



Thin Van Nguyen · Vicențiu D. Rădulescu

Multiplicity and concentration of solutions to fractional anisotropic Schrödinger equations with exponential growth

Received: 4 August 2022 / Accepted: 1 December 2022 /

Published online: 25 January 2023

Abstract. In this paper, we consider the Schrödinger equation involving the fractional (p, p_1, \dots, p_m) -Laplacian as follows

$$(-\Delta)_p^s u + \sum_{i=1}^m (-\Delta)_{p_i}^s u + V(\varepsilon x)(|u|^{(N-2s)/2s} u + \sum_{i=1}^m |u|^{p_i-2} u) = f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N,$$

where ε is a positive parameter, $N = ps$, $s \in (0, 1)$, $2 \leq p < p_1 < \dots < p_m < +\infty$, $m \geq 1$. The nonlinear function f has the exponential growth and potential function V is continuous function satisfying some suitable conditions. Using the penalization method and Ljusternik–Schnirelmann theory, we study the existence, multiplicity and concentration of nontrivial nonnegative solutions for small values of the parameter. In our best knowledge, it is the first time that the above problem is studied.

1. Introduction and main results

Let Ω be a bounded, open domain of \mathbb{R}^N ($N \geq 2$). The standard Sobolev space $W_0^{k,p}(\Omega)$ is defined by the completion of $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$ equipped with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_0^{k,p}(\Omega)} = \left(\|u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p + \sum_{j=1}^k \|\nabla^j u\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

T. V. Nguyen: Department of Mathematics, Thai Nguyen University of Education, Luong Ngoc Quyen Street, Thai Nguyen city, Thai Nguyen, Viet Nam. e-mail: thin-math@gmail.com; thinnv@tneue.edu.vn

T. V. Nguyen: Thang Long Institute of Mathematics and Applied Sciences, Thang Long University, Nghiem Xuan Yem, Hoang Mai, Hanoi, Viet Nam

V. D. Rădulescu: Faculty of Applied Mathematics, AGH University of Science and Technology, al. Mickiewicza 30, 30-059 Kraków, Poland

V. D. Rădulescu: Department of Mathematics, University of Craiova, Street A.I. Cuza No. 13, 200585 Craiova, Romania

V. D. Rădulescu (✉): Brno University of Technology, Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Communication, Technická 3058/10, 61600 Brno, Czech Republic. e-mail: radulescu@inf.ucv.ro

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 35A15 · Secondary 35J35 · 35J60 · 35R11 · 58E05

The well-known Sobolev embedding theorem states that $W_0^{k,p}(\Omega)$ embeds continuously into $L^{Np/(N-kp)}(\Omega)$ for a positive integer $k < N$ and $1 \leq p < \frac{N}{k}$. When $p = \frac{N}{k}$, the embedding $W_0^{k,N/k}(\Omega) \subset L^\infty(\Omega)$ fails. To overcome this difficulty, Trudinger [55] proved that functions in $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$ has property

$$W_0^{1,N}(\Omega) \subset \left\{ u \in L^1(\Omega) : E_\beta(u) := \int_\Omega e^{\beta|u|^{N/(N-1)}} dx < +\infty \right\} \text{ for any } \beta < \infty.$$

Furthermore, the function E_β is continuous on $W_0^{1,N}(\Omega)$. In 1970, Moser [41] gave the optimal β and proved that $\beta \leq \alpha_N = N\omega_{N-1}^{1/(N-1)}$, where ω_{N-1} is the area of the surface of the unit ball. From this work, many works are done and made the research direction about Trudinger–Moser type inequality and applications. Special, In 2007, Adimurthi-Sandeep [2] extended the work of Trudinger–Moser for singular case on bounded domain. When Ω is unbounded, Adachi and Tanach [1] and do Ó [23] gave a subcritical Trudinger–Moser-type inequality as follows: For $0 < \alpha < \alpha_N$, there exists a positive constant C_N such that

$$\sup_{u \in W^{1,N}(\mathbb{R}^N), \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\nabla u|^N dx \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi(\alpha|u(x)|^{N/(N-1)}) dx \leq C_N \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^N dx,$$

where $\Phi(t) = e^t - \sum_{i=0}^{N-2} \frac{t^i}{i!}$. Moreover, the constant α_N is sharp in the sense that if $\alpha \geq \alpha_N$, the supremum will become infinite. In 2010, Adimurthi–Yang [3] extended the result of Adachi and Tanach [1] and do Ó [23] for singular case. In 2019, Parini and Ruf [43] extended the result of Trudinger–Moser to fractional Sobolev-Slobodeckij spaces and obtained the following result: Let Ω be a bounded open domain of \mathbb{R}^N , ($N \geq 2$) with Lipschitz boundary, and let $s \in (0, 1)$, $N = ps$. Then there exists an exponent α of the fractional Trudinger–Moser inequality such that

$$\sup_{u \in \tilde{W}_0^{s,p}(\Omega), [u]_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq 1} \int_\Omega \exp(\alpha|u|^{N/(N-s)}) dx < +\infty.$$

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_* &= \alpha_*(s, \Omega) \\ &= \sup \left\{ \alpha : \sup_{u \in \tilde{W}_0^{s,p}(\Omega), [u]_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq 1} \int_\Omega \exp(\alpha|u|^{N/(N-s)}) dx < +\infty \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, $\alpha_* \leq \alpha_{s,N}^*$, where

$$\alpha_{s,N}^* = N \left(\frac{2(N\omega_N)^2 \Gamma(p+1)}{N!} \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \frac{(N+k-1)!}{k!} \frac{1}{(N+2k)^p} \right)^{s/(N-s)}.$$

By replacing the norm $[u]_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ by $\|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$, Iula [33] proved that the result of Parini and Ruf is still true in \mathbb{R} . In 2019, Zhang [61] has been extended the that result of Parini and Ruf, and Iula to \mathbb{R}^N and get a fractional Trudinger–Moser type inequality. Using that result, Zhang studied the existence of weak solution to Schrödinger equation involving the fractional p -Laplacian. For some more results and the applications of Trudinger–Moser inequality and fractional Trudinger–Moser type inequality, we refer the readers to [4,24–27,31,36,37,39,45,59] and the references therein for more details. On singular Trudinger–Moser type inequality in fractional Sobolev space and its application, we recommend the readers to [52] for more details.

Using the fractional Trudinger–Moser type inequality, in this paper, we study the existence and concentration of nontrivial nonnegative solution for the following Schrödinger equation involving fractional (p, p_1, \dots, p_m) -Laplacian:

$$\begin{aligned}
 (-\Delta)_{N/s}^s u(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m (-\Delta)_{p_i}^s u + V(x) |u|^{\frac{N}{s}-2} u \\
 + \sum_{i=1}^m |u|^{p_i-2} u = f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \quad (P_\varepsilon) \quad (1.1)
 \end{aligned}$$

where ε is small positive parameter, $0 < s < 1, 2 \leq p < p_1 < \dots < p_m < +\infty, m \geq 1, N = ps$, the potential V is bounded below by $V_0 > 0$, the nonlinearity f has exponential critical growth, and $(-\Delta)_t^s$ ($t \in \{p, p_1, \dots, p_m\}$) is the fractional t -Laplace operator which may be defined along a function $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (up to a normalization constant) as

$$(-\Delta)_t^s \varphi(x) = 2 \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\varepsilon(x)} \frac{|\varphi(x) - \varphi(y)|^{t-2} (\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+ts}} dy$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, where $B_\varepsilon(x)$ is a ball with center x and radius ε .

Assume that the continuous function V verifies the following conditions:

- (V₁) There exists $V_0 > 0$ such that $V(x) \geq V_0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;
- (V₂) There exists a bounded set $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$V_0 = \min_{x \in \Lambda} V(x) < \min_{x \in \partial \Lambda} V(x).$$

Observe that

$$M := \{x \in \Lambda : V(x) = V_0\} \neq \emptyset.$$

Moreover, we assume that the nonlinear function f satisfying the following conditions:

- (f₁) The nonlinearity $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f(t) = 0$ for all $t \in (-\infty, 0]$, $f(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$ and there exist constants $\alpha_0 \in (0, \alpha_*)$, $b_1, b_2 > 0$ such that for any $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$|f(t)| \leq b_1 |t|^{p_m-1} + b_2 |t|^{p-1} \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |t|^{N/(N-s)}),$$

where $\Phi_{N,s}(y) = e^y - \sum_{i=0}^{j_p-2} \frac{y^i}{i!}$, $j_p = \min\{j \in \mathbb{N} : j \geq p\}$ and $\alpha_* \leq \alpha_{s,N}^*$ (see Lemma 1).

(f₂) There exists $\mu > p_m$ such that

$$f(t)t - \mu F(t) \geq 0$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, where $F(t) = \int_0^t f(\tau)d\tau$.

(f₃)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(t)}{t^{p_m-1}} = 0.$$

(f₄) There exists $\gamma_1 > 0$ large enough such that $F(t) \geq \gamma_1 |t|^\mu$ for all $t \geq 0$.

(f₅) $\frac{f(t)}{t^{p_m-1}}$ is a strictly increasing function in \mathbb{R}^+ .

Recently, Alves–Ambrosio–Isernia [7], Ambrosio–Rădulescu [8] studied the fractional (p, q) -Laplacian as follows:

$$(-\Delta)_p^s u + (-\Delta)_q^s u + V(\varepsilon x)(|u|^{p-2}u + |u|^{q-2}u) = f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$ is a parameter, $s \in (0, 1)$, $1 < p < q < \frac{N}{s}$ and f has the subcritical growth and satisfies some suitable conditions. For more results on fractional (p, q) -Laplace or (p, q) -Laplace, we refer the readers to [9–11]. When $s \rightarrow 1^{-1}$, the Eq. (1.2) becomes the following equation

$$-\Delta_p u - \Delta_q u + V(\varepsilon x)(|u|^{p-2}u + |u|^{q-2}u) = f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.3}$$

where $\Delta_r u = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{r-2} \nabla u)$, $r \in \{p, q\}$. The study of Eq. (1.3) is connected to more general reaction-diffusion equation

$$u_t = \operatorname{div}((|\nabla u|^{p-2} + |\nabla u|^{q-2}) \nabla(u)) + c(x, u) \tag{1.4}$$

which has many applications in biophysics, physics of plasmas and chemical reaction design [13, 21]. In that equation, $c(x, u)$ is related to source and loss process. The multiple phases equation is motivated from the following Born–Infeld equation [18–20] that appears in electromagnetism, electrostatics and electrodynamic as a model based on a modification of Maxwell’s Lagrangian density

$$-\operatorname{div}\left(\frac{\nabla u}{(1 - 2|\nabla u|^2)^{1/2}}\right) = h(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

We refer the readers to the work of Zhang–Tang–Rădulescu [62] for more information and motivation as well as application of double-phases equation.

In 2021, Ambrosio–Repovš [12] have been studied the problem (1.3) when $1 < p < q < N$, $V : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function satisfying the global Rabinowitz condition, and $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a continuous function with subcritical

growth. Using suitable variational arguments and Ljusternik–Schnirelmann category theory, they study the relation between the number of positive solutions and the topology of the set where V attains its minimum for small ε .

When $p = q$ and $\varepsilon = 1$, the Eq. (1.2) becomes

$$(-\Delta)_p^s u + V(\varepsilon x)|u|^{p-2}u = g(x, u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.5}$$

where V and f satisfy some suitable assumptions. Many works were achieved on that equation such as [14–16, 25, 28, 29]. In particular, when $p = 2$, the Eq. (1.5) becomes

$$(-\Delta)^s u + V(\varepsilon x)|u|^{p-2}u = g(x, u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{1.6}$$

which has been proposed by Laskin [34, 35] as a result of expanding the Feynman path integral, from the Brownian like to the Lévy quantum mechanical paths. We refer the readers to [5, 6, 30, 49–51] for more results about Eq. (1.6). Recently, many authors studied the existence of multiple solution to (1.5) in subcritical growth, exponential growth and Kirchoff type problem involving fractional p -Laplace such as Xiang, Zhang and [58], Zhang, Fiscella and Liang [60], Wang and Xiang [63]. In that works, they use Krasnoselskii’s genus theory to study their problems. Motivate by above works, we study the problem (1.1) with exponential growth. We point out that as far as we know, in the literature appears only few papers on fractional (p, q) -Laplace problems, and there are no results on the multiplicity and concentration of solutions to the problem (1.1). So the aim of this work is to give the first result in this direction. We use the Ljusternik–Schnirelmann category theory instead of Krasnoselskii’s genus theory as in some previous works.

Before starting our results, we recall some useful notations. Suppose that $N = ps$ or $N > ps$. The fractional Sobolev space $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is defined by

$$W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) := \{u \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^N) : [u]_{s,p} < \infty\},$$

where $[u]_{s,p}$ denotes by the seminorm Gagliardo, that is

$$[u]_{s,p} = \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dx dy \right)^{1/p}.$$

$W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a uniformly convex Banach space (similar to [46]) with norm

$$\|u\| = \left(\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + [u]_{s,p}^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Set $\eta > 0$, we denote another norm on $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as follows

$$\|u\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left(\eta \|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + [u]_{s,p}^p \right)^{1/p}.$$

Then $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ are two norms equivalent on $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, let W_ε denote by the completion of $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$, with respect to the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} = \left([u]_{s,p}^p + \|u\|_{p,V,\varepsilon}^p \right)^{1/p}, \quad \|u\|_{p,V,\varepsilon}^p = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x)|u(x)|^p dx.$$

Then $W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is uniformly convex Banach space (similar to [46], Lemma 10), and then $W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a reflexive space. By the condition (V) and Theorem 6.9 [42], we have the embedding from $W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into $L^v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuous for any $v \in [\frac{N}{s}, +\infty)$. Similarly, we can define the space $W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N), i = 1, \dots, m$. We denote $W_\varepsilon = W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \cap_{i=1}^m W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ endowed with the norm

$$\|u\|_{W_\varepsilon} = \|u\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \sum_{i=1}^m \|u\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

Then W_ε is uniformly convex Banach space (similar to [46], Lemma 10) and we have the embeddings

$$W_\varepsilon = W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \cap_{i=1}^m W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \hookrightarrow L^v(\mathbb{R}^N)$$

are continuous for any $v \in [\frac{N}{s}, +\infty)$. Hence, there exists a best constant $S_{v,\varepsilon} > 0$ for all $v \in [\frac{N}{s}, +\infty)$ as follows:

$$S_{v,\varepsilon} = \inf_{u \neq 0, u \in W_\varepsilon} \frac{\|u\|_{W_\varepsilon}}{\|u\|_{L^v(\mathbb{R}^N)}}.$$

This implies

$$\|u\|_{L^v(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq S_{v,\varepsilon}^{-1} \|u\|_{W_\varepsilon} \text{ for all } u \in W_\varepsilon. \tag{1.7}$$

Definition 1. We say that $u \in W_\varepsilon$ is a weak solution of problem (1.1) if

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{\frac{N}{s}-2} (u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{2N}} dx dy \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p_i-2} (u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+p_i s}} dx dy \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) (|u(x)|^{\frac{N}{s}-2} u(x)) \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^{p_i-2} u(x) \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u(x)) \varphi(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varphi \in W_\varepsilon$.

We denote $\text{cat}_B(A)$ by the category of A with respect to B , namely the least integer k such that $A \subset A_1 \cup \dots \cup A_k$, where $A_i (i = 1, \dots, k)$ is closed and contractible in B . We set $\text{cat}_B(\emptyset) = 0$ and $\text{cat}_B(A) = +\infty$ if there is no integer with above property. We refer the reader to [57] for more details on Ljusternik–Schnirelmann theory. Now, we state the main result in this paper.

Theorem 2. *Let (V_1) , (V_2) and $(f_1) - (f_5)$ hold. Then for any $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$M_\delta = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \text{dist}(x, M) \leq \delta\} \subset \Lambda,$$

there exists $\varepsilon_\delta > 0$ such that problem (P_ε) has at least $\text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M)$ nontrivial non-negative weak solutions for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_\delta$. Moreover, if u_ε denotes one of these solutions and η_ε is its global maximum, then

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} V(\eta_\varepsilon) = V_0.$$

Remark 3. We use the Nehari manifold, penalization method, concentration compactness principle and Ljusternik–Schnirelmann theory to prove the main result. There are some difficulties in proving our theorem. The first difficulty is that the nonlinearity f has exponential critical growth. The second is that the fractional Sobolev embedding is the lack of compactness. Furthermore, our problem cannot transfer to local problem via to Caffarelli–Silvestre’s method. Compare with subcritical case due to Ambrosio–Radulescu [8] as $m = 1$, we need estimate the Mountain pass level due to the Trudinger–Moser nonlinearity and all our steps need focus it. Then our duties are complex and they are not the same in the work of Ambrosio–Radulescu. We emphase that the work Ambrosio–Radulescu studied the Eq. (1.1) when $m = 1$ and $0 < N < ps$. In this case we have the continuous embedding from $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ into $L^{Np/(N-sp)}(\Omega)$. In our work, $N = ps$, then we do not have the previous embedding. Hence, our work is independent with work of Ambrosio–Radulescu [8]. Furthermore, our problem is more complicated than the problem in [8] due to many phases, not only double phases.

The paper is organized as follows. In Sect. 2, we study the autonomous problem associated. In Sect. 3, we study the modified problem. We prove the Palais–Smale condition for the energy functional and provide some tools which are useful to establish a multiplicity result. This allows us to show that the modified problem has multiple solutions. In Sect. 4, we prove the existence of ground state solution to modified problem. In the final part of this paper, we complete the paper with the proof of Theorem 2.

2. Autonomous problem

In this section, we study the autonomous problem associated to (1.1) as following

$$(-\Delta)_{N/s}^s u + \sum_{i=1}^m (-\Delta)_{p_i}^s u + \eta \left(|u|^{\frac{N}{s}-2} u + \sum_{i=1}^m |u|^{p_i-2} u \right) = f(u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \tag{2.1}$$

where $\eta > 0$ is a constant. Set $W = W^{s,N/s}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \cap_{i=1}^m W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. We denote $J_\eta : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by the corresponding energy functional for problem (2.1)

$$J_\eta(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i} \|u\|_{\eta, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) dx.$$

From the condition (f_3) , there exist $\tau > 0$ and $\delta > 0$ such that for all $|t| \leq \delta$, we have

$$|f(t)| \leq \tau |t|^{p_m-1}. \tag{2.2}$$

Moreover from the condition (f_1) and f is a continuous function, for each $q \geq \frac{N}{s}$, we can find a constant $C = C(q, \delta) > 0$ such that

$$|f(t)| \leq C |t|^{q-1} \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |t|^{N/(N-s)}) \tag{2.3}$$

for all $|t| \geq \delta$. Combine (2.2) and (2.3), we get

$$|f(t)| \leq \tau |t|^{p_m-1} + C |t|^{q-1} \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |t|^{N/(N-s)}) \tag{2.4}$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and

$$|F(t)| \leq \int_0^t |f(s)| ds \leq \tau |t|^{p_m} + C |t|^q \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |t|^{N/(N-s)}) \tag{2.5}$$

for all $t \geq 0$.

Definition 4. We said that $u \in W$ is a weak solution of (2.1) if

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{\frac{N}{s}-2} (u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{2N}} dx dy \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p_i-2} (u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+p_i s}} dx dy \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \eta(|u(x)|^{\frac{N}{s}-2} u(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m |u(x)|^{p_i-2} u(x)) \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u(x)) \varphi(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varphi \in W$.

In order to prove the result in this paper, we need the following result:

Lemma 1. ([61]) *Let $s \in (0, 1)$ and $sp = N$. Then for every $0 \leq \alpha < \alpha_* \leq \alpha_{s,N}^*$, the following inequality holds:*

$$\sup_{u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha |u|^{N/(N-s)}) dx < +\infty,$$

where $\Phi_{N,s}(t) = e^t - \sum_{i=0}^{j_p-2} \frac{t^i}{i!}$, $j_p = \min\{j \in \mathbb{N} : j \geq p\}$. Moreover, for $\alpha > \alpha_{s,N}^*$,

$$\sup_{u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq 1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha |u|^{N/(N-s)}) dx = +\infty.$$

Remark 5. From Lemma 1, if we use the norm $||\cdot||_\eta$ on $W^{s,N/s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then we have

$$(\max\{1, \eta\})^{-1/p} ||u||_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq ||u||_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq (\min\{1, \eta\})^{-1/p} ||u||_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

then we get

$$\sup_{u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), ||u||_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq (\min\{1, \eta\})^{s/N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha |u|^{N/(N-s)}) dx < +\infty$$

for all $0 \leq \alpha < \alpha_* \leq \alpha_{s,N}^*$.

Using Lemma 1 and note that $C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is a density subspace of $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \cap_{i=1}^m W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we see that J_η is well defined on $W^{s,N/s}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \cap_{i=1}^m W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J'_\eta(u), \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{\frac{N}{s}-2} (u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{2N}} dx dy \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p_i-2} (u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+p_i s}} dx dy \\ &+ \eta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(|u|^{\frac{N}{s}-2} u + \sum_{i=1}^m |u|^{p_i-2} u \right) \varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u) \varphi dx. \end{aligned}$$

We know that W is uniformly convex with norm

$$||u||_W = ||u||_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \sum_{i=1}^m ||u||_{W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

Another norm is

$$||u||_{\eta,W} = ||u||_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \sum_{i=1}^m ||u||_{\eta, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}.$$

By Theorem 6.9 [42], we have the embedding from $W^{s,N/s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ into $L^\nu(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuous for any $\nu \in [\frac{N}{s}, +\infty)$ and $W = W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \cap_{i=1}^m W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuously embedded into $W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Hence, W is continuously embedded into $L^\nu(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuous for any $\nu \in [\frac{N}{s}, +\infty)$. Then there exists a best constant $A_{\nu,\eta} > 0$ for all $\nu \in [\frac{N}{s}, +\infty)$ as follows:

$$A_{\nu,\eta} = \inf_{u \neq 0, u \in W} \frac{||u||_{\eta,W}}{||u||_{L^\nu(\mathbb{R}^N)}}.$$

This implies

$$\|u\|_{L^v(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq A_{v,\eta}^{-1} \|u\|_{\eta,W} \text{ for all } u \in W. \tag{2.6}$$

We can check that J_η satisfies the geometry condition of Mountain Pass Theorem. Indeed, we have the following result:

Lemma 2. *Suppose that (f₁) and (f₃) hold. Then there exist constants positive t₀, ρ₀ such that $J_\eta(u) \geq \rho_0$ for all $u \in W$, with $\|u\|_{\eta,W} = t_0$.*

Proof. From (2.4), for some $q > p_m$, we have

$$|F(t)| \leq \tau |t|^{p_m} + C |t|^q \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |t|^{N/(N-s)})$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} J_\eta(u) &= \frac{s}{N} \|u\|_{\eta,W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/s} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i} \|u\|_{\eta,W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) dx \\ &\geq \frac{s}{N} \|u\|_{\eta,W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/s} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i} \|u\|_{\eta,W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p_m} dx \\ &\quad - C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |u|^{N/(N-s)}) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

Using Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |u|^{N/(N-s)}) dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |u|^{N/(N-s)}) \right)^t dx \right)^{1/t} \|u\|_{L^{qt'}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^q, \end{aligned} \tag{2.8}$$

where $t > 1, t' > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t'} = 1$. By Lemma 2.3 [38], for any $b > t$, there exist a constant $C(b) > 0$ such that

$$\left(\Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |u|^{N/(N-s)}) \right)^t \leq C(b) \Phi_{N,s}(b\alpha_0 |u|^{N/(N-s)}) \tag{2.9}$$

on \mathbb{R}^N . Denote by $\vartheta = \min\{1, \eta\}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |u|^{N/(N-s)}) \right)^t dx \leq C(b) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_{N,s}(b\alpha_0 |u|^{N/(N-s)}) dx \\ &= C(b) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_{N,s}(b\alpha_0 \vartheta^{-s/(N-s)} \|u\|_{\eta,W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/(N-s)} |\vartheta^{s/N} u / \|u\|_{\eta,W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}|^{N/(N-s)}) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.10}$$

We know that $\|u\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \|u\|_{\eta, W}$, then $\|u\|_{\eta, W}$ is small enough implies that $\|u\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}$ is also small enough. Therefore, when b near t , we have

$$b\alpha_0\delta^{-s/(N-s)}\|u\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/(N-s)} < \alpha_*, \tag{2.11}$$

by Remark 5, (2.10) and (2.11), there exists a constant $D > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0|u|^{N/(N-s)}) \right)^t dx \right)^{1/t} \leq D.$$

Since the embedding from $W \rightarrow L^{qt'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuous, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0|u|^{N/(N-s)}) dx \leq DA_{qt',\eta}^{-q} \|u\|_{\eta, W}^q < +\infty. \tag{2.12}$$

From (2.6), we have

$$\|u\|_{L^{p_m}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq A_{p_m,\eta}^{-1} \|u\|_{\eta, W} \text{ for all } u \in W. \tag{2.13}$$

Note that the function $f(t) = t^{p_m}$ is convex, then

$$\left(\frac{a_1 + \dots + a_{m+1}}{m+1} \right)^{p_m} \leq \frac{a_1^{p_m} + \dots + a_m^{p_m}}{m+1}$$

for all $a_i \geq 0, i = 1, \dots, m+1$. Hence apply above inequality, combine (2.7), (2.12) and (2.13), when $\|u\|_{\eta, W}$ is small enough, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_\eta(u) &\geq \frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} (\|u\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \sum_{i=1}^m \|u\|_{\eta, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)})^{p_m} \\ &\quad - \tau A_{p_m,\eta}^{-p_m} \|u\|_{\eta, W}^{p_m} - CDA_{qt',\eta}^{-q} \|u\|_{\eta, W}^q \\ &= \|u\|_{\eta, W}^{p_m} \left[\left(\frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau A_{p_m,\eta}^{-p_m} \right) - CDA_{qt',\eta}^{-q} \|u\|_{\eta, W}^{q-p_m} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

We see $\frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau A_{p_m,\eta}^{-p_m} > 0$ for τ small enough. Let

$$h(t) = \frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau A_{p_m,\eta}^{-p_m} - CDA_{qt',\eta}^{-q} t^{q-p_m}, t \geq 0.$$

We now prove there exists $t_0 > 0$ small satisfying $h(t_0) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau A_{p_m,\eta}^{-p_m} \right)$. We see that h is continuous function on $[0, +\infty)$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} h(t) = \frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau A_{p_m,\eta}^{-p_m}$, then there exists t_0 such that $h(t) \geq \frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} -$

$\tau A_{p_m, \eta}^{-p_m} - \varepsilon_1$ for all $0 \leq t \leq t_0$, t_0 is small enough such that $\|u\|_{\eta, W} = t_0$ satisfies (2.11). If we choose $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau A_{p_m, \eta}^{-p_m} \right)$, we have

$$h(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau A_{p_m, \eta}^{-p_m} \right)$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq t_0$. Especially,

$$h(t_0) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau A_{p_m, \eta}^{-p_m} \right). \tag{2.15}$$

From (2.14) and (2.15), for $\|u\|_{\eta, W} = t_0$, we have

$$J_\eta(u) \geq \frac{t_0^{p_m}}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau A_{p_m, \eta}^{-p_m} \right) = \rho_0.$$

□

Lemma 3. *Suppose that (f₄) holds. Then there exists a function $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\|v\|_{\eta, W} > t_0$, such that $J_\eta(v) < 0$, where $t_0 > 0$ is the number given in Lemma 3.*

Proof. For all $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $\|u\|_{\eta, W} = 1$, from the condition (f₄) and all $t > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J_\eta(tu) &= \frac{st^{N/s}}{N} \|u\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/s} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{t^{p_i}}{p_i} \|u\|_{\eta, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(tu) dx \\ &\leq \frac{st^{N/s}}{N} \|u\|_{\eta, W}^{N/s} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{t^{p_i}}{p_i} \|u\|_{\eta, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \gamma_1 t^\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^\mu dx \\ &\leq \frac{st^{N/s}}{N} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{t^{p_i}}{p_i} - \gamma_1 t^\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u(x)|^\mu dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (2.6), for all $v \geq \frac{N}{s}$, we have

$$0 < \frac{1}{A_{v, \eta} + \varepsilon} = \frac{\|u\|_{\eta, W}}{A_{v, \eta} + \varepsilon} \leq \|u\|_{L^v(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq A_{v, \eta}^{-1} \|u\|_{\eta, W} = A_{v, \eta}^{-1} < +\infty,$$

where $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $\mu > p_m$, we have $J_\eta(tu) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Taking $v = \rho_1 u$, $\rho_1 > t_0 > 0$ large enough, we have $J_\eta(v) < 0$, $\|v\|_{\eta, W} > t_0$. □

Using the version of Mountain Pass Theorem without the Palais-Smale condition, we get a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset W$ such that

$$J_\eta(u_n) \rightarrow c_\eta \text{ and } J'_\eta(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where the level c_η is characterized by

$$c_\eta = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} J_\eta(\gamma(t))$$

and $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], W) : \gamma(0) = 0, J_\eta(\gamma(1)) < 0\}$.

Lemma 4. *Let $\{u_n\}$ be $(PS)_{c_\eta}$ sequence for J_η . Then there exists a constant C_{γ_1} such that $\rho_0 \leq c_\eta \leq C_{\gamma_1}$.*

Proof. We choose a function $w \in W \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\|w\|_{L^\mu(\mathbb{R}^N)} = 1$ and $\|w\|_{\eta, W} \leq A_{\mu, \eta} + \varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} c &\leq \max_{t \geq 0} J_\eta(tw) \\ &= \max_{t \geq 0} \left\{ \frac{st^{N/s}}{N} \|w\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/s} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{t^{p_i}}{p_i} \|w\|_{\eta, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \gamma_1 t^\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w(x)|^\mu dx \right\} \\ &\leq \max_{t \geq 0} \left\{ \frac{s(A_{\mu, \eta} + \varepsilon)^{N/s} t^{N/s}}{N} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(A_{\mu, \eta} + \varepsilon)^{p_i} t^{p_i}}{p_i} - \gamma_1 t^\mu \right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.16}$$

Set $g(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(A_{\mu, \eta} + \varepsilon)^{p_i} t^{p_i}}{p_i} + \frac{s(A_{\mu, \eta} + \varepsilon)^{N/s} t^{N/s}}{N} - \gamma_1 t^\mu$ on $[0, +\infty)$. We have

$$c \leq \max_{t \in [0, 1]} g(t) + \max_{t \geq 1} g(t). \tag{2.17}$$

When $t \in [0, 1]$, we get

$$g(t) \leq h(t) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(A_{\mu, \eta} + \varepsilon)^{p_i}}{p_i} + \frac{s(A_{\mu, \eta} + \varepsilon)^{N/s}}{N} \right) t^{\frac{N}{s}} - \gamma_1 t^\mu.$$

We denote $a = \frac{s(A_{\mu, \eta} + \varepsilon)^{N/s}}{N} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(A_{\mu, \eta} + \varepsilon)^{p_i}}{p_i}$, $b = \gamma_1$. Compute directly, we have

$$\max_{t \in [0, 1]} g(t) \leq h(\theta_{\gamma_1}) = C_{\gamma_1}, \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$\theta_{\gamma_1} = \left(\frac{aN}{s\gamma_1\mu} \right)^{s/(\mu s - N)} \leq 1$$

as $\gamma_1 \geq \frac{aN}{s\mu} = \gamma^*$. Compute directly, we get

$$C_{\gamma_1} = h(\theta_{\gamma_1}) = a \left(1 - \frac{N}{s\mu} \right) \left(\frac{aN}{sb\mu} \right)^{N/(\mu s - N)}. \tag{2.19}$$

We see that $\lim_{\gamma_1 \rightarrow +\infty} \theta_{\gamma_1} = 0$, then $\lim_{\gamma_1 \rightarrow +\infty} h(\theta_{\gamma_1}) = 0$. By arguments as above, for all $t \geq 1$, we get

$$g(t) \leq h_*(t) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(A_{\mu, \eta} + \varepsilon)^{p_i}}{p_i} + \frac{s(A_{\mu, \eta} + \varepsilon)^{N/s}}{N} \right) t^{p_m} - \gamma_1 t^\mu$$

and h_* has uniqueness local maximum point at $\beta_{\gamma_1} = \left(\frac{ap_m}{\gamma_1\mu}\right)^{1/(\mu-p_m)}$ on $(0, +\infty)$. Note that if we choose $\gamma_1 \geq \gamma_*$, where γ_* satisfies

$$\left(\frac{ap_m}{\gamma_*\mu}\right)^{1/(\mu-p_m)} \leq 1,$$

we deduce

$$\max_{t \geq 1} g(t) \leq h_*(1) = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(A_{\mu,\eta} + \varepsilon)^{p_i}}{p_i} + \frac{s(A_{\mu,\eta} + \varepsilon)^{N/s}}{N} - \gamma_1.$$

Set $\gamma_{**} = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(A_{\mu,\eta} + \varepsilon)^{p_i}}{p_i} + \frac{s(A_{\mu,\eta} + \varepsilon)^{N/s}}{N}$. We have

$$\max_{t \geq 1} g(t) \leq 0 \text{ for all } \gamma_1 \geq \max\{\gamma_*, \gamma_{**}\}. \tag{2.20}$$

Combine (2.17), (2.18), (2.19) and (2.20), we obtain

$$c \leq C_{\gamma_1} = a \left(1 - \frac{N}{s\mu}\right) \left(\frac{aN}{bs\mu}\right)^{N/(\mu s - N)} \tag{2.21}$$

for $\gamma_1 \geq \max\{\gamma^*, \gamma_*, \gamma_{**}\}$. Therefore, the Mountain Pass level c is small enough when γ_1 is large enough, which will be used later. Combine Lemma 2, (2.16) and (2.21), we get $\rho_0 \leq c_\eta \leq C_{\gamma_1}$. \square

The following result is a version of Lions’s result:

Lemma 5. ([54]) *If $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $W^{s,N/s}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^m W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} |u_n(x)|^t dx = 0$$

for some $R > 0$, $t \geq \frac{N}{s}$, then $u_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $q \in (t, +\infty)$.

Lemma 6. *Let $\{u_n\}$ be a sequence in W converging weakly to 0 verifying*

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{\eta,W}^{N/(N-s)} < \frac{\alpha_* \mathfrak{D}^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0},$$

where $c > 1$ is a suitable constant and assume that (f_1) holds and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(t)}{t^{p_m-1}} = 0$. If there exists $R > 0$ such that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} |u_n|^{p_m} dx = 0$, it follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)u_n dx \rightarrow 0 \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_n) dx \rightarrow 0.$$

Proof. Since $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} |u_n|^{p_m} dx = 0$, by Lemma 5, we get $u_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^t(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $t \in (p_m, +\infty)$. From the condition (f_1) and

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(t)}{t^{p_m-1}} = 0,$$

then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $q > p_m$, there exists $C(q, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$|f(u_n)u_n| \leq \varepsilon |u_n|^{p_m} + C(q, \varepsilon) |u_n|^q \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |u_n|^{N/(N-s)}). \tag{2.22}$$

For $t > 1, t' > 1$ and t' near 1 such that $\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t'} = 1$, using Hölder inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^q \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |u_n|^{N/(N-s)}) dx \\ & \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{qt} dx \right)^{1/t} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |u_n|^{N/(N-s)}))^{t'} dx \right)^{1/t'}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.23}$$

Then by Lemma 2.3 [38], for any $c > t'$ and near t' , there exist a constant $C(c) > 0$ such that

$$\left(\Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |u_n|^{N/(N-s)}) \right)^{t'} \leq C(c) \Phi_{N,s} \left(c \alpha_0 |u_n|^{N/(N-s)} \right) \tag{2.24}$$

on \mathbb{R}^N and all n . We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_{N,s}(c \alpha_0 |u_n|^{N/(N-s)}) dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_{N,s} \left(c \alpha_0 \mathfrak{d}^{-s/(N-s)} \| |u_n| \|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/(N-s)} \mathfrak{d}^{s/(N-s)} \left(\frac{|u_n|}{\| |u_n| \|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}} \right)^{N/(N-s)} \right) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

Since $\| |u_n| \|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \| |u| \|_{\eta, W}$, from Remark 5, we get

$$\sup_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_{N,s}(c \alpha_0 |u_n|^{N/(N-s)}) dx < +\infty. \tag{2.26}$$

Combine (2.23)-(2.26) and the fact that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^{qt}(\mathbb{R}^N)$, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |f(u_n)u_n| dx \leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^{p_m} dx + C(q, \varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_n|^q \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |u_n|^{N/(N-s)}) dx \rightarrow 0 \tag{2.27}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in $L^{p_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Similarly as (2.27), we also get $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |F(u_n)| dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Proposition 1. *Assume that the conditions $(f_1) - (f_5)$ satisfies. Then problem (2.1) admits a nontrivial nonnegative weak solution.*

Proof. From Lemma 2, Lemma 3 and a version of Mountain Pass Theorem without the Palais–Smale condition [47, 57], we get a sequence $\{u_n\} \subset W$ such that

$$J_\eta(u_n) \rightarrow c_\eta \text{ and } J'_\eta(u_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where the level c_η is characterized by

$$0 < c_\eta = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} J_\eta(\gamma(t)).$$

By the assumption (f_5) , using the idea in [43] and Lemma 3.2 [7], we can get

$$c_\eta = \inf_{u \in W \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{t \geq 0} J_\eta(tu) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\eta} J_\eta(u),$$

where \mathcal{N}_η is Nehari manifold for J_η .

Note that $\{u_n\}$ is a (PS) sequence with level $c_\eta \in \mathbb{R}$ in W . This means

$$J_\eta(u_n) \rightarrow c_\eta \text{ and } \sup_{\|\varphi\|_{\eta, W} = 1} \langle J'_\eta(u_n), \varphi \rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad (2.28)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We show that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in W . From (2.28), we have

$$\langle J'_\eta(u_n), \frac{u_n}{\|u_n\|_{\eta, W}} \rangle = o_n(1) \text{ and } J_\eta(u_n) = c_\eta + o_n(1)$$

when n large enough. It implies

$$J_\eta(u_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle J'_\eta(u_n), u_n \rangle = c_\eta + o_n(1) + o_n(1) \|u_n\|_{\eta, W}, \quad (2.29)$$

where μ is a parameter in the condition (f_2) . We have

$$\begin{aligned} J_\eta(u_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle J'_\eta(u_n), u_n \rangle &= \frac{s}{N} \|u_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/s} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i} \|u_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_n) dx \\ &- \frac{1}{\mu} \left[\|u_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,N/s}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/s} + \sum_{i=1}^m \|u_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n) u_n dx \right] \\ &= \left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/s} \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(u_n) u_n - \mu F(u_n)) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_\eta(u_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} &< J'_\eta(u_n), u_n \rangle \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \|u_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/s} + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \|u_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.30}$$

Combine (2.29) and (2.30), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \|u_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/s} + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \|u_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \\
 &\leq c_\eta + o_n(1) + o_n(1) \|u_n\|_{\eta, W}.
 \end{aligned} \tag{2.31}$$

Note that

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty, x_1 \rightarrow +\infty, \dots, x_m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\mathbf{a}x^{N/s} + \mathbf{b}_1x_1^{p_1} \dots + \mathbf{b}_m x_m^{p_m}}{x + x_1 + \dots + x_m} = +\infty,$$

where $\mathbf{a} > 0, \mathbf{b}_1 > 0, \dots, \mathbf{b}_m > 0$. Then from (2.31), we conclude that the sequence $\{u_n\}$ is bounded in W . Since

$$J_\eta(u_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} < J'_\eta(u_n), u_n \rangle \rightarrow c_\eta$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/s} \leq \frac{c_\eta}{\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu}} \leq \frac{C_{\gamma_1}}{\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu}} \tag{2.32}$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \leq \frac{c_\eta}{\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu}} \leq \frac{C_{\gamma_1}}{\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu}} \tag{2.33}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. Hence, we deduce

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{\eta, W} \leq \left(\frac{C_{\gamma_1}}{\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu}}\right)^{s/N} + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{C_{\gamma_1}}{\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p_i}}. \tag{2.34}$$

Moreover, we claim that there exists $R > 0, \delta > 0$ and a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(y_n)} |u_n|^{p_m} dx \geq \delta. \tag{2.35}$$

If the above inequality doesnot hold, it means that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_R(y)} |u_n|^{p_m} dx = 0$$

for some $R > 0$, then from (2.21) and (2.34), when γ_1 large enough, we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{\eta, W}^{N/(N-s)} < \frac{\alpha_* \mathfrak{D}^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0}.$$

Using Lemma 6, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)u_n dx \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} o(1) &= \langle J'_\eta(u_n), u_n \rangle = \|u_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \|u_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)u_n dx \\ &= \|u_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \|u_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} + o(1) \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $u_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly in W . It implies that

$$J_\eta(u_n) = \frac{1}{p} \|u_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i} \|u_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u_n) dx \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It contradicts with $c_\eta > 0$. Therefore (2.35) holds. We denote $v_n(x) = u_n(x + y_n)$, then from (2.35) we get

$$\int_{B_R(0)} |v_n|^{p_m} dx \geq \delta/2. \tag{2.36}$$

Because J_η and J'_η are both invariant by the translation, it implies that

$$J_\eta(v_n) \rightarrow c_\eta \text{ and } J'_\eta(v_n) \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } W^*.$$

Because $\|v_n\|_{\eta, W} = \|u_n\|_{\eta, W}$, then $\{v_n\}$ is also bounded in W , then exists $v \in W$ such that $v_n \rightarrow v$ weak in W , $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ($q \in (p_m, +\infty)$) and $v_n(x) \rightarrow v(x)$ almost everywhere in \mathbb{R}^N . From (2.36), we get $\int_{B_R(0)} |v|^{p_m} dx \geq \delta/2 > 0$,

then $v \neq 0$. By arguments as in [53, 54], we get $J'_\eta(v) = 0$. Furthermore, from the condition $f(t) = 0$ for all $t \in (-\infty, 0]$, we can get $v \geq 0$.

By Fatou’s lemma, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 c_\eta &\leq J_\eta(v) = J_\eta(v) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle J'_\eta(v), v \rangle \\
 &= \left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|v\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \\
 &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|v\|_{\eta, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(v)v - \mu F(v)) dx \\
 &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|v_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|v_n\|_{\eta, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (f(v_n)v_n - \mu F(v_n)) dx \right\} = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ J_\eta(v_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle J'_\eta(v_n), v_n \rangle \right\} = c_\eta.
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence v is a ground state solution to the problem (2.1). □

3. The modified problem

Now, we introduce a penalized function in the spirit of [44] which will be fundamental to get our main result. First of all, without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$0 \in \Lambda \text{ and } V(0) = V_0.$$

Let us choose $k > \frac{\mu}{\mu - p_m} > 1$ and $a > 0$ such that

$$\frac{f(a)}{a^{p_m-1}} = \frac{V_0}{k}.$$

We define

$$\tilde{f}(t) := \begin{cases} f(t) & \text{if } t \leq a \\ \frac{V_0}{k} t^{p_m-1} & \text{if } t > a \end{cases},$$

and

$$g(x, t) = \chi_\Lambda(x) f(t) + (1 - \chi_\Lambda(x)) \tilde{f}(t) \text{ for all } (x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^N \times \mathbb{R}.$$

We show that if u_ε is a solution in W to

$$\begin{aligned}
 (-\Delta)_p^s u + \sum_{i=1}^m (-\Delta)_{p_i}^s u + V(\varepsilon x) \left(|u|^{p-2} u + \sum_{i=1}^m |u|^{p_i-2} u \right) \\
 = g(\varepsilon x, u) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N \quad (P_\varepsilon^*) \quad (2.37)
 \end{aligned}$$

with $u_\varepsilon(x) \leq a$ for all $x \in \Lambda_\varepsilon^c = \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda_\varepsilon$, where $\Lambda_\varepsilon := \{ \mathbb{R}^N : \varepsilon x \in \Lambda \}$, then $g(\varepsilon x, u_\varepsilon) = f(u_\varepsilon)$. Hence u_ε is a solution of (1.1).

Definition 6. We say that $u \in W_\varepsilon$ is a weak solution of problem (2.37) if

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{\frac{N}{s}-2} (u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{2N}} dx dy \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p_i-2} (u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+p_i s}} dx dy \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) (|u(x)|^{\frac{N}{s}-2} u(x) + \sum_{i=1}^m |u(x)|^{p_i-2} u(x)) \varphi(x) dx \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, u(x)) \varphi(x) dx \end{aligned}$$

for any $\varphi \in W_\varepsilon$.

We have that g satisfies the following properties [40]:

- (g1) $g(x, t) = 0$ for all $t \leq 0$ and $g(x, t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;
 - (g2) $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{g(x, t)}{t^{p_m-1}} = 0$ uniformly with respect to $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;
 - (g3) $g(x, t) \leq f(t)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$;
 - (g4) $0 < \mu G(x, t) \leq g(x, t)t$ for all $x \in \Lambda$ and $t > 0$, where $G(x, t) = \int_0^t g(x, \tau) d\tau$;
 - (g5) $0 < p_m G(x, t) \leq g(x, t)t \leq \frac{V_0}{k} t^{p_m}$ for all $x \in \Lambda^c$ and $t > 0$.
 - (g6) for each $x \in \Lambda$, the function $\frac{g(x, t)}{t^{p_m-1}}$ is a strictly increasing of t in $(0, +\infty)$;
 - (g7) for each $x \in \Lambda^c$, the function $\frac{g(x, t)}{t^{p_m-1}}$ is a strictly increasing of t in $(0, a)$.
- Further, if $t \geq a$, we have $\frac{g(x, t)}{t^{p_m-1}} = \frac{V_0}{k}$.

In order to study the Eq. (2.37), we consider the energy functional $I_\varepsilon : W_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$I_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i} \|u\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}}^{p_i} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(\varepsilon x, u) dx.$$

By the condition (f1) and (g3), I_ε is well defined on W_ε , $I_\varepsilon \in C^2(W_\varepsilon, \mathbb{R})$ and its critical points are weak solution of problem (2.37). Associated to I_ε , we consider the Nehari manifold \mathcal{N}_ε given by

$$\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon = \{u \in W_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\} : \langle I'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle = 0\},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I'_\varepsilon(u), \varphi \rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+ps}} dx dy \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p_i-2}(u(x) - u(y))(\varphi(x) - \varphi(y))}{|x - y|^{N+pi s}} dx dy \\ &+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x)(|u|^{p-2}u + \sum_{i=1}^m |u|^{p_i-2}u)\varphi dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, u)\varphi dx \end{aligned}$$

for any $u, \varphi \in W_\varepsilon$.

Proposition 2. *There exists $r_* > 0$ such that*

$$\|u\|_{W_\varepsilon} \geq r_* > 0 \text{ for all } u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon.$$

Proof. We are easy to get the inequality

$$\|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \min\{1, V_0\}^{-1/p} \|u\|_{W^{s,p}_{V,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \min\{1, V_0\}^{-1/p} \|u\|_{W_\varepsilon}. \tag{2.38}$$

Then from Lemma 1 and (2.38), we have

$$\sup_{u \in W_\varepsilon, \|u\|_{W_\varepsilon} \leq (\min\{1, V_0\})^{s/N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha|u|^{N/(N-s)}) dx < +\infty \tag{2.39}$$

and

$$\sup_{u \in W^{s,p}_{V,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N), \|u\|_{W^{s,p}_{V,\varepsilon}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq (\min\{1, V_0\})^{s/N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha|u|^{N/(N-s)}) dx < +\infty \tag{2.40}$$

for all $0 \leq \alpha < \alpha_*$. From the condition (f_1) , (f_3) and (g_3) , for any $\varepsilon_* > 0$ and $q > p_m$, there exists $C_{q,\varepsilon_*} > 0$ such that

$$|g(\varepsilon x, t)t| \leq |f(t)t| \leq \varepsilon_* |t|^{p_m} + C_{q,\varepsilon_*} |t|^q \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |t|^{N/(N-s)}) \tag{2.41}$$

for all $t \geq 0$. Combining (2.39) and (2.41), by arguments as Proposition 2 in [54], we can get the result of Proposition 2. We omit the details at here. \square

Lemma 7. *The functional I_ε satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) *There exists $\alpha > 0, \rho > 0$ such that $I_\varepsilon(u) \geq \alpha$ for all $u \in W_\varepsilon$ with $\|u\|_{W_\varepsilon} = \rho$.*
- (ii) *There exists $e \in W_\varepsilon$ with $\|e\|_{W_\varepsilon} > \rho$ such that $I_\varepsilon(e) < 0$.*

Proof. First we prove the statement (i). From (2.41), for any $\tau > 0$ and some $q > p_m$, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$|G(\varepsilon x, t)| \leq |g(\varepsilon x, t)t| \leq |f(t)t| \leq \tau |t|^{p_m} + C |t|^q \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |t|^{N/(N-s)})$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for all $u \in W_\varepsilon$ such that $\|u\|_{W_\varepsilon} \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 I_\varepsilon(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i} \|u\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}}^{p_i} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(\varepsilon x, u) dx \\
 &\geq \frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} \|u\|_{W_\varepsilon}^{p_m} - \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^{p_m} dx - C \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |u|^{N/(N-s)}) dx.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.42}$$

Using Hölder inequality, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |u|^{N/(N-s)}) dx \leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |u|^{N/(N-s)}) \right)^t dx \right)^{1/t} \|u\|_{L^{qt'}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^q,
 \tag{2.43}$$

where $t > 1, t' > 1$ such that $\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t'} = 1$. By Lemma 2.3 [38], for any $b > t$, there exist a constant $C(b) > 0$ such that

$$\left(\Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |u|^{N/(N-s)}) \right)^t \leq C(b) \Phi_{N,s}(b\alpha_0 |u|^{N/(N-s)})
 \tag{2.44}$$

on \mathbb{R}^N . We get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |u|^{N/(N-s)}) \right)^t &\leq C(b) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_{N,s}(b\alpha_0 |u|^{N/(N-s)}) dx \\
 &= C(b) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_{N,s}(b\alpha_0 \vartheta^{-s/(N-s)} \|u\|_{W_\varepsilon}^{N/(N-s)} |\vartheta^{s/N} u| \|u\|_{W_\varepsilon}^{N/(N-s)}) dx.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.45}$$

When $\|u\|_{W_\varepsilon}$ is small enough and b near t , we have

$$b\alpha_0 \vartheta^{-s/(N-s)} \|u\|_{W_\varepsilon}^{N/(N-s)} < \alpha_*,
 \tag{2.46}$$

From (2.45) and (2.46), there exists a constant $D > 0$ such that

$$\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |u|^{N/(N-s)}) \right)^t dx \right)^{1/t} \leq D.$$

Since the embedding from $W_\varepsilon \rightarrow L^{qt'}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ is continuous, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u|^q \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |u|^{N/(N-s)}) dx \leq DS_{qt',\varepsilon}^{-q} \|u\|_{W_\varepsilon}^q < +\infty.
 \tag{2.47}$$

From (1.7), we have

$$\|u\|_{L^{p_m}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq S_{p_m, \varepsilon}^{-1} \|u\|_{W_\varepsilon} \text{ for all } u \in W_\varepsilon. \tag{2.48}$$

Hence, combine (2.42), (2.47) and (2.48), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon(u) &\geq \frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} \|u\|_{W_\varepsilon}^{p_m} - \tau S_{p_m, \varepsilon}^{-p_m} \|u\|_{W_\varepsilon}^{p_m} - CDS_{qt', \varepsilon}^{-q} \|u\|_{W_\varepsilon}^q \\ &= \|u\|_{W_\varepsilon}^{p_m} \left[\left(\frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau S_{p_m, \varepsilon}^{-p_m} \right) - CDS_{qt', \varepsilon}^{-q} \|u\|_{W_\varepsilon}^{q-p_m} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{2.49}$$

We see $\frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau S_{p_m, \varepsilon}^{-p_m} > 0$ for τ small enough. Let

$$h(t) = \frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau S_{p_m, \varepsilon}^{-p_m} - CDS_{qt', \varepsilon}^{-q} t^{q-p_m}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

We now prove there exists $t_0 > 0$ small satisfying $h(t_0) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau S_{p_m, \varepsilon}^{-p_m} \right)$. We see that h is continuous function on $[0, +\infty)$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} h(t) = \frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau S_{p_m, \varepsilon}^{-p_m}$, then there exists t_0 such that $h(t) \geq \frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau S_{p_m, \varepsilon}^{-p_m} - \varepsilon_1$ for all $0 \leq t \leq t_0$, t_0 is small enough such that $\|u\|_{W_\varepsilon} = t_0$ satisfies (2.46). If we choose $\varepsilon_1 = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau S_{p_m, \varepsilon}^{-p_m} \right)$, we have

$$h(t) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau S_{p_m, \varepsilon}^{-p_m} \right)$$

for all $0 \leq t \leq t_0$. Especially,

$$h(t_0) \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau S_{p_m, \varepsilon}^{-p_m} \right). \tag{2.50}$$

From (2.49) and (2.50), for $\|u\|_{W_\varepsilon} = t_0$, we have

$$I_\varepsilon(u) \geq \frac{t_0^{p_m}}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{(m+1)^{1-p_m}}{p_m} - \tau S_{p_m, \varepsilon}^{-p_m} \right) = \rho_0.$$

Second, we prove the statement (ii). Set $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\}$ such that $\text{supp}(u) \subset \Lambda_\varepsilon$. From the condition (f₄) and all $t > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon(tu) &= \frac{t^{N/s}}{p} \|u\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/s} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{t^{p_i}}{p_i} \|u\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(tu) dx \\ &\leq \frac{t^{N/s}}{p} \|u\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/s} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{t^{p_i}}{p_i} \|u\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \gamma_1 t^\mu \int_{\text{supp}(u)} |u(x)|^\mu dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mu > p_m > \frac{N}{s}$, we have $I_\varepsilon(tu) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$. Taking $v = \rho_1 u$, $\rho_1 > t_0 > 0$ large enough, we have $I_\varepsilon(v) < 0$, $\|v\|_\eta > t_0$. □

From Lemma 7 and the version of Mountain Pass Theorem, there exists a $(PS)_{c_\varepsilon}$ sequence $\{u_n\} \subset W_\varepsilon$, that is,

$$I_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow c_\varepsilon \text{ and } I'_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow 0,$$

where

$$c_\varepsilon = \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0,1]} I_\varepsilon(\gamma(t))$$

and $\Gamma = \{\gamma \in C([0, 1], W_\varepsilon) : \gamma(0) = 0, I_\varepsilon(\gamma(1)) < 0\}$.

The following result is the characteristic of Mountain Pass level which the original idea comes from [43]:

Proposition 3. *We have $c_\varepsilon = \inf_{u \in W_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{t \geq 0} I_\varepsilon(tu) = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} I_\varepsilon(u)$.*

Proof. We denote $c_\varepsilon^* = \inf_{u \in W_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}} \sup_{t \geq 0} I_\varepsilon(tu)$ and $c_\varepsilon^{**} = \inf_{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon} I_\varepsilon(u)$. For each $u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}$, there exists a unique $t(u) > 0$ such that $t(u)u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ and the maximum of $I_\varepsilon(tu)$ for all $t \geq 0$ is achieved at $t = t(u)$. Indeed, by Lemma 7, $h_u(t) = I_\varepsilon(tu) > 0$ when $t > 0$ is small enough and $h_u(t) = I_\varepsilon(tu) < 0$ when $t > 0$ is large enough. Then there exists $t(u) > 0$ such that $h'_u(t(u)) = I'_\varepsilon(t(u)u) = \max_{t \geq 0} I'_\varepsilon(tu)$. By Fermat's Theorem, we have $h'_u(t(u)) = 0$ iff $t(u)u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$. From $g(\varepsilon x, t) = 0$ for all $t \leq 0$, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|u\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}}^p}{t^{p_m-p}} + \dots + \frac{\|u\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_1}}^{p_1}}{t^{p_m-p_1}} + \|u\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_m}}^{p_m} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{ug(\varepsilon x, tu)}{t^{p_m-1}} dx \\ &= \int_{\{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : tu(x) > 0\}} (u^+)^{p_m} \frac{g(\varepsilon x, tu^+)}{(tu^+)^{p_m-1}} dx. \end{aligned}$$

We consider the case $m \geq 2$, the case $m = 1$ is proved similarly. Arguing by a contradiction, there exists two positive numbers $t_1 > t_2 > 0$ such that $t_1u, t_2u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, from (g_ε) , we get

$$\begin{aligned} &\left(\frac{1}{t_1^{p_m-p}} - \frac{1}{t_2^{p_m-p}}\right) [u]_{S,p}^p + \left(\frac{1}{t_1^{p_m-p}} - \frac{1}{t_2^{p_m-p}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |u|^p dx + \dots \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{t_1^{p_m-p_{m-1}}} - \frac{1}{t_2^{p_m-p_{m-1}}}\right) [u]_{S,p_{m-1}}^{p_{m-1}} \\ &+ \left(\frac{1}{t_1^{p_m-p_{m-1}}} - \frac{1}{t_2^{p_m-p_{m-1}}}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |u|^{p_{m-1}} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (u^+)^{p_m} \left[\frac{g(\varepsilon x, t_1 u^+)}{(t_1 u^+)^{p_m-1}} - \frac{g(\varepsilon x, t_2 u^+)}{(t_2 u^+)^{p_m-1}} \right] dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda_\varepsilon} (u^+)^{p_m} \left[\frac{g(\varepsilon x, t_1 u^+)}{(t_1 u^+)^{p_m-1}} - \frac{g(\varepsilon x, t_2 u^+)}{(t_2 u^+)^{p_m-1}} \right] dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} (u^+)^{p_m} \left[\frac{g(\varepsilon x, t_1 u^+)}{(t_1 u^+)^{p_m-1}} - \frac{g(\varepsilon x, t_2 u^+)}{(t_2 u^+)^{p_m-1}} \right] dx \\
 & \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda_\varepsilon} (u^+)^{p_m} \left[\frac{g(\varepsilon x, t_1 u^+)}{(t_1 u^+)^{p_m-1}} - \frac{g(\varepsilon x, t_2 u^+)}{(t_2 u^+)^{p_m-1}} \right] dx. \tag{2.51}
 \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda_\varepsilon} (u^+)^{p_m} \left[\frac{g(\varepsilon x, t_1 u^+)}{(t_1 u^+)^{p_m-1}} - \frac{g(\varepsilon x, t_2 u^+)}{(t_2 u^+)^{p_m-1}} \right] dx \\
 & = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda_\varepsilon) \cap \{t_2 u > a\}} (u^+)^{p_m} \left[\frac{g(\varepsilon x, t_1 u^+)}{(t_1 u^+)^{p_m-1}} - \frac{g(\varepsilon x, t_2 u^+)}{(t_2 u^+)^{p_m-1}} \right] dx \\
 & \quad + \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda_\varepsilon) \cap \{t_2 u \leq a < t_1 u\}} (u^+)^{p_1} \left[\frac{g(\varepsilon x, t_1 u^+)}{(t_1 u^+)^{p_m-1}} - \frac{g(\varepsilon x, t_2 u^+)}{(t_2 u^+)^{p_m-1}} \right] dx \\
 & \quad + \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda_\varepsilon) \cap \{t_1 u < a\}} (u^+)^{p_m} \left[\frac{g(\varepsilon x, t_1 u^+)}{(t_1 u^+)^{p_m-1}} - \frac{g(\varepsilon x, t_2 u^+)}{(t_2 u^+)^{p_m-1}} \right] dx \\
 & := I + II + III.
 \end{aligned}$$

By the definition of g , we have $I = 0$. Since $g(\varepsilon x, t) = \tilde{f}(t) = \frac{V_0}{k} t^{p_m-1}$ for all $x \in \Lambda_\varepsilon^c$ and $t > a$, we get

$$II = \int_{(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus \Lambda_\varepsilon) \cap \{t_2 u \leq a < t_1 u\}} (u^+)^{p_m} \left[\frac{V_0}{k} - \frac{g(\varepsilon x, t_2 u^+)}{(t_2 u^+)^{p_m-1}} \right] dx.$$

We have $\frac{g(\varepsilon x, t_2 u^+)}{(t_2 u^+)^{p_m-1}} = \frac{f(t_2 u^+)}{(t_2 u^+)^{p_m-1}} \leq \frac{f(a)}{a^{p_m-1}} = \frac{V_0}{k}$ since $\frac{f(t)}{t^{p_m-1}}$ is an increasing function. Therefore $II \geq 0$. By the condition (g7) and $t_1 u^+ > t_2 u^+$, we have $III > 0$. Since $t_1 > t_2$, then we have $\frac{1}{t_1^{p_m-p}} - \frac{1}{t_2^{p_m-p}} < 0$ and $\frac{1}{t_1^{p_m-p_i}} - \frac{1}{t_2^{p_m-p_i}} < 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m-1$. Combine that property, (2.51) and $I + II + III > 0$, we get a contradiction. Thus $t(u)$ is uniqueness. Therefore, we see that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} I_\varepsilon(tu) = I(t(u)u)$$

and $t(u)u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$. It implies that $c_\varepsilon^* = c_\varepsilon^{**}$. On the other hand, for fixed $u \in W_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}$, we have $I_\varepsilon(tu) < 0$ when t large enough. Then there exist $t_0 \gg 0$ such that $I_\varepsilon(tu) < 0$ for all $t \geq t_0$. We consider the curve $g_u : [0, 1] \rightarrow W_\varepsilon$ such that $g_u(t) = tt_0u$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ and $g_u \in \Gamma$. Hence, we obtain $\max_{t \geq 0} I_\varepsilon(tu) = \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I(g_u(t))$ and it implies that

$$c_\varepsilon^* = \inf_{u \in W_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \geq 0} I_\varepsilon(tu) = \inf_{u \in W_\varepsilon \setminus \{0\}} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I(g_u(t)) \geq \inf_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \max_{t \in [0, 1]} I(\gamma(t)) = c_\varepsilon.$$

Next we prove that $c_\varepsilon \geq c_\varepsilon^{**}$. Indeed, we only need show that every path $\gamma \in \Gamma$ has to cross \mathcal{N}_ε . Conversely, if $\gamma \cap \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon = \emptyset$, then $\langle I'_\varepsilon(\gamma(t)), \gamma(t) \rangle > 0$ or $\langle I'_\varepsilon(\gamma(t)), \gamma(t) \rangle < 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$. We have

$$\langle I'_\varepsilon(\gamma(t)), \gamma(t) \rangle = \|\gamma(t)\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \|\gamma(t)\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, \gamma(t))\gamma(t)dx.$$

Using Trudinger–Moser inequality we get

$$\langle I'_\varepsilon(\gamma(t)), \gamma(t) \rangle > 0$$

when $\|\gamma(t)\|_{W_\varepsilon}$ is small enough. Then the case $\langle I'_\varepsilon(\gamma(t)), \gamma(t) \rangle < 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ is not true. Next, we prove that $\langle I'_\varepsilon(\gamma(t)), \gamma(t) \rangle > 0$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$ can not occur.

From the assumptions (g₄) and (g₅), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, \gamma(t))\gamma(t)dx &= \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} g(\varepsilon x, \gamma(t))\gamma(t)dx + \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon^c} g(\varepsilon x, \gamma(t))\gamma(t)dx \\ &\geq \mu \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} G(\varepsilon x, \gamma(t))dx + p_m \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon^c} G(\varepsilon x, \gamma(t))dx \geq p_m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(\varepsilon x, \gamma(t))dx. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \langle I'_\varepsilon(\gamma(t)), \gamma(t) \rangle &\leq \|\gamma(t)\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^m \|\gamma(t)\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - p_m \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(\varepsilon x, \gamma(t))dx \end{aligned}$$

for all $t \in [0, 1]$. By the definition of γ , when t near 1, we have $I_\varepsilon(\gamma(t)) < 0$ due to the continuous of I_ε on W_ε . Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(\varepsilon x, \gamma(t))dx &< \frac{1}{p_m} (\|\gamma(t)\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \|\gamma(t)\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i}) \\ &< \frac{1}{p} \|\gamma(t)\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i} \|\gamma(t)\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} < \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(\varepsilon x, \gamma(t))dx. \end{aligned}$$

It is a contradiction. Hence $\gamma \cap \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$ and then $c_\varepsilon \geq c_\varepsilon^{**}$. □

Lemma 8. Assume that $\{u_n\} \subset W_\varepsilon$ is a $(PS)_d$ sequence for the functional I_ε and $k > \frac{\mu}{\mu - p_m}$. If $0 < d$ and d satisfies the condition

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{-s/N} d^{s/N} \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{-1}{p_i}} \frac{1}{d^{1/p_i}} + \left(\frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p_m k} \right)^{\frac{-1}{p_m}} \frac{1}{d^{1/p_m}} \right]^{N/(N-s)} \\ & < \frac{\beta_* \mathfrak{d}_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0}, \end{aligned}$$

if $m \geq 2$ and

$$\left[\left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{-s/N} d^{s/N} + \left(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p_1 k} \right)^{\frac{-1}{p_1}} \frac{1}{d^{1/p_1}} \right]^{N/(N-s)} < \frac{\beta_* \mathfrak{d}_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0}$$

if $m = 1$, then $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in W_ε and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{W_\varepsilon}^{N/(N-s)} < \frac{\beta_* \mathfrak{d}_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0},$$

where $c > 1$ is a suitable constant and $\mathfrak{d}_* = \min\{1, V_0\}$.

Proof. We only consider the case $m \geq 2$. The case $m = 1$ is proved similarly as $m \geq 2$. We omit the details. First, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} & d + o_n(1) + o_n(1) \|u_n\|_{W_\varepsilon} \geq I_\varepsilon(u_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle I'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle \\ & = \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \\ & \quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} g(\varepsilon x, u_n) u_n - G(\varepsilon x, u_n) \right) dx \\ & \geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \\ & \quad + \int_{\Lambda^c} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} g(\varepsilon x, u_n) u_n - G(\varepsilon x, u_n) \right) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 d + o_n(1) + o_n(1) \|u_n\|_{W_\varepsilon} &\geq \int_{\Lambda^c} \left(\frac{1}{\mu} g(\varepsilon x, u_n) u_n - G(\varepsilon x, u_n) \right) dx \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \int_{\Lambda^c} G(\varepsilon x, u_n) dx \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \int_{\Lambda^c} \frac{V_0}{kp_m} |u_n|^{p_m} dx \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \frac{1}{p_mk} \int_{\Lambda^c} V(\varepsilon x) |u_n|^{p_m} dx \\
 &\geq \left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/s} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \\
 &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p_mk} \right) \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_m}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_m}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $k > \frac{\mu}{\mu - p_m}$, using the property

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow +\infty, x_1 \rightarrow +\infty, \dots, x_m \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{ax^p + a_1x_1^{p_1} + \dots + a_mx_m^{p_m}}{x + x_1 + \dots + x_m} = +\infty,$$

where $a > 0, a_1 > 0, \dots, a_m > 0$, we have $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in W_ε . Then, we deduce

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/s} \leq \frac{d}{\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu}}, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \leq \frac{d}{\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu}}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$ and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_m}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_m} \leq \frac{d}{\frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p_mk}}.$$

From the assumption of d , we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{W_\varepsilon}^{N/(N-s)} &= \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \sum_{i=1}^m \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \right)^{N/(N-s)} \\
 &\leq \left[\left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{-s/N} d^{s/N} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{-1}{p_i}} \frac{1}{d^{p_i}} \right. \\
 &\quad \left. + \left(\frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p_mk} \right)^{\frac{-1}{p_m}} \frac{1}{d^{p_m}} \right]^{N/(N-s)} < \frac{\beta_* \mathfrak{d}_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0}.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 9. *Let $d > 0$ and d satisfies the condition*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{-s/N} d^{s/N} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \frac{-1}{p_i} \frac{1}{d} \frac{1}{p_i} \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p_m k} \right) \frac{-1}{p_m} \frac{1}{d} \frac{1}{p_m} \right]^{N/(N-s)} \\ & < \frac{\beta_* d_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0}, \end{aligned}$$

if $m \geq 2$ and

$$\left[\left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{-s/N} d^{s/N} + \left(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p_1 k} \right) \frac{-1}{p_1} \frac{1}{d} \frac{1}{p_1} \right]^{N/(N-s)} < \frac{\beta_* d_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0}$$

if $m = 1$, and $\{u_n\} \subset W_\varepsilon$ be a $(PS)_d$ sequence for I_ε such that $u_n \rightarrow 0$ weak in W_ε . Then we have either:

- (i) $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in W_ε or
- (ii) there exists a sequence $\{y_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and constants $R > 0, \beta > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(y_n)} |u_n|^{p_m} dx \geq \beta > 0.$$

Proof. Suppose that (ii) doesnot occur. By Lemma 8, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/(N-s)} < \frac{\beta_* d_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0}.$$

Since the embeddings $W_\varepsilon \rightarrow W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,N/s}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ are continuous, then we can apply Lemma 5 and get $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for $q \in (p_m, +\infty)$. By arguments as Lemma 6, from the conditions (g_2) and (g_3) , using the inequality (2.40), we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(u_n)u_n dx = 0$. Recalling that $\langle I'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then we deduce $u_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly in W_ε . The proof of Lemma 9 is completed. \square

Lemma 10. *The number c_ε and c_{V_0} satisfy the following inequality*

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} c_\varepsilon \leq c_{V_0} \leq a \left(1 - \frac{N}{s\mu} \right) \left(\frac{aN}{\gamma_1 s \mu} \right)^{N/(\mu s - N)}$$

for all $\gamma_1 \geq a$,

$$a = \frac{s(A_{\mu,\eta} + \varepsilon_*)^{N/s}}{N} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(A_{\mu,\eta} + \varepsilon_*)^{p_i}}{p_i}$$

for some $\varepsilon_* > 0$.

Proof. First, we consider the case $m \geq 2$. Let $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N, [0, 1])$ be such that $\phi \equiv 1$ on $B_{\delta/2}(0)$, $\text{supp}(\phi) \subset B_\delta(0) \subset \Lambda$ for some $\delta > 0$ and $\phi \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_\delta(0)$. For each $\varepsilon > 0$, let us define $v_\varepsilon(x) = \phi(\varepsilon x)w(x)$, where w is a ground state solution of the problem (P_{V_0}) given in Proposition 1. Then $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow w$ strong in $W^{s,N/s}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^m W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ (see Lemma 2.4 [14]). We see that support of v_ε is contained in $\Lambda_\varepsilon = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^N : \varepsilon x \in \Lambda\}$. For each v_ε , there exists $t_\varepsilon > 0$ such that $t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_\varepsilon \leq I_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) &= \frac{t_\varepsilon^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|v_\varepsilon(x) - v_\varepsilon(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{2N}} dx dy + \frac{t_\varepsilon^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |v_\varepsilon(x)|^p dx \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{t_\varepsilon^{p_i}}{p_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|v_\varepsilon(x) - v_\varepsilon(y)|^{p_i}}{|x - y|^{N+p_i s}} dx dy + \frac{t_\varepsilon^{p_i}}{p_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |v_\varepsilon(x)|^{p_i} dx \right) \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(\varepsilon x, t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) dx \\ &= \frac{t_\varepsilon^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|v_\varepsilon(x) - v_\varepsilon(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{2N}} dx dy + \frac{t_\varepsilon^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |v_\varepsilon(x)|^p dx \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{t_\varepsilon^{p_i}}{p_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|v_\varepsilon(x) - v_\varepsilon(y)|^{p_i}}{|x - y|^{N+p_i s}} dx dy + \frac{t_\varepsilon^{p_i}}{p_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon x) |v_\varepsilon(x)|^{p_i} dx \right) \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) dx \end{aligned}$$

Since $t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$, we have

$$\|t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \|t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon dx. \tag{2.52}$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} I_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) &= \frac{1}{p} \|t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i} \|t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) dx \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{pm} \right) \|t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{pm} \right) \|t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \\ &- \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left(\frac{1}{pm} f(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon - F(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) \right) dx \geq 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2.53}$$

From (2.53), we see that the sequence $\{t_\varepsilon\}$ must be bounded as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Indeed, if $t_\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, then using the condition (f_4) , we have

$$I_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon) \geq \frac{t_\varepsilon^p}{p} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{t_\varepsilon^{p_i}}{p_i} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \gamma t_\varepsilon^\mu \|v_\varepsilon\|_{L^\mu(\mathbb{R}^N)}^\mu \rightarrow -\infty,$$

which is a contradiction with (2.53). Thus, we can assume that $t_\varepsilon \rightarrow t_0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} c_\varepsilon &\leq \frac{t_0^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|w(x) - w(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{2N}} dx dy + \frac{t_0^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 |w|^p dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{t_0^{p_i}}{p_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|w(x) - w(y)|^{p_i}}{|x - y|^{N+p_i s}} dx dy \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{t_0^{p_i}}{p_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 |w|^{p_i} dx \right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(t_0 w) dx \\ &= J_{V_0}(t_0 w) \end{aligned}$$

via to Vitali’s theorem. If $t_0 = 0$, by the condition (f_1) and (f_3) , we have

$$|f(t)| \leq \varepsilon_* |t|^{p_m - 1} + C(\varepsilon_*) |t|^{q-1} \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |t|^{N/(N-s)})$$

for all $t \geq 0$ and some constants $q > p_m$. Then from (2.52), we get

$$\begin{aligned} t_\varepsilon^{p-p_1} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}}^p + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} t_\varepsilon^{p-p_i} \|v_\varepsilon\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}}^{p_i} + \|v_\varepsilon\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_m}}^{p_m} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon)}{t_\varepsilon^{p_m-1}} v_\varepsilon dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon_* \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_\varepsilon|^{p_m} dx + t_\varepsilon^{q-p_m} C(\varepsilon_*) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_\varepsilon|^q \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |t_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon|^{N/(N-s)}) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{2.54}$$

Choose $\varepsilon_* > 0$ is small enough, using Trudinger–Moser inequality and note that $v_\varepsilon \rightarrow w$ strong $W^{s,t}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ($t \geq \frac{N}{s}$) from (2.54), we get a contradiction since the left side tends to ∞ and the right side tends to zero. Hence $t_0 > 0$. Using Vitali’s theorem and take limit of (2.52) as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} &t_0^{p-p_1} \|w\|_{W_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}^p \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} t_0^{p-p_i} \|w\|_{W_{V_0, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}^{p_i} + \|w\|_{W_{V_0, W^{s,p_m}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}^{p_m} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(t_0 w)}{t_0^{p_m-1}} w dx. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $w \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$ and using the condition (f_5) , we obtain $t_0 = 1$. Therefore

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} c_\varepsilon \leq J_{V_0}(w) = c_{V_0}.$$

By Lemma 4, we get $c_{V_0} \leq C_{\gamma_1} = a(1 - \frac{N}{s\mu})(\frac{aN}{\gamma_1 s \mu})^{N/(\mu s - N)}$ for all $\gamma_1 \geq a$. In the case $m = 1$, we can proved similarly as above. We omit the details. \square

Lemma 11. *The functional I_ε satisfies the $(PS)_d$ condition at any level $d > 0$ and d satisfies the condition*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{-s/N} d^{s/N} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{-1}{p_i}} d^{\frac{1}{p_i}} \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p_m k} \right)^{\frac{-1}{p_m}} d^{\frac{1}{p_m}} \right]^{N/(N-s)} \\ & < \frac{\beta_* \mathfrak{D}_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0}, \end{aligned}$$

if $m \geq 2$ and

$$\left[\left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{-s/N} d^{s/N} + \left(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p_1 k} \right)^{\frac{-1}{p_1}} d^{\frac{1}{p_1}} \right]^{N/(N-s)} < \frac{\beta_* \mathfrak{D}_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0}$$

if $m = 1$, where $c > 1$ is a suitable constant and c near 1.

Proof. Let $\{u_n\}$ be a $(PS)_d$ sequence of I_ε , then by Lemma 8, $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in W_ε and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{W_\varepsilon}^{N/(N-s)} < \frac{\beta_* \mathfrak{D}_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0}, \tag{2.55}$$

where $c > 1$ is a suitable constant and c near 1. Therefore, up to a subsequence, we can assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weak in W_ε , $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $q \in [\frac{N}{s}, +\infty)$ and $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ almost everywhere on \mathbb{R}^N . By arguments as Lemma 2.5 [8], for any $\varepsilon_* > 0$, there exists $R = R(\varepsilon_*) > 0$ such that $\Lambda_\varepsilon \subset B_R(0)$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} & \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{2N}} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^{p_i}}{|x - y|^{N+p_i s}} \right. \\ & \left. + V(\varepsilon x)(|u_n|^p + \sum_{i=1}^m |u_n|^{p_i}) \right) dx < \varepsilon_*. \end{aligned}$$

Then, we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |u_n|^{N/s} dx < \frac{\varepsilon_*}{V_0} \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |u_n|^{p_m} dx < \frac{\varepsilon_*}{V_0} \tag{2.56}$$

for all n large enough. From the condition (f_1) , (f_3) and (g_3) , we get

$$|g(x, t)t| \leq \delta |t|^{p_m} + C_\delta |t|^q \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |t|^{N/(N-s)}) \tag{2.57}$$

for all $t \in \mathbb{R}, x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and some $\delta > 0, q > p_m$. Using (2.55), (2.57) and Trudinger–Moser inequality, Hölder inequality, there exists $D > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |g(\varepsilon x, u_n)u_n| dx \leq \delta \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |u_n|^{p_m} dx + D \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |u_n|^{qt} dx \right)^{1/t} \tag{2.58}$$

for some constant $t > 1$.

For any $v \in (\frac{N}{s}, +\infty)$, choose $\alpha > \frac{N}{s}$ such that $v \in (\frac{N}{s}, \alpha)$, there exists $\sigma_1 \in (0, 1)$ such that $\frac{1}{v} = \frac{s\sigma_1}{N} + \frac{1-\sigma_1}{\alpha}$. Apply the Hölder inequality to estimate $\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |u_n(x)|^v dx$, and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |u_n(x)|^v dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |u_n(x)|^{v\sigma_1} |u_n(x)|^{(1-\sigma_1)v} dx \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |u_n(x)|^{N/s} dx \right)^{\sigma_1 v s/N} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |u_n(x)|^\alpha dx \right)^{(1-\sigma_1)v/\alpha}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.59}$$

From (2.48), we have

$$\|u_n\|_{L^\alpha(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0))} \leq S_{\alpha, \varepsilon}^{-1} \|u_n\|_{W_\varepsilon}.$$

On combining that inequality with (2.59), we deduce

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |u_n(x)|^v dx \leq S_{\alpha, \varepsilon}^{-(1-\sigma_1)v} \|u_n\|_{L^{N/s}(\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0))}^{\sigma_1 v} \|u_n\|_{W_\varepsilon}^{(1-\sigma_1)v}. \tag{2.60}$$

From (2.55), (2.56) and (2.60), there exists constant $\mathcal{D} > 0$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |u_n(x)|^v dx \leq \mathcal{D} \varepsilon_*^*. \tag{2.61}$$

Join (2.56), (2.58) and apply (2.61) to $v = qt$, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |g(\varepsilon x, u_n)u_n| dx \leq \kappa^* \varepsilon_*$$

for all n large enough and $\kappa^* > 0$ is a suitable constant. Hence, we deduce

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |g(\varepsilon x, u_n)u_n| dx = 0. \tag{2.62}$$

Note that $\Lambda_\varepsilon \subset B_R(0)$, and the embedding from W_ε into $L^q(B_R(0))$ is compact for any $q \in [\frac{N}{s}, +\infty)$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(0)} |g(\varepsilon x, u_n)u_n| dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_R(0)} |g(\varepsilon x, u)u| dx \tag{2.63}$$

by the Lebesgue Dominated convergence theorem or Vitali’s theorem. Using Trudinger–Moser inequality, we get $g(\varepsilon x, u)u \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^N)$, then can choose R large enough such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |g(\varepsilon x, u)u| dx < \varepsilon_*. \tag{2.64}$$

From (2.62), (2.63) and (2.64), we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, u_n)u_n dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, u)u dx. \tag{2.65}$$

By arguments as in [54], we get $\langle I'_\varepsilon(u), \varphi \rangle = 0$ for all $\varphi \in W_\varepsilon$. Consequently, we get $\langle I'_\varepsilon(u), u \rangle = 0$, or equivalently

$$\|u\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \|u\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, u)u dx. \tag{2.66}$$

Since $\{u_n\}$ is (PS) sequence, then $\langle I'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle = o_n(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$\|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, u_n)u_n dx + o_n(1). \tag{2.67}$$

Apply Brezis–Lieb lemma, (2.66) and (2.67), we obtain $u_n \rightarrow u$ strong in W_ε . We finish the proof of Lemma 11. \square

Lemma 12. *The functional I_ε restricted to \mathcal{N}_ε satisfies the $(PS)_d$ condition at any level $d > 0$ and d verifies*

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{-s/N} d^{s/N} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{\frac{-1}{p_i} \frac{1}{d}} \right. \\ & \left. + \left(\frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p_m k} \right)^{\frac{-1}{p_m} \frac{1}{d}} \right]^{N/(N-s)} \\ & < \frac{\beta_* d^{s/(N-s)}}{\alpha \alpha_0}, \end{aligned}$$

if $m \geq 2$ and

$$\left[\left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{-s/N} d^{s/N} + \left(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p_1 k} \right) \frac{-1}{p_1} \frac{1}{d p_1} \right]^{N/(N-s)} < \frac{\beta_* d_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0}$$

if $m = 1$, where $c > 1$ is a suitable constant and near 1.

Proof. Let $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ be such that $I_\varepsilon(u_n) \rightarrow d$ and $\|I'_\varepsilon(u_n)|_{\mathcal{N}_\varepsilon}\|_{W_\varepsilon^*} = o_n(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, where W_ε^* is the dual space of W_ε . Then there exists $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$I'_\varepsilon(u_n) = \lambda_n T'_\varepsilon(u_n) + o_n(1), \tag{2.68}$$

where

$$T_\varepsilon(u) = \|u\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \|u\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, u) u dx.$$

Taking into account $\langle I'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle T'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle &= p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{2N}} dx dy + p \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} V(\varepsilon x) |u_n|^p dx \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(p_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u_n(x) - u_n(y)|^{p_i}}{|x - y|^{N+p_i s}} dx dy + p_i \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} V(\varepsilon x) |u_n|^{p_i} dx \right) \\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon x, u_n) u_n dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g'_t(\varepsilon x, u_n) u_n^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ((p_m - 1)g(\varepsilon x, u_n) u_n - g'_t(\varepsilon x, u_n) u_n^2) dx \\ &= \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} ((p_m - 1)g(\varepsilon x, u_n) u_n - g'_t(\varepsilon x, u_n) u_n^2) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon^c \cap \{x: u_n(x) < a\}} ((p_m - 1)g(\varepsilon x, u_n) u_n - g'_t(\varepsilon x, u_n) u_n^2) dx \\ &\quad + \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon^c \cap \{x: u_n(x) \geq a\}} ((p_m - 1)g(\varepsilon x, u_n) u_n - g'_t(\varepsilon x, u_n) u_n^2) dx. \end{aligned}$$

When $x \in \Lambda_\varepsilon^s$ and $t > a$, we have $g(\varepsilon x, t) = \frac{V_0}{k} t^{p_m-1}$. It implies that

$$(p_m - 1)g(\varepsilon x, t)t - g'_t(\varepsilon x, t)t^2 = 0.$$

Therefore, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 - \langle T'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle &\geq \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} (g'_t(\varepsilon x, u_n)u_n^2 - (p_m - 1)g(\varepsilon x, u_n)u_n)dx \\
 &+ \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon^c \cap \{x:u_n(x)<a\}} (g'_t(\varepsilon x, u_n)u_n^2 - (p_m - 1)g(\varepsilon x, u_n)u_n)dx \geq 0
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.69}$$

via to the conditions (g₆) and (g₇). By arguments as Lemma 8, for γ_1 large enough, we have $\{u_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in W_ε and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{W_\varepsilon}^{N/(N-s)} < \frac{\beta_* \delta_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0},
 \tag{2.70}$$

where $c > 1$ is a suitable constant and c near 1. Therefore, up to a subsequence, we can assume that $u_n \rightharpoonup u$ weak in W_ε , $u_n \rightarrow u$ in $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $q \in [\frac{N}{s}, +\infty)$ and $u_n(x) \rightarrow u(x)$ almost everywhere on \mathbb{R}^N . We prove that $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle T'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle < 0$. Conversely, if $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle T'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle = 0$, then up to a subsequence, we can assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle T'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle = 0$. Using Fatou’s lemma and (2.69), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 &\geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} (g'_t(\varepsilon x, u_n)u_n^2 - (p_m - 1)g(\varepsilon x, u_n)u_n)dx \\
 &\geq \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} (g'_t(\varepsilon x, u)u^2 - (p_m - 1)g(\varepsilon x, u)u)dx \geq 0
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{2.71}$$

due to the condition (g₇). Hence $u \equiv 0$ in Λ_ε . Then $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in $L^q(\Lambda_\varepsilon)$. Using Trudinger–Moser inequality and (2.70), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} g(\varepsilon x, u_n)u_n dx = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} f(u_n)u_n dx = 0.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} &= \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon} g(\varepsilon x, u_n)u_n dx + \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon^c} g(\varepsilon x, u_n)u_n dx \\
 &= \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon^c} g(\varepsilon x, u_n)u_n dx + o_n(1) \\
 &\leq \frac{1}{k} \int_{\Lambda_\varepsilon^c} V(\varepsilon x)|u_n|^{p_m} dx + o_n(1),
 \end{aligned}$$

thanks to the condition (g_5) . Then, we deduce

$$\|u_n\|_{W_\varepsilon} \rightarrow 0$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$, it is a contradiction with the fact that $\|u_n\|_{W_\varepsilon} \geq r_* > 0$ for all n . In conclusion, we get $\sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \langle T'_\varepsilon(u_n), u_n \rangle < 0$, and (2.68) implies $\lambda_n = o_n(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, $\{u_n\}$ is a $(PS)_c$ sequence of I_ε and Lemma 12 is obtained from Lemma 11. \square

Corollary 1. *The critical points of I_ε on \mathcal{N}_ε are critical points of I_ε in W_ε .*

Now, we prove the existence of a ground state solution for problem (P_ε^*) . That is a critical point u_ε of I_ε satisfying $I_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = c_\varepsilon$.

Theorem 7. *Assume that $(f_1) - (f_5)$ and (V) hold. Then there exists $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that (P_ε^*) has a ground state solution for all $0 < \varepsilon < \bar{\varepsilon}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 10 and Lemma 11, there exists $\bar{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that $c_\varepsilon \leq c_{V_0}$ for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$. We can choose $d = c_{V_0} \leq a(1 - \frac{N}{s\mu})(\frac{aN}{\gamma_1 s \mu})^{N/(\mu s - N)}$ and $\gamma_1 \geq \max\{a, \gamma_3\}$ where γ_3 satisfies the condition

$$\left[\left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{-s/N} \mathfrak{b}^{s/N} + \left(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p_1 k} \right) \frac{-1}{p_1} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{b} p_1} \right]^{N/(N-s)} < \frac{\beta_* \mathfrak{d}_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0}$$

in which $\mathfrak{b} = a(1 - \frac{N}{s\mu})(\frac{aN}{\gamma_3 s \mu})^{N/(\mu s - N)}$ and $m = 1$. When $m \geq 2$, γ_3 satisfies the condition

$$\left[\left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right)^{-s/N} \mathfrak{b}^{s/N} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \frac{-1}{p_i} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{b} p_i} \right]^{N/(N-s)} + \left(\frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p_m k} \right) \frac{-1}{p_m} \frac{1}{\mathfrak{b} p_m} \right]^{N/(N-s)} < \frac{\beta_* \mathfrak{d}_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0}.$$

Lemma 11 implies that I_ε satisfies the $(PS)_{c_\varepsilon}$ condition. Combine that result with Lemma 7, I_ε has a critical point at level c_ε . \square

4. Multiplicity of solutions to (P_ε^*)

In this section, we show that the existence of multiple weak solutions and study the behavior of its maximum points related with the set M . The main result of this section is equivalent to Theorem 2 and it is stated as follows:

Theorem 8. *Assume that $(f_1) - (f_5)$ and (V) hold. Then for any $\delta > 0$, there exists $\varepsilon_\delta > 0$ such that (P_ε^*) has at least $\text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M)$ nontrivial nonnegative solutions, for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_\delta$. Moreover, if u_ε denotes one of these solutions and z_ε is its global maximum, then*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} V(\varepsilon z_\varepsilon) = V_0.$$

Proof. We consider the energy function

$$\begin{aligned}
 J_{V_0}(u) &= \frac{1}{p} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{2N}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 |u|^p dx \right) \\
 &+ \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p_i}}{|x - y|^{N+p_i s}} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_0 |u|^{p_i} dx \right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(u) dx
 \end{aligned}$$

of problem (P_{V_0}) . We recall that c_{V_0} is the minimax level related to J_{V_0} and \mathcal{N}_{V_0} is the Nehari manifold related to J_{V_0} is given by

$$\mathcal{N}_{V_0} = \{u \in W^{s,N/s}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^m W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N) \setminus \{0\} : \langle J'_{V_0}(u), u \rangle = 0\}.$$

Let $\delta > 0$ be a fixed and w be a ground state solution of problem (P_{V_0}) . It means that $J_{V_0}(w) = c_{V_0}$ and $J'_{V_0}(w) = 0$. Let η be a smooth nonincreasing cut-off function in $[0, +\infty)$ such that $\eta(s) = 1$ if $0 \leq s \leq \frac{\delta}{2}$ and $\eta(s) = 0$ if $s \geq \delta$. For any $y \in M$, we denote

$$\psi_{\varepsilon,y}(x) = \eta(|\varepsilon x - y|) w \left(\frac{\varepsilon x - y}{\varepsilon} \right)$$

and $\Phi_\varepsilon : M \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ which is defined by $\Phi_\varepsilon(y) = t_\varepsilon \psi_{\varepsilon,y}$, where $t_\varepsilon > 0$ satisfies

$$\max_{t \geq 0} I_\varepsilon(t \psi_{\varepsilon,y}) = I_\varepsilon(t_\varepsilon \psi_{\varepsilon,y}).$$

From the construction, $\Phi_\varepsilon(y)$ has compact support for any $y \in M$. □

Lemma 13. *The function Φ_ε satisfies the following limit*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} I_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) = c_{V_0} \text{ uniformly in } y \in M.$$

Proof. Suppose that the statement of Lemma 13 doesnot hold, then there exists $\delta_0 > 0$, $\{y_n\} \subset M$ and $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$|I_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) - c_{V_0}| \geq \delta_0. \tag{4.1}$$

By Lemma 2.2 [14], we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi_{\varepsilon_n,y_n}\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon_n}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p = \|w\|_{W_{V_0,W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}^p. \tag{4.2}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\psi_{\varepsilon_n,y_n}\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon_n}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} = \|w\|_{W_{V_0,W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}^{p_i} \tag{4.3}$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. Since $\langle I'_{\varepsilon_n}(t_{\varepsilon_n} \psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}), t_{\varepsilon_n} \psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n} \rangle = 0$, using the change of variable $z = \frac{\varepsilon_n x - y_n}{\varepsilon_n}$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|t_{\varepsilon} \psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s, p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \|t_{\varepsilon} \psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s, p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon_n x, t_{\varepsilon} \psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}) t_{\varepsilon_n} \psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n} dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon_n z + y_n, t_{\varepsilon_n} \psi(|\varepsilon_n z|) w(z)) t_{\varepsilon_n} \psi(|\varepsilon_n z|) w(z) dz. \end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

We observe that if $z \in B_{\delta/\varepsilon_n}(0)$, then $\varepsilon_n z + y_n \in B_{\delta}(y_n) \subset M_{\delta} \subset \Lambda$. Then

$$g(\varepsilon_n z + y_n, t_{\varepsilon_n} \psi(|\varepsilon_n z|) w(z)) = f(t_{\varepsilon_n} \psi(|\varepsilon_n z|) w(z)).$$

Now we prove that $t_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow 1$. First we show that $t_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow t_0 < +\infty$. Conversely if $t_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow +\infty$, from (4.4) we have

$$t_{\varepsilon_n}^{p-p_1} \|\psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s, p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \|\psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s, p_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_1} \geq \int_{|z| \leq \frac{\delta}{2\varepsilon_n}} \frac{f(t_{\varepsilon_n} w(z)) w(z)}{t_{\varepsilon_n}^{p_1-1}} dz \tag{4.5}$$

if $m = 1$, and

$$t_{\varepsilon_n}^{p-p_m} \|\psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s, p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} t_{\varepsilon_n}^{p_i-p_m} \|\psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s, p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} + \|\psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s, p_m}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_m} \tag{4.6}$$

$$\geq \int_{|z| \leq \frac{\delta}{2\varepsilon_n}} \frac{f(t_{\varepsilon_n} w(z)) w(z)}{t_{\varepsilon_n}^{p_m-1}} dz \tag{4.7}$$

if $m \geq 2$. From the condition (f_2) and (f_4) , we have $f(t) \geq \gamma_1 \mu |t|^{\mu-1}$ for all $t \geq 0$. If $m = 1$, combine that property and (4.4), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} t_{\varepsilon_n}^{p-p_1} \|\psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s, p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \|\psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s, p_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_1} &\geq \int_{|z| \leq \frac{\delta}{2\varepsilon_n}} \frac{f(t_{\varepsilon_n} w(z)) w(z)}{t_{\varepsilon_n}^{p_1-1}} dz \\ &\geq \gamma_1 \mu t_{\varepsilon_n}^{\mu-p_1} \int_{|z| < \frac{\delta}{2\varepsilon_n}} w^{\mu} dx \rightarrow +\infty \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is a contradiction with (4.2) and (4.3). Similarly, we get a contradiction in the case $m = 2$. Therefore, up to a subsequence, we may assume that $t_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow t_0 \geq 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We consider the case that $t_0 = 0$. From (2.41), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & f(t_{\varepsilon_n} \eta(|\varepsilon_n z|)w(z))|t_{\varepsilon_n} \eta(|\varepsilon_n z|)w(z)| \\ & \leq \tau |t_{\varepsilon_n} \eta(|\varepsilon_n z|)w(z)|^{p_m} \\ & \quad + C |t_{\varepsilon_n} \eta(|\varepsilon_n z|)w(z)|^q \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |t_{\varepsilon_n} \eta(|\varepsilon_n z|)w(z)|^{N/(N-s)}) \\ & \leq \tau |t_{\varepsilon_n} w(z)|^{p_m} + C |t_{\varepsilon_n} w(z)|^q \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |t_{\varepsilon_n} w(z)|^{N/(N-s)}) \end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

due to $\Phi_{N,s}(t)$ is an increasing function on $[0, +\infty)$, where $\tau > 0$ is small enough and $q > p_m$. Combine (4.6) and (4.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|t_{\varepsilon_n} \psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \|t_{\varepsilon_n} \psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \\ & \leq \tau \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |t_{\varepsilon_n} w(z)|^{p_m} dx + C t_{\varepsilon_n}^q \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |w(z)|^q \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |t_{\varepsilon_n} w(z)|^{N/(N-s)}) dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.9}$$

Since $\|t_{\varepsilon_n} \psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ and $\|t_{\varepsilon_n} \psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|t_{\varepsilon_n} \psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \|t_{\varepsilon_n} \psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \\ & \geq (m + 1)^{1-p_m} t_{\varepsilon_n}^{p_m} (\|\psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} + \sum_{i=1}^m \|\psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)})^{p_m}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.10}$$

Using Trudinger–Moser inequality and note that $t_{\varepsilon_n} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, take $\tau > 0$ is small enough such that $(m + 1)^{1-p_m} - \tau A_{p_m, V_0}^{-p_m} > 0$, from (4.9) and (4.10), we obtain $((m + 1)^{1-p_m} - \tau A_{p_m, V_0}^{-p_m}) \|w\|_{V_0, W}^{p_m} \leq o_n(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ due to

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^m \|\psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \rightarrow \|w\|_{W_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}} + \sum_{i=1}^m \|w\|_{W_{V_0, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}} > 0 \end{aligned}$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is a contradiction. Hence, $t_0 > 0$. Now we prove that $t_0 = 1$. From (4.6), using Lebesgue Dominated convergence theorem, we have

$$t_0^{p-1} \|w\|_{W_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}^p + \|w\|_{W_{V_0, W^{s,p_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}^{p_1} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(t_0 w)w}{t_0^{p_1-1}} dx \text{ if } m = 1$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & t_0^{p-p_m} \|w\|_{W_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}^p + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} t_0^{p_i-p_m} \|w\|_{W_{V_0, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}^{p_i} + \|w\|_{W_{V_0, W^{s,p_m}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}^{p_m} \\ & = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{f(t_0 w)w}{t_0^{p_m-1}} dx \end{aligned}$$

if $m \geq 2$. Note that $w \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$, then the condition (f_5) implies $t_0 = 1$. Still using Lebesgue Dominated convergence theorem or Vitali's theorem, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(t_\varepsilon \psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}(x)) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(w) dx.$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{t_{\varepsilon_n}^p}{p} \|\psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s, p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{t_{\varepsilon_n}^{p_i}}{p_i} \|\psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}\|_{W_{V, \varepsilon_n}^{s, p_i}}^{p_i} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(t_{\varepsilon_n} \psi_{\varepsilon_n, y_n}) dx \right] \\ &= \frac{\|w\|_{W_{V_0, W^{s, p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p}^p}{p} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\|w\|_{W_{V_0, W^{s, p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i}}^{p_i}}{p_i} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(w) dx = J_{V_0}(w) = c_{V_0} \end{aligned}$$

which contradicts with (4.1). □

For any $\delta > 0$, let $\rho = \rho(\delta) > 0$ be such that $M_\delta \subset B_\rho(0)$. Let $\chi : \mathbb{R}^N \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ be define as

$$\chi(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } |x| < \rho \\ \frac{\rho x}{|x|} & \text{if } |x| \geq \rho. \end{cases}$$

Next, we define the barycenter map $\beta_\varepsilon : \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N$ given by

$$\beta_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \chi(\varepsilon x) (|u(x)|^p + \sum_{i=1}^m |u(x)|^{p_i}) dx}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (|u(x)|^p + \sum_{i=1}^m |u(x)|^{p_i}) dx}.$$

Lemma 14. ([54]) *The functional Φ_ε satisfies the following limit*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \beta_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) = y \text{ uniformly in } y \in M. \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. For the convenience to the readers, we present a proof to above lemma. Suppose by a contradiction that there exists $\delta_0 > 0$, $\{y_n\} \subset M$ and $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ such that

$$|\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) - y_n| \geq \delta_0 \tag{4.12}$$

for all n large enough. Using the definitions of $\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)$, β_{ε_n} , η and the change of variable $z = \frac{\varepsilon_n x - y_n}{\varepsilon_n}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \beta_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) = y_n \\ & + \frac{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} [\chi(\varepsilon_n z + y_n) - y_n] ([\eta(|\varepsilon_n z|)|w(z)|]^p + \sum_{i=1}^m [\eta(|\varepsilon_n z|)|w(z)|]^{p_i}) dz}{\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} ([\eta(|\varepsilon_n z|)|w(z)|]^p + \sum_{i=1}^m [\eta(|\varepsilon_n z|)|w(z)|]^{p_i}) dz}. \end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

From the assumptions $\{y_n\} \subset M \subset B_\rho(0)$ and $|\chi(x)| \leq \rho$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$, use the Dominated convergence theorem by taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (4.13), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\beta_{\varepsilon_n}(\Phi_{\varepsilon_n}(y_n)) - y_n| = 0,$$

which contradicts with (4.12). □

Lemma 15. *Let $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and $\{u_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$ be such that $I_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow c_{V_0}$. Then there exists $\{\tilde{y}_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that the translation sequence $v_n(x) = u_n(x + \tilde{y}_n)$ has a subsequence which converges in $W^{s,N/s}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \cap_{i=1}^m W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)$. Moreover, up to a subsequence, $\{y_n\} : y_n = \varepsilon \tilde{y}_n \rightarrow y \in M$.*

Proof. Since $\langle I'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n), u_n \rangle = 0$ and $I_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow c_{V_0}$, by arguments Lemma 8 and Lemma 10, $\{\|u_n\|_{W_{\varepsilon_n}}\}$ is a bounded sequence and when γ_1 is chosen such that $\gamma_1 \geq \max\{a, \gamma_3\}$ and

$$c_{V_0} \leq a \left(1 - \frac{N}{s\mu}\right) \left(\frac{aN}{\gamma_3 s \mu}\right)^{N/(\mu s - N)} = b,$$

$$a = \frac{s(A_{\mu,\eta} + \varepsilon_*)^{N/s}}{N} + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{(A_{\mu,\eta} + \varepsilon_*)^{p_i}}{p_i}$$

for some $\varepsilon_* > 0$ and γ_3 satisfies

$$\left[\left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right)^{-s/N} b^{s/N} + \left(\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p_1 k}\right) \frac{-1}{p_1} \frac{1}{b p_1} \right]^{N/(N-s)} < \frac{\beta_* \mathfrak{d}_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0}$$

if $m = 1$ and

$$\left[\left(\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right)^{-s/N} b^{s/N} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \frac{-1}{p_i} \frac{1}{b p_i} + \left(\frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p_m k}\right) \frac{-1}{p_m} \frac{1}{b p_m} \right]^{N/(N-s)} < \frac{\beta_* \mathfrak{d}_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0}$$

if $m \geq 2$. Then, we deduce

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{N/s} \leq \frac{c_{V_0}}{\frac{s}{N} - \frac{1}{\mu}}$$

and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_1} \leq \frac{c_{V_0}}{\frac{1}{p_1} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p_1 k}}$$

if $m = 1$ and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_m}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_m} \leq \frac{c_{V_0}}{\frac{1}{p_m} - \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p_m k}}, \quad \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \leq \frac{c_{V_0}}{\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu}},$$

$i = 1, \dots, m - 1$ if $m \geq 2$. Hence, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{W_\varepsilon}^{N/(N-s)} < \frac{\beta_* \mathfrak{d}_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0}. \tag{4.14}$$

We also get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\|_{V_0, W}^{N/(N-s)} < \frac{\beta_* \mathfrak{d}_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0}$$

due to the continuous embedding from W_ε into W . Now, we show that there exist a sequence $\{\tilde{y}_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ and constants $r > 0, \beta > 0$ such that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{B_r(\tilde{y}_n)} |u_n|^{p_m} dx \geq \beta > 0. \tag{4.15}$$

Indeed, if (4.15) is false, then for any $r > 0$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{y \in \mathbb{R}^N} \int_{B_r(y)} |u_n|^{p_m} dx = 0.$$

By Lemma 5, we have $u_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly in $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in (p_m, +\infty)$. Using Trudinger–Moser inequality and (4.14), we deduce

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon_n x, u_n) u_n dx = 0.$$

Combine that result and $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$, we obtain $\|u_n\|_{W_{\varepsilon_n}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. It is a contradiction with $I_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \rightarrow c_{V_0} > 0$. Therefore, (4.15) holds. Let us define $v_n := u_n(x + \tilde{y}_n)$. Since the $\|\cdot\|_{V_0}$ is invariant with the translation, then $\{v_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in W , thus up to a subsequence, we can assume that there exists $v \in W$ such that $v_n \rightarrow v$ weak in W and $v_n(x) \rightarrow v(x)$ a.e. in \mathbb{R}^N and $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $L^q_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for any $q \in [\frac{N}{s}, +\infty)$. From that result and (4.15), we get $v \not\equiv 0$. Let $t_n > 0$ such that $w_n = t_n v_n \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$ and we set $y_n := \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n$. Thus, using the change of the variable $z = x + \tilde{y}_n$, $V(\varepsilon_n(x + \tilde{y}_n)) \geq V_0$ and the invariance by translation, we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{V_0} \leq J_{V_0}(w_n) &\leq \frac{1}{p} [w_n]_{s,p}^p + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n) |w_n|^p dx - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(w_n) dx \\ &+ \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{p_i} [w_n]_{s,p_i}^{p_i} + \frac{1}{p_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n) |w_n|^{p_i} dx \right) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p} [w_n]_{s,p}^p + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n) |w_n|^p dx \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{p_i} [w_n]_{s,p_i}^{p_i} + \frac{1}{p_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n) |w_n|^{p_i} dx \right) \\
 & - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(\varepsilon_n x + y_n, w_n) dx \\
 & = I_{\varepsilon_n}(t_n u_n) \leq I_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \leq c_{V_0} + o_n(1)
 \end{aligned}$$

due to the condition (g₃). Then we get $J_{V_0}(w_n) \rightarrow c_{V_0}$. Since $\{w_n\} \subset \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$, using the condition (f₂), there exists a constant $K > 0$ such that $\|w_n\|_{W, V_0} \leq K$ for all n . We have $v_n \not\rightarrow 0$ strongly in W . Indeed, if $v_n \rightarrow 0$ in W , then $v_n \rightarrow v$ weak in W , it contradicts with $v_n \rightarrow v \not\equiv 0$ in W . Hence, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that $\|v_n\|_{V_0, W} \geq \alpha > 0$ for all n . Consequently, we have

$$t_n \alpha \leq \|t_n v_n\|_{V_0, W} = \|w_n\|_{V_0, W} \leq K,$$

which yields $t_n \leq \frac{K}{\alpha}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore, up to a subsequence, we can assume that $t_n \rightarrow t_0 \geq 0$. We prove that $t_0 > 0$. If $t_0 = 0$, then $\|w_n\|_{V_0, W} \rightarrow 0$, it is a contradiction with $w_n \in \mathcal{N}_{V_0}$. Up to a subsequence, we suppose that $w_n \rightarrow w := t_0 v \not\equiv 0$ weak in W and $w_n(x) \rightarrow w(x)$ a.e. on \mathbb{R}^N . By arguments as in Proposition 1 (also see [54]), we can get $J'_{V_0}(w) = 0$. Now we prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p = \|w\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \tag{4.16}$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} = \|w\|_{V_0, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \tag{4.17}$$

Using Brezis–Lieb’s lemma, (4.16) and (4.17), we obtain $w_n \rightarrow w$ strong in W . By Fatou’s lemma, we have

$$\|w\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \tag{4.18}$$

and

$$\|w\|_{V_0, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \tag{4.19}$$

Assume that by contradiction that

$$\|w\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p.$$

or

$$\|w\|_{V_0, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i}$$

for some $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. We see that

$$\begin{aligned} c_{V_0} + o_n(1) &= J_{V_0}(w_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle J'_{V_0}(w_n), w_n \rangle \\ &= \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \|w_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \|w_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{1}{\mu} f(w_n)w_n - F(w_n) \right] dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using the condition (f_2) , and Fatou’s lemma, we get

$$\begin{aligned} c_{V_0} &\geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \\ &\quad + \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{1}{\mu} f(w_n)w_n - F(w_n) \right] dx \\ &> \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \|w\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{1}{p_i} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) \|w\|_{V_0, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \left[\frac{1}{\mu} f(w)w - F(w) \right] dx \\ &= J_{V_0}(w) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle J'_{V_0}(w), w \rangle = J_{V_0}(w) \geq c_{V_0}, \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Then

$$\|w\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p. \tag{4.20}$$

and

$$\|w\|_{V_0, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \geq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|w_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i}, \quad i = 1, \dots, m. \tag{4.21}$$

Combine (4.18) and (4.20), (4.19) and (4.21), we get (4.16). Since $t_n \rightarrow t_0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $v_n \rightarrow v$ in $W^{s,N/s}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^m W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Now we prove that $\{y_n\}$ has a subsequence such that $y_n \rightarrow y \in M$. Indeed, if $\{y_n\}$ is not bounded, that is there exists a subsequence, still denoted by $\{y_n\}$, such that $|y_n| \rightarrow +\infty$. Choose $R > 0$ such that $\Lambda \subset B_R(0)$. Then for all n large enough, we have $|y_n| > 2R$, and for any $x \in B_{R/\varepsilon_n}(0)$, we have

$$\varepsilon_n x + y_n \geq |y_n| - \varepsilon_n |x| > R.$$

From the condition (V_1) , $u_n \in \mathcal{N}_{\varepsilon_n}$ and the definition of g we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|u_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \|u_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \\ & \leq \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon_n}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \|u_n\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon_n}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon_n x, u_n) u_n dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.22}$$

Using the change of variable $z = x + \tilde{y}_n$, from (4.22), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \|v_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon_n x + y_n, v_n) v_n dx \\ & = \int_{B_{R/\varepsilon_n}(0)} g(\varepsilon_n x + y_n, v_n) v_n dx + \int_{B_{R/\varepsilon_n}^c(0)} g(\varepsilon_n x + y_n, v_n) v_n dx \\ & = \int_{B_{R/\varepsilon_n}(0)} \tilde{f}(v_n) v_n dx + \int_{B_{R/\varepsilon_n}^c(0)} g(\varepsilon_n x + y_n, v_n) v_n dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

Note that $\tilde{f}(t) \leq \frac{V_0}{k} |t|^{p_m-1}$. Then (4.23) implies

$$\begin{aligned} & \|v_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \|v_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} \leq \frac{1}{k} \int_{B_{R/\varepsilon_n}(0)} V_0 |v_n|^{p_m} dx \\ & + \int_{B_{R/\varepsilon_n}^c(0)} g(\varepsilon_n x + y_n, v_n) v_n dx. \end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Since $v_n \rightarrow v$ strong in W , then $v_n \rightarrow v$ strong $L^q(\mathbb{R}^N)$ for all $q \geq \frac{N}{s}$, then for any $\varepsilon_* > 0$, we can choose R as above large enough such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |v_n|^{p_m} dx < \varepsilon^{p_m} \text{ and } \int_{\mathbb{R}^N \setminus B_R(0)} |v_n|^q dx < \varepsilon^q$$

for some $q > p_m$. Using the condition (g_3) and Trudinger–Moser inequality, we get

$$\int_{B_{R/\varepsilon_n}^c(0)} |g(\varepsilon_n x + y_n, v_n) v_n| dx < \kappa \varepsilon_*, \tag{4.25}$$

where $\kappa_* > 0$ is a suitable constant and n large enough. Combine (4.24) and (4.25), we have

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \|v_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p_m}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_m} + \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \|v_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} + \|v_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p = o_n(1)$$

if $m \geq 2$ and

$$\left(1 - \frac{1}{k}\right) \|v_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p_1}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_1} + \|v_n\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p = o_n(1)$$

if $m = 1$. That is $v_n \rightarrow 0$ strong in $W^{s,N/s}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^m W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ which contradicts with $v_n \rightarrow v \neq 0$. Therefore, we may assume that $y_n \rightarrow y_0$. If $y_0 \notin \bar{\Lambda}$. Then there exists $r > 0$ such that for every n large enough, we have $|y_n - y_0| < r$ and $B_{2r}(y_0) \subset \bar{\Lambda}^c$. Thus if $x \in B_{r/\varepsilon_n}(0)$, we have that $|\varepsilon_n x + y_n - y_0| < 2r$ so that $\varepsilon_n x + y_n \in \bar{\Lambda}^c$. By arguments as above, we get a contradiction. Hence, $y_0 \in \bar{\Lambda}$. We now prove $V(y_0) = V_0$. Indeed, if $V(y_0) > V_0$, using the Fatou's lemma and the change of variable $z = x + \tilde{y}_n$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} c_{V_0} &= J_{V_0}(w) < J_{V(y_0)}(w) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{p} \left([w_n]_{s,p}^p + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n) |w_n|^p dx \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{1}{p_i} \left([w_n]_{s,p_i}^{p_i} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n x + y_n) |w_n|^{p_i} dx \right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(w_n) dx \right] \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{t_n^p}{p} [u_n]_{s,p}^p + \frac{t_n^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n z) |u_n|^p dz \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{t_n^{p_i}}{p_i} [u_n]_{s,p_i}^{p_i} + \frac{t_n^{p_i}}{p_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n z) |u_n|^{p_i} dz \right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} F(t_n u_n) dz \right]. \end{aligned}$$

From above inequality, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} c_{V_0} &= J_{V_0}(w) < J_{V(y_0)}(w) \\ &\leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{t_n^p}{p} [u_n]_{s,p}^p + \frac{t_n^p}{p} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n z) |u_n|^p dz \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \sum_{i=1}^m \left(\frac{t_n^{p_i}}{p_i} [u_n]_{s,p_i}^{p_i} + \frac{t_n^{p_i}}{p_i} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V(\varepsilon_n z) |u_n|^{p_i} dz \right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} G(\varepsilon_n z, t_n u_n) dz \right] \\ &= \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\varepsilon_n}(t_n u_n) \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) = c_{V_0}, \end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

which is an absurd. □

Let $\mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ be a positive function such that $h(\varepsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ and let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon = \{u \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon : I_\varepsilon(u) \leq c_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon)\}.$$

By Lemma 14, we have $h(\varepsilon) = |I_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) - c_{V_0}| \rightarrow 0$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Hence $\Phi_\varepsilon(y) \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon \neq \emptyset$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$. Moreover, we have the following result:

Lemma 16. ([7]) *For any $\delta > 0$, it holds that*

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{u \in \tilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon} \text{dist}(\beta_\varepsilon(u), M_\delta) = 0.$$

Lemma 17. *Assume that (V) and $(f_1) - (f_5)$ hold and let v_n be a nontrivial nonnegative solution of the following problem*

$$\begin{aligned} &(-\Delta)_p^s v_n + \sum_{i=1}^m (-\Delta)_{p_i}^s v_n + V_n(x) \left(|v_n|^{p-2} v_n + \sum_{i=1}^m |v_n|^{p_i-2} v_n \right) \\ &= g(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n, v_n) \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{aligned} \tag{4.27}$$

where $V_n(x) = V(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n)$ and $\varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \rightarrow y \in M$. If $\{v_n\}$ is a bounded sequence in W verifying

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\|_{V_0, W}^{N/(N-s)} < \frac{\beta_* \mathfrak{D}_*^{s/(N-s)}}{c\alpha_0},$$

where $c > 1$ is a suitable constant and $v_n \rightarrow v$ strong in W , then $v_n \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)$ and there exists $C > 0$ such that $\|v_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Furthermore

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} v_n(x) = 0 \text{ uniformly in } n.$$

Proof. For any $L > 0$ and $\beta > 1$, let us to consider the function $\gamma(t) = t(\min\{t, L\})^{p(\beta-1)}$ and

$$\gamma(v_n) = \gamma_{L,\beta}(v_n) = v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)} \in W, \quad v_{L,n} = \min\{v_n, L\}.$$

Set

$$\Lambda(t) = \frac{|t|^p}{p} \text{ and } \Gamma(t) = \int_0^t (\gamma'(t)) \frac{1}{p} d\tau.$$

Then we have [14]

$$\Lambda'(a-b)(\gamma(a) - \gamma(b)) \geq |\Gamma(a) - \Gamma(b)|^p \text{ for any } a, b \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{4.28}$$

From (4.28), we get

$$\begin{aligned} &|\Gamma(v_n(x)) - \Gamma(v_n(y))|^p \\ &\leq |v_n(x) - v_n(y)|^{p-2} (v_n(x) - v_n(y)) ((v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)})(x) - (v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)})(y)). \end{aligned} \tag{4.29}$$

Therefore, taking $\gamma(v_n) = v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)}$ as a test function in (4.27), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|v_n(x) - v_n(y)|^{p-2} (v_n(x) - v_n(y)) ((v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)})(x) - (v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)})(y))}{|x - y|^{2N}} dx dy \\ & + \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|v_n(x) - v_n(y)|^{p_i-2} (v_n(x) - v_n(y)) ((v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)})(x) - (v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)})(y))}{|x - y|^{N+p_i s}} dx dy \\ & + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_n(x) \left(|v_n|^p + \sum_{i=1}^m |v_n|^{p_i} \right) v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)} dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon_n x + \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n, v_n) v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)} dx. \end{aligned}$$

From the condition (f_1) , (f_3) and (g_3) , for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist $C(\varepsilon) > 0$ such that

$$g(x, t) \leq f(t) \leq \varepsilon |t|^{p-1} + C(\varepsilon) |t|^{p-1} \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |t|^{N/(N-s)})$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By arguments as [7], we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2N}} \frac{|v_n(x) - v_n(y)|^{p_i-2} (v_n(x) - v_n(y)) ((v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)})(x) - (v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)})(y))}{|x - y|^{2N}} dx dy \geq 0$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, m$. Combine that inequality with (4.29), we have

$$[\Gamma(v_n)]_{s,p}^p + \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} V_n(x) |v_n|^p v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)} dx \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} f(v_n) v_n v_{L,n}^{p(\beta-1)} dx.$$

Since $\Gamma(v_n) \geq \frac{1}{\beta} v_n v_{L,n}^{\beta-1}$, $v_n v_{L,n}^{\beta-1} \geq \Gamma(v_n)$ and the embedding from $W^{s,N/s}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^{N^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ($N^* > \frac{N}{s}$) is continuous, then there exists a suitable constant $S_* > 0$ such that

$$\|\Gamma(v_n)\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \geq S_* \|\Gamma(v_n)\|_{L^{N^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \geq \frac{1}{\beta^p} S_* \|v_n v_{L,n}^{\beta-1}\|_{L^{N^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p. \tag{4.30}$$

We know that the embedding from $W^{s,N/s}(\mathbb{R}^N) \cap \cap_{i=1}^m W^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow W^{s,N/s}(\mathbb{R}^N) \rightarrow L^v(\mathbb{R}^N)$ ($v \geq \frac{N}{s}$) is continuous, then there exists a best constant

$$S_v = \inf_{u \neq 0, u \in W^{s,N/s}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \frac{\|u\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}}{\|u\|_{L^v(\mathbb{R}^N)}}, v \geq \frac{N}{s}.$$

This implies

$$\|u\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq S_p^{-1} \|u\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \text{ for all } u \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{4.31}$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|\Gamma(v_n)\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n v_{L,n}^{\beta-1}|^p dx + C(\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |v_n|^{N/(N-s)}) |v_n v_{L,n}^{\beta-1}|^p dx \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \beta^p \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |\Gamma(v_n)|^p dx + C(\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |v_n|^{N/(N-s)}) |v_n v_{L,n}^{\beta-1}|^p dx \\
 &\leq \varepsilon \beta^p \mathcal{S}_p^{-p} \|\Gamma(v_n)\|_{V_0, W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + C(\varepsilon) \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |v_n|^{N/(N-s)}) |v_n v_{L,n}^{\beta-1}|^p dx. \tag{4.32}
 \end{aligned}$$

Choose $0 < \varepsilon < \beta^{-p} \mathcal{S}_p^p$, then (4.32) implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\frac{1}{\beta^p} \mathcal{S}_*(1 - \varepsilon \beta^p \mathcal{S}_p^{-p}) \|v_n v_{L,n}^{\beta-1}\|_{L^{N^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \\
 &\leq C(\varepsilon) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} (\Phi_{N,s}(\alpha_0 |v_n|^{N/(N-s)}))^{q'} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q'}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |v_n v_{L,n}^{\beta-1}|^{qp} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Trudinger–Moser inequality in $W^{s,N/s}(\mathbb{R}^N)$ with $q \gg \frac{N}{s}$ such that $N^{**} = qp < N^*$, $q' > 1$ and q' near 1, then there exists a constant $D > 0$ such that

$$\|v_n v_{L,n}^{\beta-1}\|_{L^{N^*}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p \leq D \beta^p \|v_n v_{L,n}^{\beta-1}\|_{L^{qp}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p.$$

Let $L \rightarrow +\infty$ in above inequality, we deduce

$$\|v_n\|_{L^{N^* \beta}} \leq D p \beta \frac{1}{\beta} \|v_n\|_{L^{N^{**} \beta}}. \tag{4.33}$$

Now, we set $\beta = \frac{N^*}{N^{**}} > 1$. Then $\beta^2 N^{**} = \beta N^*$ and (4.33) holds with β replaced by β^2 . Therefore, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|v_n\|_{L^{N^* \beta^2}} &\leq D p \beta^2 \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{2}{\beta^2} \|v_n\|_{L^{N^{**} \beta^2}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \\
 &= D p \beta^2 \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{2}{\beta^2} \|v_n\|_{L^{N^* \beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N) \\
 &\leq D p \left(\frac{1}{\beta} + \frac{1}{\beta^2} \right) \frac{1}{\beta} \frac{2}{\beta^2} \|v_n\|_{L^{N^* \beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{4.34}
 \end{aligned}$$

Iterating this process as in (4.34), we can infer that for any positive integer m ,

$$\|v_n\|_{L^{N^* \beta^m}} \leq D \sum_{j=1}^m \frac{1}{p \beta^j} \beta^{\sum_{j=1}^m j \beta^{-j}} \|v_n\|_{L^{N^* \beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N). \tag{4.35}$$

Taking the limit in (4.35) as $m \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\|v_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq C$$

for all n , where $C = D \sum_{j=1}^\infty \frac{1}{p\beta^j} \beta^{\sum_{j=1}^\infty j\beta^{-j}} \sup_n \|v_n\|_{L^{N^{**\beta}}(\mathbb{R}^N)} < +\infty$. Since $v_n \rightarrow v$ strong in W , then $\lim_{|x| \rightarrow +\infty} v_n(x) = 0$ uniformly in n . \square

Let $\delta > 0$ be small enough such that $M_\delta \subset \Lambda$. By Lemma 14 and Lemma 16, there exists $\bar{\varepsilon} = \bar{\varepsilon}_\delta > 0$ such that the following diagram

$$M \xrightarrow{\Phi_\varepsilon} \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\beta_\varepsilon} M_\delta$$

is well-defined for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$. Thanks to Lemma 14 and by decreasing $\bar{\varepsilon}$ if necessary, we obtain that

$$\beta_\varepsilon(\Phi_\varepsilon(y)) = y + \theta(\varepsilon, y)$$

for all $y \in M$, for some function $\theta = \theta(\varepsilon, y)$ satisfying $|\theta(\varepsilon, y)| < \frac{\delta}{2}$ uniformly in $y \in M$, and for all $\varepsilon \in (0, \bar{\varepsilon})$. Therefore, $H(t, y) := y + (1 - t)\theta(\varepsilon, y)$, with $(t, y) \in [0, 1] \times M$, is a homotopy between $\beta_\varepsilon \circ \Phi_\varepsilon$ and the inclusion map $\text{id} : M \rightarrow M_\delta$. By [17, Lemma 4.3] (see also Lemma [22, Lemma 2.2]), we get

$$\text{cat}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon) \geq \text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M).$$

Since the functional I_ε satisfies the $(PS)_{c_\varepsilon}$ condition on \mathcal{N}_ε with $0 < c_\varepsilon \leq c_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon)$, then by Lusternik-Schnirelmann’s theory of critical points (see [57, Theorem 5.20]), I_ε has at least $\text{cat}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon}(\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon) \geq \text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M)$ critical points on $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon \subset \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$. By Corollary 1, I_ε has at least $\text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M)$ critical points restricted to $\widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$ which are critical points of I_ε in W_ε . This means that $(P_\varepsilon)^*$ has at least $\text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M)$ solutions.

Now, we show that there exists $\hat{\varepsilon} = \hat{\varepsilon}_\delta$ such that, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, \hat{\varepsilon}_\delta)$ and any solution $u_\varepsilon \in \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_\varepsilon$ of (2.37), it holds

$$|u_\varepsilon|_{L^\infty(\Lambda_\varepsilon)} < a. \tag{4.36}$$

Assuming (4.36) to be false, then there exists a sequence $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0$ and a sequence $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}\} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathcal{N}}_{\varepsilon_n}$ such that $I'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_{\varepsilon_n}) = 0$ and

$$|u_{\varepsilon_n}|_{L^\infty(\Lambda_{\varepsilon_n})} \geq a. \tag{4.37}$$

Since $V(\varepsilon_n x) \geq V_0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then

$$c_{V_0} \leq \max_{t \geq 0} J_{V_0}(tu_n) \leq \max_{t \geq 0} I_{\varepsilon_n}(tu_n) = I_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n) \leq c_{V_0} + h(\varepsilon_n),$$

and $h(\varepsilon_n) \rightarrow 0$. It implies that $I_{\varepsilon_n}(u_{\varepsilon_n}) \rightarrow c_{V_0}$. By Lemmas 15 and 17, we can find a sequence $\{\tilde{y}_n\} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ such that $v_n(\cdot) = u_{\varepsilon_n}(\cdot + \tilde{y}_n) \rightarrow v$ in W and $y_n = \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \rightarrow y \in M$. Then, we can find $r > 0$ such that $B_r(y) \subset B_{2r}(y) \subset \Lambda$ and

so $B_{r/\varepsilon_n}(y/\varepsilon_n) \subset \Lambda_{\varepsilon_n}$, for all n large enough. In particular, for any $y \in B_{r/\varepsilon_n}(\tilde{y}_n)$, we have

$$\left| y - \frac{y}{\varepsilon_n} \right| \leq |y - \tilde{y}_n| + \left| \tilde{y}_n - \frac{y}{\varepsilon_n} \right| < \frac{1}{\varepsilon_n}(r + o_n(1)) < \frac{2r}{n}$$

and $\Lambda_{\varepsilon_n}^c \subset B_{r/\varepsilon_n}^c(\tilde{y}_n)$ for n large enough. Since $v_n \rightarrow v$ in W , we deduce that $v_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$ uniformly in $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and hence there exist $R, n_0 > 0$ such that $v_n(x) < a$ for all $|x| \geq R$ and $n \geq n_0$. Consequently,

$$u_{\varepsilon_n}(x) < a \quad \text{for all } x \in B_R^c(\tilde{y}_n) \text{ and } n \geq n_0. \tag{4.38}$$

Increasing n_0 if necessary, we can assume that $\frac{r}{\varepsilon_n} > R$, and we get $\Lambda_{\varepsilon_n}^c \subset B_{r/\varepsilon_n}^c(\tilde{y}_n) \subset B_R^c(\tilde{y}_n)$. So,

$$u_{\varepsilon_n}(x) < a \quad \text{for all } x \in \Lambda_{\varepsilon_n}^c \text{ and } n \geq n_0, \tag{4.39}$$

which contradicts (4.37). Hence (4.36) holds.

Setting $\varepsilon_\delta = \min\{\bar{\varepsilon}_\delta, \hat{\varepsilon}_\delta\}$, we can then guarantee that problem (2.37) admits at least $\text{cat}_{M_\delta}(M)$ non-trivial solutions. If $u_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{N}_\varepsilon$ is one of these solutions, in the light of (4.36) and the definition of g , u_ε is a solution of (2.37) and $\hat{u}_\varepsilon(x) = u_\varepsilon(x/\varepsilon)$ is a solution of problem (1.1).

Final we consider the behavior of maximum points of $\hat{u}_\varepsilon(x)$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. Take $\varepsilon_n \rightarrow 0^+$ and the sequence $\{u_{\varepsilon_n}\}$ of solutions of (2.37) for $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_n$. By (g₁) we can find $\gamma > 0$ small enough such that

$$g(\varepsilon x, t)t \leq \frac{V_0}{k} t^{p_m} \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathbb{R}^N, \quad 0 < t \leq \gamma. \tag{4.40}$$

Arguing as before, we can take $R > 0$ such that, for n large enough,

$$\|u_{\varepsilon_n}\|_{L^\infty(B_R^c(\tilde{y}_n))} < \gamma. \tag{4.41}$$

Up to a subsequence, we may assume that, for n large enough,

$$\|u_{\varepsilon_n}\|_{L^\infty(B_R(\tilde{y}_n))} \geq \gamma, \tag{4.42}$$

otherwise we would get $\|u_n\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^N)} < \gamma$. Since $I'_{\varepsilon_n}(u_n)(u_n) = 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_{\varepsilon_n}\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon_n}^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^p + \sum_{i=1}^m \|u_{\varepsilon_n}\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon_n}^{s,p_i}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_i} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} g(\varepsilon_n x, u_{\varepsilon_n}) dx \leq \frac{V_0}{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} |u_{\varepsilon_n}|^{p_m} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{k} \|u_{\varepsilon_n}\|_{W_{V,\varepsilon_n}^{s,p_m}(\mathbb{R}^N)}^{p_m}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\|u_{\varepsilon_n}\|_{W_{\varepsilon_n}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, in contrast with $I_{\varepsilon_n}(u_{\varepsilon_n}) \rightarrow c_{V_0} > 0$. From (4.41) and (4.42), we deduce that the global maximum points p_{ε_n} of u_{ε_n} belong to $B_R(\tilde{y}_n)$, that is $p_{\varepsilon_n} = q_n + \tilde{y}_n$ for some $q_n \in B_R(0)$. Recalling that $\hat{u}_n(x) = u_n(x/\varepsilon_n)$ solves (1.1), then the maximum points η_{ε_n} of \hat{u}_n are $\eta_{\varepsilon_n} = \varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n + \varepsilon_n q_n$.

Noting that $q_n \in B_R(0)$, $\varepsilon_n \tilde{y}_n \rightarrow y \in M$, we get $V(y) = V_0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} V(\eta_{\varepsilon_n})$. Then, we deduce

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} V(\eta_\varepsilon) = \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} V(\varepsilon_n p_{\varepsilon_n}) = V_0.$$

and the proof is concluded. \square

Acknowledgements Thin Van Nguyen is supported by Ministry of Education and Training of Vietnam under project with the name “Some properties about solutions to differential equations, fractional partial differential equations” and grant number B2023-TNA-14. The research of Vicențiu D. Rădulescu was supported by a grant of the Romanian Ministry of Research, Innovation and Digitization, CNCS/CCCDI-UEFISCDI, project number PCE 137/2021, within PNCDI III.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons licence, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons licence, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons licence and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this licence, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>.

Declarations

Data availability Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analysed during the current study.

Conflict of interests On behalf of all authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Adachi, S., Tanaka, K.: Trudinger type inequalities in \mathbb{R}^N and their best exponents. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. **128**, 2051–2057 (2000)
- [2] Adimurthi, A., Sandeep, K.: A singular Moser–Trudinger embedding and its applications. Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl. **13**, 585–603 (2010). Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **13**, 2394–2426 (2007)
- [3] Adimurthi, A., Yang, Y.: Interpolation of Hardy inequality and Trudinger–Moser inequality in \mathbb{R}^N and its applications. Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN **13**, 2394–2426 (2010)
- [4] Alves, C.O., Figueiredo, G.M.: Multiplicity and concentration of positive solutions for a class of quasilinear problems with critical exponential growth in \mathbb{R}^N . J. Differ. Equ. **246**, 1288–1311 (2009)
- [5] Alves, C.O., do Ó, J.M., Miyagaki, O.H.: Concentration phenomena for fractional elliptic equations involving exponential critical growth. Adv. Nonlinear Stud. **16**(4), 843–861 (2016)

- [6] Alves, C.O., Miyagaki, O.H.: Existence and concentration of solution for a class of fractional elliptic equation in \mathbb{R}^N via penalization method. *Calc. Var. PDE* **55**(3), 47 (2016)
- [7] Alves, C.O., Ambrosio, V., Isernia, T.: Existence, multiplicity and concentration for a class of fractional (p, q) -Laplacian problems in \mathbb{R}^N . *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **18**(4), 2009–2045 (2019)
- [8] Ambrosio, V., Rădulescu, V.D.: Fractional double-phase patterns: concentration and multiplicity of solutions. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **142**, 101–145 (2020)
- [9] Fiscella, A., Pucci, P.: Degenerate Kirchhoff (p, q) -fractional systems with critical nonlinearities. *Fract. Calc. Appl. Anal.* **23**(3), 723–752 (2020)
- [10] Isernia, T.: Fractional (p, q) -Laplacian problems with potentials vanishing at infinity. *Opusc. Math.* **40**(1), 93–110 (2020)
- [11] Isernia, T., Repovš, D.: Nodal solutions for double phase Kirchhoff problems with vanishing potentials. *Asymptot. Anal.* **124**(3–4), 371–396 (2021)
- [12] Ambrosio, V., Repovš, D.: Multiplicity and concentration results for a (p, q) -Laplacian problem in \mathbb{R}^N . *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **72**, 1–33 (2021)
- [13] Antontsev, S.N., Shmarev, S.I.: Elliptic equations and systems with nonstandard growth conditions: existence, uniqueness and localization properties of solutions. *Nonlinear Anal.* **65**, 722–755 (2006)
- [14] Ambrosio, V., Isernia, T.: Multiplicity and concentration results for some nonlinear Schrödinger equations with the fractional p -Laplace. *Discret. Contin. Dyn. Syst. A* **38**(11), 5835–5881 (2018)
- [15] Ambrosio, V.: On a fractional magnetic Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R} with exponential critical growth. *Nonlinear Anal.* **183**, 117–148 (2019)
- [16] Ambrosio, V., Isernia, T., Rădulescu, V.D.: Concentration of positive solutions for a class of fractional p -Kirchhoff type equations. *Proc. R. Soc. Edinb. Sect. A Math.* **151**(2), 601–651 (2021)
- [17] Benci, V., Cerami, G.: Multiple positive solutions of some elliptic problems via Morse theory and the domain topology. *Calc. Var. Partial Differ. Equ.* **2**, 29–48 (1994)
- [18] Bonheure, D., d’Avenia, P., Pomponio, A.: On the electrostatic Born–Infeld equation with extended charges. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **346**, 877–906 (2016)
- [19] Born, M., Infeld, L.: Foundations of the new field theory. *Nature* **132**, 1004 (1933)
- [20] Brezis, H., Lieb, E.: A relation between pointwise convergence of functions and convergence of functionals. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* **88**, 486–490 (1983)
- [21] Cherfils, L., Il’yasov, V.: On the stationary solutions of generalized reaction diffusion equations with p & q -Laplacian. *Commun. Pure Appl. Anal.* **1**(4), 1–14 (2004)
- [22] Cingolani, S., Lazzo, M.: Multiple positive solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equation with competing potential. *J. Differ. Equ.* **160**, 118–138 (2000)
- [23] do Ó, J.A.M.B.: N -Laplacian equations in \mathbb{R}^N with critical growth. *Abstr. Appl. Anal.* **2**, 301–315 (1997)
- [24] do Ó, J.M., Souto, M.A.S.: On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^2 involving critical growth. *J. Differ. Equ.* **174**, 289–311 (2001)
- [25] do Ó, J.A.M., Miyagaki, O.H., Squassina, M.: Nonautonomous fractional problems with exponential growth. *NoDEA Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **22**, 1395–1410 (2015)
- [26] do Ó, J.A.M., Miyagaki, O.H., Squassina, M.: Ground states of nonlocal scalar field equations with Trudinger–Moser critical nonlinearity. *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* **48**, 477–492 (2016)
- [27] de Figueiredo, D.G., Miyagaki, O.H., Ruf, B.: Elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^2 with nonlinearities in the critical growth range. *Calc. Var. PDE* **3**, 139–153 (1995)

- [28] Figueiredo, G.M., Siciliano, G.: A multiplicity result via Ljusternick–Schnirelmann category and Morse theory for a fractional Schrödinger equation in \mathbb{R}^N . *NoDEA Nonlinear Differ. Equ. Appl.* **23**(2), 12 (2016)
- [29] Figueiredo, G.M., Molica Bisci, G., Servadei, R.: The effect of the domain topology on the number of solutions of fractional Laplace problems. *Calc. Var.* **57**, 103 (2018)
- [30] He, X., Zou, W.: Existence and concentration result for the fractional Schrödinger equations with critical nonlinearity. *Calc. Var. PDE* **55**, 91 (2016)
- [31] Iannizzotto, A., Squassina, M.: $\frac{1}{2}$ -Laplacian problems with exponential nonlinearity. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **414**, 372–385 (2014)
- [32] Iula, S., Maalaoui, A., Martinazzi, L.: A fractional Moser–Trudinger type inequality in one dimension and its critical points. *Differ. Integral Equ.* **29**, 455–492 (2016)
- [33] Iula, S.: A note on the Moser–Trudinger inequality in Sobolev–Slobodeckij spaces in dimension one. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.* **28**, 871–884 (2017)
- [34] Laskin, N.: Fractional quantum mechanics and Levy path integrals. *Phys. Lett. A* **268**, 298–305 (2000)
- [35] Laskin, N.: Fractional Schrödinger equation. *Phys. Rev. E* **66**, 056108–056114 (2002)
- [36] Lam, N., Lu, G.: Existence and multiplicity of solutions to equations of N -Laplace type with critical exponential growth in \mathbb{R}^N . *J. Funct. Anal.* **262**, 1132–1165 (2012)
- [37] Lam, N., Lu, G.: A new approach to sharp Moser–Trudinger and Adams type inequalities: a rearrangement-free argument. *J. Differ. Equ.* **255**, 298–325 (2013)
- [38] Lia, Q., Yang, Z.: Multiple solutions for a class of fractional quasi-linear equations with critical exponential growth in \mathbb{R}^N . *Complex Var. Elliptic Equ.* **61**, 969–983 (2016)
- [39] Martinazzi, L.: Fractional Adams–Moser–Trudinger type inequalities. *Nonlinear Anal.* **127**, 263–278 (2015)
- [40] Molica Bisci, G., Thin, N.V., Vilasi, L.: On a class of nonlocal Schrödinger equations with exponential growth. *Adv. Differ. Equ.* **27**, 571–610 (2022)
- [41] Moser, J.: A sharp form of an inequality by N. Trudinger. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **20**, 1077–1092 (1971)
- [42] Nezza, E., Palatucci, G., Valdinoci, E.: Hitchhiker’s guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. *Bull. Sci. Math.* **136**, 521–573 (2012)
- [43] Parini, E., Ruf, B.: On the Moser–Trudinger inequality in fractional Sobolev–Slobodeckij spaces. *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Lincei Mat. Appl.* **29**, 315–319 (2018)
- [44] Pino, M.D., Felmer, P.L.: Local mountain passes for semilinear elliptic problems in unbounded domains. *Calc. Var. Partial. Differ. Equ.* **4**, 121–137 (1996)
- [45] Perera, K., Squassina, M.: Bifurcation results for problems with fractional Trudinger–Moser nonlinearity. *Discret. Contin. Dyn. Syst. Ser. S* **11**, 561–576 (2018)
- [46] Pucci, P., Xiang, M., Zhang, B.: Multiple solutions for nonhomogeneous Schrödinger–Kirchhoff type equations involving the fractional p -Laplacian in \mathbb{R}^N . *Calc. Var. PDE* **54**, 2785–2806 (2015)
- [47] Rabinowitz, P.: *Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations*. CBMS Regional Conference Series in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence (1986)
- [48] Rabinowitz, P.: On a class of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* **43**(2), 270–291 (1992)
- [49] Secchi, S.: Ground state solutions for nonlinear fractional Schrödinger equations in \mathbb{R}^N . *J. Math. Phys.* **54**, 031501 (2013)
- [50] Shang, X., Zhang, J., Yang, Y.: On fractional Schrödinger equations with critical growth. *J. Math. Phys.* **54**(12), 121502 (2013)

- [51] Shang, X., Zhang, J.: Ground states for fractional Schrödinger equations with critical growth. *Nonlinearity* **27**(2), 187–208 (2014)
- [52] Thin, N.V.: Singular Trudinger–Moser inequality and fractional p -Laplace equation in \mathbb{R}^N . *Nonlinear Anal.* **196**, 111756 (2020)
- [53] Thin, N.V.: Existence of solution to singular Schrödinger systems involving the fractional p -Laplacian with Trudinger–Moser nonlinearity in \mathbb{R}^N . *Math. Methods Appl. Sci.* **44**(8), 6540–6570 (2021)
- [54] Thin, N.V.: Multiplicity and concentration of solutions to a fractional (p, p_1) -Laplace problem with exponential growth. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **506**(2), 125667 (2022)
- [55] Trudinger, N.S.: On imbeddings into Orlicz spaces and some applications. *J. Math. Mech.* **17**, 473–483 (1967)
- [56] Wang, X.: On concentration of positive bound states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **53**, 229–244 (1993)
- [57] Willem, M.: *Minimax Theorems*. Basel, Birkhäuser (1996)
- [58] Xiang, M., Zhang, B., Repovš, D.: Existence and multiplicity of solutions for fractional Schrödinger–Kirchhoff equations with Trudinger–Moser nonlinearity. *Nonlinear Anal.* **186**, 74–98 (2019)
- [59] Xiang, M., Rădulescu, V.D., Zhang, B.: Fractional Kirchhoff problems with critical Trudinger–Moser nonlinearity. *Calc. Var. PDE* **58**, 57 (2019)
- [60] Zhang, B., Fiscella, A., Liang, S.: Infinitely many solutions for critical degenerate Kirchhoff type equations involving the fractional p -Laplacian. *Appl. Math. Optim.* **80**, 63–80 (2019)
- [61] Zhang, C.: Trudinger–Moser inequalities in fractional Sobolev–Slobodeckij spaces and multiplicity of weak solutions to the fractional-Laplacian equation. *Adv. Nonlinear Stud.* **19**, 197–217 (2019)
- [62] Zhang, Y., Tang, X., Rădulescu, V.D.: Concentration of solutions for fractional double-phase problems: critical and supercritical cases. *J. Differ. Equ.* **302**, 139–184 (2021)
- [63] Wang, F., Xiang, M.: Multiplicity of solutions for a class of fractional Choquard–Kirchhoff equations involving critical nonlinearity. *Anal. Math. Phys.* **9**, 1–16 (2019)

Publisher’s Note Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.