Delta u=0 & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega,\end{cases}
$$

contains at least one sequence of positive eigensurfaces $\left(\Gamma_{n}^{p}(., m)\right)_{n}$ defined by

$$
\left(\forall \beta \in \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \quad \Gamma_{n}^{p}(\beta, m)=\inf _{K \in \mathcal{B}_{n}} \sup _{u \in K} \int_{\Omega} e^{\beta . x}|\Delta u|^{p} d x
$$

and

$$
\Gamma_{n}^{p}(\beta, m) \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } \quad n \longrightarrow+\infty
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{B}_{n}=\left\{K \subset \mathcal{N}_{\beta}: K \text { is compact, symmetric and } \gamma(K) \geq n\right\}
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{N}_{\beta}=\left\{u \in W^{2, p}(\Omega) \cap W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega) ; \int_{\Omega} m e^{\beta \cdot x}|u|^{p} d x=1\right\} .
$$

[^0]Since $m \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $m \geq 0$, the authors proved that the first eigensurface $\Gamma_{1}^{p}(., m)$ is positive, simple and isolated.

In the present paper, using the topological degree theory applied to compact operators and operators of $(S+)$ type, we show the existence of a nontrivial solution of problem (1).

## 2. Preliminaries

In our further considerations we will use the standard spaces $X=W^{2, p}(\Omega) \cap$ $W_{0}^{1, p}(\Omega), L^{p}(\Omega)$ and $L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, with corresponding norms $\|u\|_{2, p}=\left(\|\Delta u\|_{p}^{p}+\|u\|_{p}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$, $\|u\|_{p}=\left(\int_{\Omega}|u|^{p} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $\|u\|_{\infty}$ respectively.

Recall that for all $f \in L^{p}(\Omega)$, the Poisson equation associated with the Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{cases}-\Delta u=f & \text { in } \quad \Omega  \tag{2}\\ u=0 & \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega\end{cases}
$$

is uniquely solvable in $X$ (cf. [12]). We denote by $\Lambda$ the inverse operator of $-\Delta$ : $X \longrightarrow L^{p}(\Omega)$.
In the following lemma we give some properties of the operator $\Lambda$ (cf. [11]).
Lemma 2.1. (i) (Continuity): There exists a constant $C_{p}>0$ such that: $\|\Lambda f\|_{2, p} \leq$ $C_{p}\|f\|_{p}$ holds for all $\left.p \in\right] 1,+\infty\left[\right.$ and $f \in L^{p}(\Omega)$.
(ii) (Continuity) Given $k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, for all $\left.p \in\right] 1,+\infty\left[\right.$ there exists a constant $C_{p, k}>0$ such that for all $f \in L^{p}(\Omega),\|\Lambda f\|_{W^{k+2, p}} \leq C_{p, k}\|f\|_{W^{k, p}}$.
(iii) (Symmetry) The following identity: $\int_{\Omega} \Lambda u . v d x=\int_{\Omega} u . \Lambda v d x$ holds for all $u \in$ $L^{p}(\Omega)$ and $v \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ with $\left.p \in\right] 1,+\infty[$.
(iv) (Regularity) Given $f \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, we have $\Lambda f \in C^{1, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$ for all $\left.\alpha \in\right] 0,1[$. Moreover, there exists $C_{\alpha}>0$ such that $\|\Lambda f\|_{C^{1, \alpha}} \leq C_{\alpha}\|f\|_{\infty}$.
(v) (Regularity and Hopf-type maximum principle) Let $f \in C(\bar{\Omega})$ and $f \geq 0$ then $w=\Lambda f \in C^{1, \alpha}(\bar{\Omega})$, for all $\left.\alpha \in\right] 0,1\left[\right.$ and $w$ satisfies: $w>0$ in $\Omega, \frac{\partial w}{\partial n}<0$ on $\partial \Omega$.
(vi) (Order preserving property) Given $f, g \in L^{p}(\Omega)$, if $f \leq g$ in $\Omega$ then $\Lambda f<\Lambda g$ in $\Omega$.

Let $N_{p}$ be the Nemytskii operator defined by:

$$
\begin{cases}N_{p}(v)(x)=|v(x)|^{p-2} v(x) & \text { if } \quad v(x) \neq 0  \tag{3}\\ N_{p}(v)(x)=0 & \text { if } \quad v(x)=0\end{cases}
$$

We have $\left(\forall v \in L^{p}(\Omega)\right) \quad\left(\forall w \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)\right) \quad N_{p}(v)=w \Longleftrightarrow v=N_{p^{\prime}}(w)$. The operator $\Lambda$ enables us to transform the problem (1) to the other problem which we will study in the space $L^{p}(\Omega)$.

Lemma 2.2. [4] The problem (1) is equivalent to problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Find } \quad v \in L^{p}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\} \quad \text { such that }  \tag{4}\\
e^{\beta \cdot x} N_{p}(v)=\Lambda\left(e^{\beta . x} f(., \Lambda v, v)\right)+\Lambda\left(e^{\beta . x} h\right) \quad \text { in } \quad L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

Definition 2.1. We say that $u \in X$ is a solution of problem (1) if $v \in L^{p}(\Omega)$, where $v=-\Delta u$ is a solution of the problem (4).

Let us here recall for the reader's convenience the theorem of Leray-Schauder [9].
Let $X$ a Banach space, $\mathcal{O} \subset X$ a non-empty set of $X$ and $f: \overline{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow X$ be a compact mapping. Put
$E_{1}=\{(i d-f, \mathcal{O}, y): \mathcal{O} \subset X$ bounded open, $f: \overline{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow X$ is compact and $y \notin(i d-f)(\partial \mathcal{O})\}$.
Theorem 2.3. There exists a unique function $d: E_{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ called the topological degree, satisfying:
(i) $d(i d, \mathcal{O}, y)=1$ for all $y \in \mathcal{O}$.
(ii) (Homotopy invariance): If $h:[0,1] \times \overline{\mathcal{O}} \rightarrow X$ is a compact mapping and $y$ : $[0,1] \rightarrow X$ a compact mapping such that $y(t) \notin(i d-h(t,)).(\partial \mathcal{O})$ for all $t \in[0,1]$, then $d(i d-h(t,),. \mathcal{O}, y(t))$ is independent of $t \in[0,1]$.
(iii) If $d(i d-F, \mathcal{O}, y) \neq 0$, then $(i d-f)^{-1}\{y\} \neq \emptyset$.
(iv) If $f_{/ \partial \mathcal{O}}=g_{/ \partial \mathcal{O}}$, then $d(i d-f, \mathcal{O}, y)=d(i d-g, \mathcal{O}, y)$.
(v) (Borsuk's theorem): If $\mathcal{O}$ is more symmetric with $0 \in \mathcal{O}$ and $f$ is odd on $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$, then $d(i d-f, \mathcal{O}, 0)$ is an odd integer.

## 3. Fredholm's alternative

We establish the nonresonance part of the Fredholm's alternative for the operator $\Theta_{p, \beta}$ which is defined by $\Theta_{p, \beta} u:=\Delta_{p}^{2} u+2 \beta . \nabla\left(|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u\right)+|\beta|^{2}|\Delta u|^{p-2} \Delta u$ in the case

$$
f(x, u, \Delta u)=\Gamma m(x)|u|^{p-2} u
$$

where $\Gamma$ is not in the spectrum associated with the operator $\Theta_{p, \beta}$ with weight $m(x)$. Problem (4) remain to

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { Find } \quad v \in L^{p}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\} \quad \text { such that }  \tag{5}\\
e^{\beta \cdot x} N_{p}(v)=\Gamma \Lambda\left(e^{\beta \cdot x} m N_{p}(\Lambda v)\right)+\Lambda\left(e^{\beta \cdot x} h\right) \quad \text { in } \quad L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)
\end{array}\right.
$$

we have the following result.
Theorem 3.1. For all $h \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, the problem (5) admits at least one nontrivial solution. Moreover, if $h \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$, then every solution of $(5)$ is in $C(\bar{\Omega})$.

Proof. To prove the existence of nontrivial solution of (5), we use the property of Leray-Schauder's topological degree. Consider the family of operators $\left(T_{t}\right)_{t \in[0,1]}$ defined from $L^{p}(\Omega)$ to $L^{p}(\Omega)$ by

$$
\forall v \in L^{p}(\Omega) \quad \forall t \in[0,1] \quad T_{t}(v)=N_{p^{\prime}}\left(\Gamma e^{-\beta \cdot x} \Lambda\left(m e^{\beta \cdot x} N_{p}(\Lambda v)\right)+e^{-\beta \cdot x} \Lambda\left(t e^{\beta \cdot x} h\right)\right)
$$

Let $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n}$ be a sequence in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ such that $v_{n} \rightharpoonup v$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$, then under assertion (i) of lemma 2.1 and by Sobolev's injection theorem, we have $\Lambda v_{n} \rightharpoonup \Lambda v$ in $X$ and $\Lambda v_{n} \rightarrow \Lambda v$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$. We deduce that for every $t \in[0,1], T_{t}$ is a compact operator.

According to the theorem of Leray-Schauder 2.3, it suffices to prove the following a priori estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists r>0 \quad \text { such that } \quad v-T_{t}(v) \neq 0 \quad \forall v \in \partial B(0, r), \quad \forall t \in[0,1] . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By contradiction, we assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^{*} \exists v_{n} \in \partial B(0, n) \exists t_{n} \in[0,1] \quad \text { such that } \quad T_{t_{n}}\left(v_{n}\right)=v_{n} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, w_{n}=\frac{v_{n}}{\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{p}}$. The sequence $\left(w_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded in $L^{p}(\Omega)$, then there is a subsequence of $\left(w_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$, still denoted by $\left(w_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ such that $w_{n} \rightharpoonup w$
in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ and $\Lambda w_{n} \rightarrow \Lambda w$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$. Dividing (7) by $\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{p}$, we obtain

$$
w_{n}=N_{p^{\prime}}\left(\Gamma e^{-\beta \cdot x} \Lambda\left(m e^{\beta \cdot x} N_{p}\left(\Lambda w_{n}\right)\right)+t_{n} e^{-\beta \cdot x} \frac{\Lambda\left(e^{\beta \cdot x} h\right)}{\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p-1}}\right)
$$

The fact that $N_{p}\left(\Lambda w_{n}\right) \rightarrow N_{p}(\Lambda w)$ and $t_{n} \frac{\Lambda\left(e^{\beta . x} h\right)}{\left\|v_{n}\right\|_{p}^{p-1}} \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$, we get

$$
w_{n} \rightarrow N_{p^{\prime}}\left(\Gamma e^{-\beta \cdot x} \Lambda\left(m e^{\beta \cdot x} N_{p}(\Lambda w)\right)\right) \text { in } L^{p}(\Omega)
$$

We deduce that $w_{n} \rightarrow w$ in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ and $w \not \equiv 0$. In conclusion we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w=N_{p^{\prime}}\left(\Gamma e^{-\beta . x} \Lambda\left(m e^{\beta . x} N_{p}(\Lambda w)\right)\right) \\
w \in L^{p}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

Which is contradicts with $\Gamma$ is not in the spectrum of the operator $\Theta_{P, \beta}$. Consequently the estimate (6) holds and one has

$$
d\left(I-T_{1}, B(0, r), 0\right)=d\left(I-T_{0}, B(0, r), 0\right)
$$

where $d$ is the topologic degree function, $I$ is the identity of $L^{p}(\Omega), B(0, r)$ is the ball of center 0 and radius $r$ and $\partial B(0, r)$ is its boundary. The Theorem 2.3, (v) assures that

$$
d\left(I-T_{0}, B(0, r), 0\right) \neq 0
$$

Thus there exists $v \in B(0, r)$ such that $\left(I-T_{1}\right)(v)=0$, which will prove the existence of a solution of problem (5).

## 4. Non-resonance relative to the first eigensurface of $\Theta_{p, \beta}$

In problem (4), we suppose that the nonlinearity $f$ verifies the following hypothesis

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\exists(a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \text { such that }  \tag{1}\\
\forall(s, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}|f(x, s, t)| \leq a|s|^{p-1}+b|t|^{p-1}+c(x) \quad \text { a.e. } \quad x \in \Omega \\
\frac{a}{\Gamma_{1}^{p}(\beta, 1)}+\frac{b}{\Gamma_{1}^{p}(\beta, 1)^{1 / p}}<1
\end{array}\right.
$$

where $c \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ and $\Gamma_{1}^{p}(\beta, 1)$ is the first eigensurface of the operator $\Theta_{p, \beta}$, with $m \equiv 1$. given in [5] by

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / \Gamma_{1}^{p}(\beta, 1)=\sup _{v \in L^{p}(\Omega) \backslash\{0\}} \frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{\beta \cdot x}|\Lambda v(x)|^{p} d x}{\int_{\Omega} e^{\beta \cdot x}|v|^{p} d x} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 4.1. If the hypothesis $\left(H_{1}\right)$ holds, then the problem (4) has at least one nontrivial solution for all $h \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$.

Proof. To show the existence of a nontrivial solution of (4), we use the properties of monotone type operators (cf. [6]).

We consider the operator

$$
\begin{aligned}
T: L^{p}(\Omega) & \rightarrow L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega) \\
v & \mapsto e^{\beta \cdot x} N_{p}(v)-\Lambda\left(e^{\beta \cdot x} f(x, \Lambda v, v)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

The operator $N_{p}$ is of $(\mathrm{S}+)$ type i.e: If $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
v_{n} \rightharpoonup v \operatorname{in} L^{p}(\Omega) \\
\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty}<N_{p}\left(v_{n}\right), v_{n}-v>\leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

then $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ strongly in $L^{p}(\Omega)$. Moreover

$$
|f(x, s, t)| \leq a|s|^{p-1}+b|t|^{p-1}+c(x) \quad \text { a.e. } \quad x \in \Omega
$$

implies

$$
\|f(., \Lambda v, v)\|_{p^{\prime}} \leq a\|\Lambda v\|_{p}^{p-1}+b\|v\|_{p}^{p-1}+\|c\|_{p^{\prime}} .
$$

Then the operator $v \rightarrow f(., \Lambda v, v)$ is bounded, hence the operator $v \rightarrow \Lambda f(., \Lambda v, v)$ is compact. Thus we deduce that $T$ is of $(S+)$ type.

Now we show that $T$ is coercive. Using the Hölder inequality and relation (8), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\langle T v, v\rangle}{\|v\|_{p}} & =\frac{\int_{\Omega} e^{\beta \cdot x}|v|^{p} d x}{\|v\|_{p}}-\frac{\int_{\Omega} f(x, \Lambda v(x), v(x)) e^{\beta \cdot x} \Lambda v(x) d x}{\|v\|_{p}} \\
& \geq m_{\beta}\|v\|_{p}^{p-1}-\frac{m_{\beta}\|f\|_{p^{\prime}}\|\Lambda v(x)\|_{p}}{\|v\|_{p}} \\
& \geq m_{\beta}\left[\|v\|_{p}^{p-1}-a \frac{\|\Lambda v\|_{p}^{p}}{\|v\|_{p}^{p}}\|v\|_{p}^{p-1}-b \frac{\|\Lambda v\|_{p}}{\|v\|_{p}}\|v\|_{p}^{p-1}-\|c\|_{p^{\prime}} \frac{\|\Lambda v\|_{p}}{\|v\|_{p}}\right] \\
& \geq m_{\beta}\|v\|_{p}^{p-1}\left(1-\frac{a}{\Gamma_{1}^{p}(\beta, 1)}-\frac{b}{\Gamma_{1}^{p}(\beta, 1)^{1 / p}}\right)-\frac{m_{\beta}\|c\|_{p^{\prime}}}{\Gamma_{1}^{p}(\beta, 1)^{1 / p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

where $m_{\beta}=\sup _{x \in \bar{\Omega}} e^{\beta . x}$. Since $\frac{a}{\Gamma_{1}^{p}(\beta, 1)}+\frac{b}{\Gamma_{1}^{p}(\beta, 1)^{1 / p}}<1$, we have that $T$ is coercive, hence it is surjective, which proves the existence of a solution of problem (4).
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