

# Uniqueness of entire functions whose difference polynomials sharing a polynomial of certain degree with finite weight

ABHIJIT BANERJEE AND SUJOY MAJUMDER

---

**ABSTRACT.** The purpose of the paper is to study the possible uniqueness relation of entire functions when the difference polynomial generated by them sharing a non zero polynomial of certain degree. The result obtained in the paper will improve and generalize a number of recent results in a compact and convenient way.

*2010 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 30D35.

*Key words and phrases.* Entire function, difference polynomial, uniqueness, weighted sharing.

---

## 1. Introduction, Definitions and Results

In this paper by meromorphic functions we shall always mean meromorphic functions in the complex plane.

Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two non-constant meromorphic functions and let  $a \in \mathbb{C}$ . We say that  $f$  and  $g$  share  $a$  CM, provided that  $f - a$  and  $g - a$  have the same zeros with the same multiplicities. Similarly, we say that  $f$  and  $g$  share  $a$  IM, provided that  $f - a$  and  $g - a$  have the same zeros ignoring multiplicities. In addition we say that  $f$  and  $g$  share  $\infty$  CM, if  $1/f$  and  $1/g$  share  $0$  CM, and we say that  $f$  and  $g$  share  $\infty$  IM, if  $1/f$  and  $1/g$  share  $0$  IM.

We adopt the standard notations of value distribution theory (see [6]). For a non-constant meromorphic function  $f$ , we denote by  $T(r, f)$  the Nevanlinna characteristic of  $f$  and by  $S(r, f)$  any quantity satisfying  $S(r, f) = o\{T(r, f)\}$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$  possibly outside a set of finite linear measure. We denote by  $T(r)$  the maximum of  $T(r, f)$  and  $T(r, g)$ . The notation  $S(r)$  denotes any quantity satisfying  $S(r) = o(T(r))$  as  $r \rightarrow \infty$ , outside of a possible exceptional set of finite linear measure. A meromorphic function  $a(z)$  is called a small function with respect to  $f$ , provided that  $T(r, a) = S(r, f)$ . The order of  $f$  is defined by

$$\sigma(f) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two non-constant meromorphic functions. Let  $a(z)$  be a small function with respect to  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$ . We say that  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  share  $a(z)$  CM (counting multiplicities) if  $f(z) - a(z)$  and  $g(z) - a(z)$  have the same zeros with the same multiplicities and we say that  $f(z)$ ,  $g(z)$  share  $a(z)$  IM (ignoring multiplicities) if we do not consider the multiplicities.

---

We say that a finite value  $z_0$  is called a fixed point of  $f$  if  $f(z_0) = z_0$  or  $z_0$  is a zero of  $f(z) - z$ .

For the sake of simplicity we also use the notation

$$m^* := \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } m = 0 \\ m, & \text{if } m \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

Let  $f(z)$  be a transcendental meromorphic function,  $n$  be a positive integer. During the last few decades many authors investigated the value distributions of  $f^n f'$ . Specially in 1959, W.K. Hayman (see [5], Corollary of Theorem 9) proved the following theorem.

**Theorem A.** [5] Let  $f$  be a transcendental meromorphic function and  $n(\geq 3)$  is an integer. Then  $f^n f' = 1$  has infinitely many solutions.

The case  $n = 2$  was settled by Mues [14] in 1979. Bergweiler and Eremenko [1] showed that  $f f' - 1$  has infinitely many zeros.

For an analog of the above results Laine and Yang investigated the value distribution of difference products of entire functions in the following manner.

**Theorem B.** [10] Let  $f$  be a transcendental entire function of finite order, and  $c$  be a non-zero complex constant. Then, for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $f^n(z)f(z+c)$  assumes every non-zero value  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  infinitely often.

Afterwards, Liu and Yang improved Theorem B and obtained the next result.

**Theorem C.** [13] Let  $f$  be a transcendental entire function of finite order, and  $c$  be a non-zero complex constant. Then, for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $f^n(z)f(z+c) - p(z)$  has infinitely many zeros, where  $p(z)$  is a non-zero polynomial.

Next we recall the uniqueness result corresponding to Theorem A, obtained by Yang and Hua [17] which may be considered a gateway to a new research in the direction of sharing values of differential polynomials.

**Theorem D.** [13] Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two non-constant entire functions,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n \geq 6$ . If  $f^n f'$  and  $g^n g'$  share 1 CM, then either  $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$ ,  $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$ , where  $c_1, c_2, c \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying  $4(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -1$ , or  $f \equiv tg$  for a constant  $t$  such that  $t^{n+1} = 1$ .

In 2001, Fang and Hong studied the uniqueness of differential polynomials of the form  $f^n(f-1)f'$  and proved the following uniqueness result.

**Theorem E.** [4] Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two transcendental entire functions, and let  $n \geq 11$  be a positive integer. If  $f^n(f-1)f'$  and  $g^n(g-1)g'$  share the value 1 CM, then  $f = g$ .

In 2004, Lin and Yi extended the above result in view of the fixed point and they proved the following.

**Theorem F.** [12] Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two transcendental entire functions, and let  $n \geq 7$  be a positive integer. If  $f^n(f-1)f'$  and  $g^n(g-1)g'$  share  $z$  CM, then  $f = g$ .

In 2010, Zhang got a analogue result in difference.

**Theorem G.** [19] Let  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$  be two transcendental entire functions of finite order and  $\alpha(z)$  be a small function with respect to both  $f(z)$  and  $g(z)$ . Suppose that  $c$  is a nonzero complex constant and  $n \geq 7$  is an integer. If  $f(z)^n(f(z)-1)f(z+c)$  and  $g(z)^n(g(z)-1)g(z+c)$  share  $\alpha(z)$  CM, then  $f(z) \equiv g(z)$ .

In 2010, Qi, Yang and Liu obtained the difference counterpart of Theorem D by proving the following theorem.

**Theorem H.** [15] Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two transcendental entire functions of finite order, and  $c$  be a nonzero complex constant; let  $n \geq 6$  be an integer. If  $f^n f(z + c)$  and  $g^n g(z + c)$  share  $z$  CM, then  $f \equiv t_1 g$  for a constant  $t_1$  that satisfies  $t_1^{n+1} = 1$ .

**Theorem I.** [15] Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two transcendental entire functions of finite order, and  $c$  be a nonzero complex constant; let  $n \geq 6$  be an integer. If  $f^n f(z + c)$  and  $g^n g(z + c)$  share  $1$  CM, then  $fg \equiv t_2$  or  $f \equiv t_3 g$  for some constants  $t_2$  and  $t_3$  that satisfy  $t_3^{n+1} = 1$ .

X.M. Li et. al. [11] [Theorem 1.1] replaced the fixed point sharing in the above two theorems to sharing a polynomial with  $deg < \frac{n+1}{2}$ .

So we see that there are many generalization in terms of difference operator. The purpose of this paper is to study the uniqueness problem for more general difference polynomials namely  $f^n P(f)f(z+c)$  and  $g^n P(g)g(z+c)$  sharing a non-zero polynomial so that improved version of all the above results can be unified under a single result. We also relax the nature of sharing with the notion of weighted sharing introduced in [8]- [9]. The following theorem is the main result of the paper.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two transcendental entire functions of finite order,  $c$  be a non-zero complex constant and let  $p(z)$  be a nonzero polynomial with  $deg(p) \leq n - 1$ ,  $n(\geq 1)$ ,  $m^*(\geq 0)$  be two integers such that  $n > m^* + 5$ . Let  $P(\omega) = a_m \omega^m + a_{m-1} \omega^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 \omega + a_0$  be a nonzero polynomial. If  $f^n P(f)f(z + c) - p$  and  $g^n P(g)g(z + c) - p$  share  $(0, 2)$ , then

- (I) when  $P(\omega) = a_m \omega^m + a_{m-1} \omega^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 \omega + a_0$  is a nonzero polynomial, one of the following three cases holds:
  - (I1)  $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$  for a constant  $t$  such that  $t^d = 1$ , where  $d = GCD(n + m, \dots, n + m - i, \dots, n)$ ,  $a_{m-i} \neq 0$  for some  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,
  - (I2)  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the algebraic equation  $R(f, g) \equiv 0$ , where  $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1^n (a_m \omega_1^m + a_{m-1} \omega_1^{m-1} + \dots + a_0) - \omega_2^n (a_m \omega_2^m + a_{m-1} \omega_2^{m-1} + \dots + a_0)$ ,
  - (I3)  $P(\omega)$  reduces to a nonzero monomial, namely  $P(\omega) = a_i \omega^i \neq 0$ , for  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ , if  $p(z)$  is a nonzero constant  $b$ , then  $f(z) = e^{\alpha(z)}$ ,  $g = e^{\beta(z)}$ , where  $\alpha(z)$ ,  $\beta(z)$  are two non-constant polynomials such that  $\alpha + \beta \equiv d \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $a_i^2 e^{(n+i+1)d} = b^2$ ;
- (II) when  $P(\omega) = \omega^m - 1$ , then  $f \equiv tg$  for some constant  $t$  such that  $t^m = 1$ ;
- (III) when  $P(\omega) = (\omega - 1)^m (m \geq 2)$ , one of the following two cases holds:
  - (III1)  $f(z) \equiv g(z)$ ,
  - (III2)  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the algebraic equation  $R(f, g) \equiv 0$ , where  $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1^n (\omega_1 - 1)^m \omega_1(z + c) - \omega_2^n (\omega_2 - 1)^m \omega_2(z + c)$ ;
- (IV) when  $P(\omega) \equiv c_0$ , one of the following two cases holds:
  - (IV1)  $f \equiv tg$  for some constant  $t$  such that  $t^{n+1} = 1$ ,
  - (IV2)  $f(z) = e^{\alpha(z)}$ ,  $g = e^{\beta(z)}$ , where  $\alpha(z)$ ,  $\beta(z)$  are two non-constant polynomials such that  $\alpha + \beta \equiv d \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $c_0^2 e^{(n+1)d} = b^2$ .

We now explain following definitions and notations which are used in the paper.

**Definition 1.** [7] Let  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ . For a positive integer  $p$  we denote by  $N(r, a; f | \leq p)$  the counting function of those  $a$ -points of  $f$  (counted with multiplicities) whose

multiplicities are not greater than  $p$ . By  $\overline{N}(r, a; f | \leq p)$  we denote the corresponding reduced counting function.

In an analogous manner we can define  $N(r, a; f | \geq p)$  and  $\overline{N}(r, a; f | \geq p)$ .

**Definition 2.** [9] Let  $k$  be a positive integer or infinity. We denote by  $N_k(r, a; f)$  the counting function of  $a$ -points of  $f$ , where an  $a$ -point of multiplicity  $m$  is counted  $m$  times if  $m \leq k$  and  $k$  times if  $m > k$ . Then

$$N_k(r, a; f) = \overline{N}(r, a; f) + \overline{N}(r, a; f | \geq 2) + \dots + \overline{N}(r, a; f | \geq k).$$

Clearly  $N_1(r, a; f) = \overline{N}(r, a; f)$ .

**Definition 3.** [8, 9] Let  $k$  be a nonnegative integer or infinity. For  $a \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  we denote by  $E_k(a; f)$  the set of all  $a$ -points of  $f$  where an  $a$ -point of multiplicity  $m$  is counted  $m$  times if  $m \leq k$  and  $k+1$  times if  $m > k$ . If  $E_k(a; f) = E_k(a; g)$ , we say that  $f, g$  share the value  $a$  with weight  $k$ .

The definition implies that if  $f, g$  share a value  $a$  with weight  $k$ , then  $z_0$  is an  $a$ -point of  $f$  with multiplicity  $m(\leq k)$  if and only if it is an  $a$ -point of  $g$  with multiplicity  $m(\leq k)$  and  $z_0$  is an  $a$ -point of  $f$  with multiplicity  $m(> k)$  if and only if it is an  $a$ -point of  $g$  with multiplicity  $n(> k)$ , where  $m$  is not necessarily equal to  $n$ .

We write  $f, g$  share  $(a, k)$  to mean that  $f, g$  share the value  $a$  with weight  $k$ . Clearly if  $f, g$  share  $(a, k)$  then  $f, g$  share  $(a, p)$  for any integer  $p, 0 \leq p < k$ . Also we note that  $f, g$  share a value  $a$  IM or CM if and only if  $f, g$  share  $(a, 0)$  or  $(a, \infty)$  respectively.

**2. Lemmas**

**Lemma 1.** [16] Let  $f$  be a non-constant meromorphic function and let  $a_n(z)(\neq 0), a_{n-1}(z), \dots, a_0(z)$  be meromorphic functions such that  $T(r, a_i(z)) = S(r, f)$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Then

$$T(r, a_n f^n + a_{n-1} f^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 f + a_0) = nT(r, f) + S(r, f).$$

**Lemma 2.** [2] Let  $f(z)$  be a meromorphic function of finite order  $\sigma$ , and let  $c$  be a fixed nonzero complex constant. Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$m(r, \frac{f(z+c)}{f(z)}) + m(r, \frac{f(z)}{f(z+c)}) = O(r^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}).$$

**Lemma 3.** [2] Let  $f$  be a meromorphic function of finite order  $\sigma, c \neq 0$  be fixed. Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$T(r, f(z+c)) = T(r, f) + O(r^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}) + O(\log r).$$

**Lemma 4.** Let  $f$  be an entire function of finite order  $\sigma, c$  be a fixed nonzero complex constant and let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $P(\omega)$  be defined as in Theorem 1. Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we have

$$T(r, f^n P(f)f(z+c)) = T(r, f^{n+1} P(f)) + O(r^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}).$$

*Proof.* By Lemma 2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f^n P(f)f(z+c)) &= m(r, f^n P(f)f(z+c)) \\ &\leq m(r, f^n P(f)f) + m(r, \frac{f(z+c)}{f(z)}) \\ &\leq m(r, f^{n+1}P(f)) + O(r^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}) \\ &= T(r, f^{n+1}P(f)) + O(r^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, f^{n+1}P(f)) &= m(r, f^n P(f)f) \\ &\leq m(r, f^n P(f)f(z+c)) + m(r, \frac{f(z)}{f(z+c)}) \\ &\leq m(r, f^n P(f)f(z+c)) + O(r^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}) \\ &\leq T(r, f^n P(f)f(z+c)) + O(r^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $T(r, f^n P(f)f(z+c)) = T(r, f^{n+1}P(f)) + O(r^{\sigma-1+\varepsilon})$ . □

**Remark 1.** Under the condition of Lemma 4, by Lemma 1 we have  $S(r, f^n P(f)f(z+c)) = S(r, f)$ .

**Lemma 5.** [3] Let  $f$  be a non-constant meromorphic function of finite order and  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} N(r, 0; f(z+c)) &\leq N(r, 0; f(z)) + S(r, f), \quad N(r, \infty; f(z+c)) \leq N(r, \infty; f) + S(r, f), \\ \bar{N}(r, 0; f(z+c)) &\leq \bar{N}(r, 0; f(z)) + S(r, f), \quad \bar{N}(r, \infty; f(z+c)) \leq \bar{N}(r, \infty; f) + S(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 6.** Let  $f$  be a transcendental entire function of finite order  $\sigma$ ,  $c$  be a fixed nonzero complex constant,  $n(\geq 1)$ ,  $m^*(\geq 0)$  be two integers and let  $a(z)(\neq 0, \infty)$  be a small function of  $f$ . If  $n > 1$ , then  $f^n P(f)f(z+c) - a(z)$  has infinitely many zeros.

*Proof.* Let  $\Phi = f^n P(f)f(z+c)$ . Now in view of Lemma 5 and the second theorem for small functions (see [18]) we get

$$\begin{aligned} T(r, \Phi) &\leq \bar{N}(r, 0; \Phi) + \bar{N}(r, \infty; \Phi) + \bar{N}(r, a(z); \Phi) + (\varepsilon + o(1)) T(r, f) \\ &\leq \bar{N}(r, 0; f^n P(f)) + \bar{N}(r, 0; f(z+c)) + \bar{N}(r, a(z); \Phi) + (\varepsilon + o(1)) T(r, f) \\ &\leq 2\bar{N}(r, 0; f) + \bar{N}(r, 0; P(f)) + \bar{N}(r, a(z); \Phi) + (\varepsilon + o(1)) T(r, f) \\ &\leq (2 + m^*) T(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, a(z); \Phi) + (\varepsilon + o(1)) T(r, f), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ .

From Lemmas 1 and 4 we get

$$(n + m^* + 1) T(r, f) \leq (2 + m^*) T(r, f) + \bar{N}(r, a(z); \Phi) + (\varepsilon + o(1)) T(r, f).$$

Take  $\varepsilon < 1$ . Since  $n > 1$  from above one can easily say that  $\Phi - a(z)$  has infinitely many zeros.

This completes the Lemma. □

**Lemma 7.** [9] Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two non-constant meromorphic functions sharing (1, 2). Then one of the following holds:

- (i)  $T(r, f) \leq N_2(r, 0; f) + N_2(r, 0; g) + N_2(r, \infty; f) + N_2(r, \infty; g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g)$ ,
- (ii)  $fg \equiv 1$ ,

(iii)  $f \equiv g$ .

**Lemma 8.** [Hadamard Factorization Theorem ] Let  $f$  be an entire function of finite order  $\rho$  with zeros  $a_1, a_2, \dots$ , each zeros is counted as often as its multiplicity. Then  $f$  can be expressed in the form

$$f(z) = Q(z)e^{\alpha(z)},$$

where  $\alpha(z)$  is a polynomial of degree not exceeding  $[\rho]$  and  $Q(z)$  is the canonical product formed with the zeros of  $f$ .

**Lemma 9.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two transcendental entire functions of finite order,  $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $p(z)$  be a nonzero polynomial such that  $\deg(p) \leq n-1$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $P(\omega)$  be a nonzero polynomial defined as in Theorem 1. Suppose

$$f^n P(f) f(z+c) g^n P(g) g(z+c) \equiv p^2.$$

Then  $P(\omega)$  reduces to a nonzero monomial, namely  $P(\omega) = a_i \omega^i \neq 0$ , for  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ . If  $p(z) = b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ , then  $f(z) = e^{\alpha(z)}$ ,  $g = e^{\beta(z)}$ , where  $\alpha(z)$ ,  $\beta(z)$  are two non-constant polynomials such that  $\alpha + \beta \equiv d \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $a_i^2 e^{(n+i+1)d} = b^2$ .

*Proof.* Suppose

$$f^n P(f) f(z+c) g^n P(g) g(z+c) \equiv p^2. \quad (2.1)$$

We consider the following cases:

**Case 1:** Let  $\deg(p(z)) = l (\geq 1)$ .

From the assumption that  $f$  and  $g$  are two transcendental entire functions, we deduce by (2.1) that  $N(r, 0; f^n P(f)) = O(\log r)$  and  $N(r, 0; g^n P(g)) = O(\log r)$ .

First we suppose that  $P(\omega)$  is not a nonzero monomial. For the sake of simplicity let  $P(\omega) = \omega - a$  where  $a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Clearly  $\Theta(0; f) + \Theta(a; f) = 2$ , which is impossible for an entire function. Thus  $P(\omega)$  reduces to a nonzero monomial, namely  $P(\omega) = a_i \omega^i \neq 0$  for some  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$  and so (2.1) reduces to

$$a_i^2 f^{n+i} f(z+c) g^{n+i} g(z+c) \equiv p^2. \quad (2.2)$$

From (2.2) it follows that  $N(r, 0; f) = O(\log r)$  and  $N(r, 0; g) = O(\log r)$ . Now by Lemma 8 we obtain that  $f = h_1 e^{\alpha_1}$  and  $g = h_2 e^{\beta_1}$ , where  $h_1, h_2$  are two nonzero polynomials and  $\alpha_1$  and  $\beta_1$  are two non-constant polynomials.

By virtue of the polynomial  $p(z)$ , from (2.2) we arrive at a contradiction.

**Case 2:** Let  $p(z) = b \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ .

Then from (2.1) we have

$$f^n P(f) f(z+c) g^n P(g) g(z+c) \equiv b^2. \quad (2.3)$$

Now from the assumption that  $f$  and  $g$  are two non-constant entire functions, we deduce by (2.3) that  $f^n P(f) \neq 0$  and  $g^n P(g) \neq 0$ . By Picard's theorem, we claim that  $P(\omega) = a_i \omega^i \neq 0$  for  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ , otherwise the Picard's exception values are atleast three, which is a contradiction. Then (2.3) reduces to

$$a_i^2 f^{n+i} f(z+c) g^{n+i} g(z+c) \equiv b^2. \quad (2.4)$$

Hence by Lemma 8 we obtain that

$$f = e^\alpha, \quad g = e^\beta, \quad (2.5)$$

where  $\alpha(z), \beta(z)$  are two non-constant polynomials.  
 Now from (2.4) and (2.5) we obtain

$$(n+i)(\alpha(z) + \beta(z)) + \alpha(z+c) + \beta(z+c) \equiv d_1,$$

where  $d_1 \in \mathbb{C}$ , i.e.,

$$(n+i)(\alpha'(z) + \beta'(z)) + \alpha'(z+c) + \beta'(z+c) \equiv 0. \tag{2.6}$$

Let  $\gamma(z) = \alpha'(z) + \beta'(z)$ . Then from (2.6) we have

$$(n+i)\gamma(z) + \gamma(z+c) \equiv 0. \tag{2.7}$$

We assert that  $\gamma(z) \equiv 0$ . It not suppose  $\gamma(z) \not\equiv 0$ . Note that if  $\gamma(z) \equiv d_2 \in \mathbb{C}$ , from (2.7) we must have  $d_2 = 0$ . Suppose that  $\deg(\gamma) \geq 1$ . Let  $\gamma(z) = \sum_{i=1}^m b_i z^i$ , where  $b_m \neq 0$ . Therefore the co-efficient of  $z^m$  in  $(n+i)\gamma(z) + \gamma(z+c)$  is  $(n+1+i)b_m \neq 0$ . Thus we arrive at a contradiction from (2.7). Hence  $\gamma(z) \equiv 0$ , i.e.,  $\alpha + \beta \equiv d \in \mathbb{C}$ . Also from (2.4) we have  $a_i^2 e^{(n+i+1)d} = b^2$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 10.** Let  $f$  and  $g$  be two transcendental entire functions of finite order,  $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $p(z)$  be a nonzero polynomial such that  $\deg(p) \leq n-1$ , where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $P(\omega)$  be defined as in Theorem 1 with at least two of  $a_i, i = 0, 1, \dots, m$  are nonzero. Then

$$f^n P(f) f(z+c) g^n P(g) g(z+c) \not\equiv p^2.$$

*Proof.* Proof of the Lemma follows from Lemma 9.  $\square$

**Lemma 11.** Let  $f, g$  be two transcendental entire functions of finite order,  $c \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n > 1$ . If

$$f^n P(f) f(z+c) \equiv g^n P(g) g(z+c),$$

where  $P(\omega)$  is defined as in Theorem 1 then

(I) when  $P(\omega) = a_m \omega^m + a_{m-1} \omega^{m-1} + \dots + a_1 \omega + a_0$ , one of the following two cases holds:

(I1)  $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$  for a constant  $t$  such that  $t^d = 1$ , where  $d = GCD(n+m, \dots, n+m-i, \dots, n)$ ,  $a_{m-i} \neq 0$  for some  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,

(I2)  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the algebraic equation  $R(f, g) \equiv 0$ , where  $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1^n P(\omega_1) \omega_1(z+c) - \omega_2^n P(\omega_2) \omega_2(z+c)$ ;

(II) when  $P(\omega) = \omega^m - 1$ , then  $f \equiv tg$  for some constant  $t$  such that  $t^m = 1$ ;

(III) when  $P(\omega) = (\omega - 1)^m (m \geq 2)$ , one of the following two cases holds:

(III1)  $f(z) \equiv g(z)$ ,

(III2)  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the algebraic equation  $R(f, g) \equiv 0$ , where  $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1^n (\omega_1 - 1)^m \omega_1(z+c) - \omega_2^n (\omega_2 - 1)^m \omega_2(z+c)$ ;

(IV) when  $P(\omega) \equiv c_0$ , then  $f \equiv tg$  for some constant  $t$  such that  $t^{n+1} = 1$ .

*Proof.* Suppose

$$f^n P(f) f(z+c) \equiv g^n P(g) g(z+c). \tag{2.8}$$

Since  $g$  is transcendental entire function, hence  $g(z), g(z+c) \not\equiv 0$ .

We consider following two cases.

**Case 1.**  $P(\omega) \not\equiv c_0$ .

Let  $h = \frac{f}{g}$ . If  $h$  is a constant, by putting  $f = hg$  in (2.8) we get

$a_m g^m (h^{n+m+1} - 1) + a_{m-1} g^{m-1} (h^{n+m} - 1) + \dots + a_1 g (h^{n+2} - 1) + a_0 (h^{n+1} - 1) \equiv 0$ , which implies that  $h^d = 1$ , where  $d = GCD(n + m + 1, \dots, n + m + 1 - i, \dots, n + 1)$ ,  $a_{m-i} \neq 0$  for some  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ . Thus  $f \equiv tg$  for a constant  $t$  such that  $t^d = 1$ , where  $d = GCD(n + m + 1, \dots, n + m + 1 - i, \dots, n + 1)$ ,  $a_{m-i} \neq 0$  for some  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ .

If  $h$  is not a constant, then we know by (2.8) that  $f$  and  $g$  satisfy the algebraic equation  $R(f, g) = 0$ , where  $R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1^n P(\omega_1) \omega_1(z + c) - \omega_2^n P(\omega_2) \omega_2(z + c)$ .

We now discuss the following Subcases.

**Subcase 1.**  $P(\omega) = \omega^m - 1$ .

Then from (2.8) we have

$$f^n (f^m - 1) f(z + c) \equiv g^n (g^m - 1) g(z + c). \quad (2.9)$$

Let  $h = \frac{f}{g}$ . Clearly from (2.9) we get

$$g^m [h^{n+m} h(z + c) - 1] \equiv h^n h(z + c) - 1. \quad (2.10)$$

First we suppose that  $h$  is non-constant. We assert that  $h^{n+m} h(z + c)$  is non-constant. If not let  $h^{n+m} h(z + c) \equiv c_1 \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Then we have

$$h^{n+m} \equiv \frac{c_1}{h(z + c)}.$$

Now by Lemmas 1 and 3 we get

$$(n + m) T(r, h) \leq T(r, h) + S(r, h),$$

which contradicts with  $n > m + 5$ . Thus from (2.10) we have

$$g^m \equiv \frac{h^n h(z + c) - 1}{h^{n+m} h(z + c) - 1}. \quad (2.11)$$

Let  $z_0$  be a zero of  $h^{n+m} h(z + c) - 1$ . Since  $g$  is an entire function, it follows that  $z_0$  is also a zero of  $h^n h(z + c) - 1$ . Consequently  $z_0$  is a zero of  $h^m - 1$  and so

$$\overline{N}(r, 0; h^{n+m} h(z + c)) \leq \overline{N}(r, 0; h^m) \leq m T(r, h) + O(1).$$

So in view of Lemmas 1, 4, 5 and the second fundamental theorem we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n + m + 1) T(r, h) &= T(r, h^{n+m} h(z + c)) + S(r, h) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r, 0; h^{n+m} h(z + c)) + \overline{N}(r, 1; h^{n+m} h(z + c)) + S(r, h) \\ &\leq 2 N(r, 0; h) + m T(r, h) + S(r, h) \\ &\leq (m + 2) T(r, h) + S(r, h), \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts with  $n > 1$ .

Hence  $h$  is a constant. Since  $g$  is transcendental entire function, from (2.10) we have

$$h^{n+m} h(z + c) - 1 \equiv 0 \iff h^n h(z + c) - 1 \equiv 0$$

and so  $h^m = 1$ . Thus  $f(z) \equiv tg(z)$  for a constant  $t$  such that  $t^m = 1$ .

**Subcase 2.** Let  $P(\omega) = (\omega - 1)^m$ .

Then from (2.8) we have

$$f^n (f - 1)^m f(z + c) \equiv g^n (g - 1)^m g(z + c). \quad (2.12)$$



Let  $h = \frac{f}{g}$ . If  $m = 1$ , then the result follows from Subcase 1.

For  $m \geq 2$ : First we suppose that  $h$  is non-constant:

Then from (2.12) we can say that  $f$  and  $g$  satisfying the algebraic equation  $R(f, g) = 0$ , where

$$R(\omega_1, \omega_2) = \omega_1^n(\omega_1 - 1)^m \omega_1(z + c) - \omega_2^n(\omega_2 - 1)^m \omega_2(z + c).$$

Next we suppose that  $h$  is a constant:

Then from (2.12) we get

$$f^n f(z + c) \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i {}^m C_{m-i} f^{m-i} \equiv g^n g(z + c) \sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i {}^m C_{m-i} g^{m-i}. \tag{2.13}$$

Now substituting  $f = gh$  in (2.13) we get

$$\sum_{i=0}^m (-1)^i {}^m C_{m-i} g^{m-i} (h^{n+m+1-i} - 1) \equiv 0,$$

which implies that  $h = 1$ . Hence  $f \equiv g$ .

**Case 2.**  $P(\omega) \equiv c_0$ .

Let  $h = \frac{f}{g}$ . Then from (2.8) we have

$$h^n(z) \equiv \frac{1}{h(z + c)}. \tag{2.14}$$

Thus from Lemmas 1 and 3 we get

$$n T(r, h) = T(r, h(z + c)) + O(1) = T(r, h) + S(r, h),$$

which is a contradiction since  $n \geq 2$ . Hence  $h$  must be a constant, which implies that  $h^{n+1} = 1$ , thus  $f = tg$  and  $t^{n+1} = 1$ .

This completes the the proof. □

### 3. Proofs of the Theorem

**Proof of Theorem 1.** Let  $F = \frac{f^n P(f)f(z+c)}{p}$  and  $G = \frac{g^n P(g)g(z+c)}{p}$ . Then  $F$  and  $G$  share (1, 2) except the zeros of  $p(z)$ . Now applying Lemma 7 we see that one of the following three cases holds.

**Case 1.** Suppose

$$T(r, f) \leq N_2(r, 0; F) + N_2(r, 0; G) + S(r, F) + S(r, G).$$

Now by applying Lemmas 1 and 7 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 T(r, F) &\leq N_2(r, 0; F) + N_2(r, 0; G) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &= N_2(r, 0; f^n P(f)f(z+c)) + N_2(r, 0; g^n P(g)g(z+c)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq N_2(r, 0; f^n P(f)) + N_2(r, 0; f(z+c)) + N_2(r, 0; g^n P(g)) + N_2(r, 0; g(z+c)) \\
 &\quad + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq 2 N(r, 0; f) + N(r, 0; P(f)) + N(r, 0; f(z+c)) + 2 N(r, 0; g) + N(r, 0; P(g)) \\
 &\quad + N(r, 0; g(z+c)) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq (2 + m^*) T(r, f) + N(r, 0; f) + (2 + m^*) T(r, g) + N(r, 0; g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq (3 + m^*) T(r, f) + (3 + m^*) T(r, g) + S(r, f) + S(r, g) \\
 &\leq (6 + 2m^*) T(r) + S(r)
 \end{aligned}$$

From Lemmas 1 and 4 we have

$$(n + m^* + 1) T(r, f) \leq (6 + 2m^*) T(r) + S(r). \quad (3.1)$$

Similarly we have

$$(n + m^* + 1) T(r, g) \leq (6 + 2m^*) T(r) + S(r). \quad (3.2)$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.2) we get

$$(n + m^* + 1) T(r) \leq (6 + 2m^*) T(r) + S(r),$$

which contradicts with  $n > 5 + m^*$ .

**Case 2.**  $F \equiv G$ .

Then we have

$$f^n P(f)f(z+c) \equiv g^n P(g)g(z+c)$$

and so the result follows from Lemma 11.

**Case 3.**  $FG \equiv 1$ .

Then we have

$$f^n P(f)f(z+c)g^n P(g)g(z+c) \equiv p^2$$

and so the result follows from Lemma 9.

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Acknowledgement.** This research work is supported by the Council Of Scientific and Industrial Research, Extramural Research Division, CSIR Complex, Pusa, New Delhi-110012, India, under the sanction project no. 25(0229)/14/EMR-II.

## References

- [1] W. Bergweiler, A. Eremenko, On the singularities of the inverse to a meromorphic function of finite order, *Rev. Mat. Iberoam* **11** (1995), 355–373.
- [2] Y.M. Chiang, S.J. Feng, On the Nevanlinna Characteristic  $f(z + \eta)$  and difference equations in complex plane, *Ramanujan J.* **16** (2008), 105–129.
- [3] J. Heittokangas, R. Korhonen, I. Laine, J. Rieppo, J. L. Zhang, Value sharing results for shifts of meromorphic function, and sufficient conditions for periodicity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **355** (2009), 352–363.
- [4] M.L. Fang, W. Hong, A unicity theorem for entire functions concerning differential polynomials, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* **32** (2001), 1343–1348.

- [5] W.K. Hayman, Picard values of meromorphic Functions and their derivatives, *Ann. of Math.* **70** (1959), 9–42.
- [6] W.K. Hayman, *Meromorphic Functions*, The Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [7] I. Lahiri, Value distribution of certain differential polynomials, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sc.* **28** (2001), 83–91.
- [8] I. Lahiri, Weighted sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions, *Nagoya Math. J.* **161** (2001), 193–206.
- [9] I. Lahiri, Weighted value sharing and uniqueness of meromorphic functions, *Complex Var. Theory Appl.* **46** (2001), 241–253.
- [10] I. Laine, C.C. Yang, Value distribution of difference polynomials, *Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A* **83** (2007), 148–151.
- [11] X.M. Li, W.L. Li, H.X. Yi, Z.T. Wen, Uniqueness theorems of entire functions whose difference polynomials share a meromorphic function of a smaller order, *Ann. Polon. Math.* **102** (2011), 111–127.
- [12] W.C. Lin, H.X. Yi, Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic function concerning fixed-point, *Complex Var. Theo. Appl.* **49** (2004), no. 11, 793–806.
- [13] K. Liu, L.Z. Yang, Value distribution of the difference operator, *Arch. Math.* **92** (2009), 270–278.
- [14] E. Mues, Über ein problem von Hayman, *Math. Z.* **164** (1979), 239–259.
- [15] X.G. Qi, L.Z. Yang, K. Liu, Uniqueness and periodicity of meromorphic functions concerning the difference operator, *Comput. Math. Appl.* **60** (2010), 1739–1746.
- [16] C.C. Yang, On deficiencies of differential polynomials II, *Math. Z.* **125** (1972), 107–112.
- [17] C.C. Yang, X.H. Hua, Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions, *Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math.* **22** (1997), no. 2, 395–406.
- [18] K. Yamanoi, The second main theorem for small functions and related problems, *Acta Math.* **192** (2004), 225–294.
- [19] J.L. Zhang, Value distribution and shared sets of differences of meromorphic functions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **367** (2010), 401–408.

(Abhijit Banerjee) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KALYANI, NADIA, WEST BENGAL-741235, INDIA

*E-mail address:* abanerjee\_kal@yahoo.co.in, abanerjee\_kal@rediffmail.com

(Sujoy Majumder) DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RAIGANJ UNIVERSITY, RAIGANJ, UTTAR DINAJPUR, WEST BENGAL, PIN-733134 INDIA

*E-mail address:* sujoy.katwa@gmail.com