

## Nonlinear eigenvalue problems for quasilinear operators on unbounded domains

Eugenio MONTEFUSCO

Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica  
Università degli Studi di Perugia  
via Vanvitelli 1  
60123 Perugia, Italy  
e-mail: montefus@dipmat.unipg.it

Vicențiu RĂDULESCU

Department of Mathematics  
University of Craiova  
1100 Craiova, Romania  
e-mail: varadulescu@hotmail.com

**Abstract.** We prove several existence results for eigenvalue problems involving the  $p$ -Laplacian and a nonlinear boundary condition on unbounded domains. We treat the non-degenerate subcritical case and the solutions are found in an appropriate weighted Sobolev space.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 35J20 35J60 35J70.

*Key words:* Eigenvalue problems, quasilinear operators, unbounded domains.

### 1 Introduction and preliminary results

The growing attention for the study of the  $p$ -Laplacian operator  $\Delta_p$  in the last few decades is motivated by the fact that it arises in various applications. For instance, in Fluid Mechanics, the shear stress  $\vec{\tau}$  and the velocity gradient  $\nabla_p u$  of certain fluids obey a relation of the form  $\vec{\tau}(x) = a(x)\nabla_p u(x)$ , where  $\nabla_p u = |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u$ . Here  $p > 1$  is an arbitrary real number and the case  $p = 2$  (respectively  $p < 2$ ,  $p > 2$ ) corresponds to a Newtonian (respectively pseudoplastic, dilatant) fluid. The resulting equations of motion then involve  $\operatorname{div}(a\nabla_p u)$ , which reduces to  $a\Delta_p u = a \operatorname{div}(\nabla_p u)$ , provided that  $a$  is constant. The  $p$ -Laplacian appears in the

study of flow through porous media ( $p = 3/2$ , see Showalter-Walkington [24]) or glacial sliding ( $p \in (1, 4/3]$ , see Pélissier-Reynaud [20]). We also refer to Aronsson-Janfalk [4] for the mathematical treatment of the Hele-Shaw flow of “power-law fluids”. The concept of Hele-Shaw flow refers to the flow between two closely-spaced parallel plates, close in the sense that the gap between the plates is small compared to the dimension of the plates. Quasilinear problems with a variable coefficient also appear in the mathematical model of the torsional creep (elastic for  $p = 2$ , plastic as  $p \rightarrow \infty$ , see Bhattacharya-DiBenedetto-Manfredi [5] and Kawohl [18]). This study is based on the observation that a prismatic material rod subject to a torsional moment, at sufficiently high temperature and for an extended period of time, exhibits a permanent deformation, called *creep*. The corresponding equations are derived under the assumptions that the components of strain and stress are linked by a power law referred to as the *creep-law* (see Kachanov [16, Chapters IV, VIII], Kachanov [17], and Findley-Lai-Onaran [13]). A nonlinear field equation in Quantum Mechanics involving the  $p$ -Laplacian, for  $p = 6$ , has been proposed in Benci-Fortunato-Pisani [6]. Eigenvalue problems involving the  $p$ -Laplacian have been the subject of much recent interest (we refer only to Allegretto-Huang [1], Anane [3], Drábek [9], Drábek-Pohozaev [11], Drábek-Simader [12], García-Peral [15], García-Montefusco-Peral [14]).

Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}^N$  be an unbounded domain with (possible noncompact) smooth boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . We assume throughout this paper that  $p, q$  and  $m$  are real numbers satisfying  $1 < p < q < p^* = \frac{Np}{N-p}$ , if  $p < N$  ( $p^* = +\infty$  if  $p \geq N$ ),  $q \leq m < \frac{p(N-1)}{N-p}$  if  $p < N$  ( $q \leq m < +\infty$  when  $p \geq N$ ).

Let  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  be the space of  $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^N)$ -functions restricted on  $\Omega$ .

We define the weighted Sobolev space  $E$  as the completion of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in the norm

$$\|u\|_E = \left( \int_{\Omega} \left( |\nabla u(x)|^p + \frac{1}{(1+|x|)^p} |u(x)|^p \right) dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Denote by  $L^p(\Omega; w_1), L^q(\Omega; w_2)$  and  $L^m(\partial\Omega; w_3)$  the weighted Lebesgue spaces with weight functions  $w_i(x) = (1+|x|)^{\alpha_i}$  ( $i = 1, 2, 3$ ), and the norms defined by

$$\|u\|_{p,w_1}^p = \int_{\Omega} w_1 |u(x)|^p dx, \quad \|u\|_{q,w_2}^q = \int_{\Omega} w_2 |u(x)|^q dx$$

and

$$\|u\|_{m,w_3}^m = \int_{\partial\Omega} w_3 |u(x)|^m dS,$$

where  $-N < \alpha_1 < -p$  if  $p < N$  ( $\alpha_1 < -p$  when  $p \geq N$ ),  $-N < \alpha_2 < q \frac{N-p}{p} - N$  if  $p < N$  ( $-N < \alpha_2 < 0$  when  $p \geq N$ ), and  $-N < \alpha_3 < m \frac{N-p}{p} - N + 1$  if  $p < N$  ( $-N < \alpha_3 < 0$  when  $p \geq N$ ).

We shall use in our paper the following embedding result.

**Theorem A** *Under the above assumptions on  $p, q$  and  $m$ , the space  $E$  is compactly embedded in  $L^q(\Omega; w_2)$  and also in  $L^m(\partial\Omega; w_3)$ .*

This theorem is a consequence of Theorem 2 and Corollary 6 of Pflüger [22]. Furthermore, with the same proof as in Pflüger [21, Lemma 2], one can show

**Lemma 1** *The quantity*

$$\|u\|_b^p = \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^p dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u|^p dS$$

*defines an equivalent norm on  $E$ .*

## 2 The main results

Consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \lambda f(x)|u|^{p-2}u + g(x)|u|^{q-2}u & \text{in } \Omega, \\ a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \cdot n + b(x)|u|^{p-2}u = h(x, u) & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (\text{A})$$

where  $n$  denotes the unit outward normal on  $\partial\Omega$ ,  $0 < a_0 \leq a \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ , while  $b : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a continuous function satisfying

$$\frac{c}{(1 + |x|)^{p-1}} \leq b(x) \leq \frac{C}{(1 + |x|)^{p-1}},$$

for some constants  $0 < c \leq C$ .

Problems of this type arise in the study of physical phenomena related to equilibrium of anisotropic continuous media which possibly are somewhere “perfect” insulators, cf. Dautray-Lions [7].

We assume that  $f$  and  $g$  are nontrivial measurable functions satisfying

$$0 \leq f(x) \leq C(1 + |x|)^{\alpha_1} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq g(x) \leq C(1 + |x|)^{\alpha_2}, \quad \text{for a.e. } x \in \Omega.$$

The mapping  $h : \partial\Omega \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is a Carathéodory function which fulfills the assumption

$$(A1) \quad |h(x, s)| \leq h_0(x) + h_1(x)|s|^{m-1},$$

where  $h_i : \partial\Omega \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  ( $i = 0, 1$ ) are measurable functions satisfying

$$h_0 \in L^{m/(m-1)}(\partial\Omega; w_3^{1/(1-m)}) \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq h_i \leq C_h w_3 \quad \text{a.e. on } \partial\Omega.$$

We also assume

$$(A2) \quad \lim_{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{h(x, s)}{b(x)|s|^{p-1}} = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } x.$$

(A3) There exists  $\mu \in (p, q]$  such that

$$\mu H(x, t) \leq th(x, t) \text{ for a.e. } x \in \partial\Omega \text{ and every } t \in \mathbf{R}.$$

(A4) There is a nonempty open set  $U \subset \partial\Omega$  with  $H(x, t) > 0$  for  $(x, t) \in U \times (0, \infty)$ , where  $H(x, t) = \int_0^t h(x, s) ds$ .

Our first result asserts that under the above hypotheses, problem (A) has at least a solution.

By weak solution of problem (A) we mean a function  $u \in E$  such that, for any  $v \in E$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u|^{p-2}uv \, dS \\ & = \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{p-2}uv \, dx + \int_{\Omega} g(x)|u|^{q-2}uv \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} h(x, u)v \, dS. \end{aligned}$$

Define

$$\tilde{\lambda} := \inf_{u \in E; u \neq 0} \left( \frac{\int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^p \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u|^p \, dS}{\int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^p \, dx} \right).$$

Our first result is

**Theorem 1** *Assume that the conditions (A1)–(A4) hold. Then, for every  $\lambda < \tilde{\lambda}$ , problem (A) has a nontrivial weak solution.*

In the special case  $h(x, s) \equiv 0$  we are able to show also a multiplicity result for problem (A). The statement is the following

**Theorem 2** *Assume  $h(x, s) \equiv 0$ . Then, for every  $\lambda < \tilde{\lambda}$ , problem (A) possesses infinitely many solutions.*

Next we prove the existence of an eigensolution to the following eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = \lambda(f(x)|u|^{p-2}u + g(x)|u|^{q-2}u) & \text{in } \Omega, \\ a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \cdot n + b(x)|u|^{p-2}u = \lambda h(x, u) & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (\text{B})$$

We stress that for the next existence result of the paper we drop the assumptions (A2) and (A4). By weak solution of problem (B) we mean a function  $u \in E$  such that, for any  $v \in E$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u|^{p-2}uv \, dS \\ & = \lambda \left[ \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{p-2}uv \, dx + \int_{\Omega} g(x)|u|^{q-2}uv \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} h(x, u)v \, dS \right]. \end{aligned}$$

We prove

**Theorem 3** *Assume that the hypotheses (A1) and (A3) hold. Let  $d$  be an arbitrary real number such that  $1/d$  is not an eigenvalue  $\lambda$  in problem (B), and satisfying*

$$d > \frac{1}{\lambda}. \tag{2.1}$$

*Then there exists  $\bar{\rho} > 0$  such that for all  $r > \rho \geq \bar{\rho}$ , the eigenvalue problem (B) has an eigensolution  $(u, \lambda) = (u_d, \lambda_d) \in E \times \mathbf{R}$  for which one has*

$$\lambda_d \in \left[ \frac{1}{d + r^2 \|u_d\|_b^{m-p}}, \frac{1}{d + \rho^2 \|u_d\|_b^{m-p}} \right].$$

### 3 Problem (A)

Throughout this section we use the same notations as was previously done in the case of problem (A).

The energy functional corresponding to (A) is defined as  $F : E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u|^p dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) |u|^p dS - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} f(x) |u|^p dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, u) dS - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} g(x) |u|^q dx$$

where  $H$  denotes the primitive function of  $h$  with respect to the second variable.

By Lemma 1 we have  $\| \cdot \|_b \simeq \| \cdot \|_E$ . We may write

$$F(u) = \frac{1}{p} \|u\|_b^p - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_{\Omega} f(x) |u|^p dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, u) dS - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} g(x) |u|^q dx.$$

Since  $p < q < p^*$ ,  $-N < \alpha_1 < -p$  and  $-N < \alpha_2 < q \frac{N-p}{p} - N$  we can apply Theorem A and we obtain that the embeddings  $E \subset L^p(\Omega; w_1)$  and  $E \subset L^q(\Omega; w_2)$  are compact. So the functional  $F$  is well defined.

We denote by  $N_h = h(x, u(x))$ ,  $N_H = H(x, u(x))$  the corresponding Nemytskii operators.

**Lemma 2** *The operators*

$$N_h : L^m(\partial\Omega; w_3) \rightarrow L^{m/(m-1)}(\partial\Omega; w_3^{1/(1-m)}), \quad N_H : L^m(\partial\Omega; w_3) \rightarrow L^1(\partial\Omega)$$

*are bounded and continuous.*

*Proof.* The proof follows from Theorem 1.1 in [10]. □

Our hypothesis  $\lambda < \tilde{\lambda}$  implies the existence of some  $C_0 > 0$  such that, for every  $v \in E$

$$\|v\|_b^p - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|v|^p dx \geq C_0 \|v\|_b^p.$$

**Lemma 3** *Under assumptions (A1)–(A4), the functional  $F$  is Fréchet differentiable on  $E$  and satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.*

*Proof.* Denote  $I(u) = \frac{1}{p}\|u\|_b^p$ ,  $K_H(u) = \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, u) dS$ ,  $K_{\Psi}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \Psi(x, u) dx$  and  $K_{\Phi}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \Phi(x, u) dx$ , where  $\Phi(x, u) = \frac{1}{p}f(x)|u|^p$  and  $\Psi(x, u) = \frac{1}{q}g(x)|u|^q$ .

Then the directional derivative of  $F$  in the direction  $v \in E$  is

$$\langle F'(u), v \rangle = \langle I'(u), v \rangle - \lambda \langle K'_{\Phi}(u), v \rangle - \langle K'_{\Psi}(u), v \rangle - \langle K'_H(u), v \rangle,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \langle I'(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u \nabla v dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u|^{p-2} uv dS, \\ \langle K'_H(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\partial\Omega} h(x, u)v dS, \\ \langle K'_{\Psi}(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} g(x)|u|^{q-2} uv dx, \\ \langle K'_{\Phi}(u), v \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u|^{p-2} uv dx. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly,  $I' : E \rightarrow E^*$  is continuous. The operator  $K'_H$  is a composition of the operators

$$K'_H : E \rightarrow L^m(\partial\Omega; w_3) \xrightarrow{N_h} L^{m/(m-1)}(\partial\Omega; w_3^{1/(1-m)}) \xrightarrow{l} E^*$$

where  $\langle l(u), v \rangle = \int_{\partial\Omega} uv dS$ . Since

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} |uv| dS \leq \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} |u|^{m'} w_3^{1/(1-m)} dS \right)^{1/m'} \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} |v|^m w_3 dS \right)^{1/m},$$

then  $l$  is continuous, by Theorem A. As a composition of continuous operators,  $K'_H$  is continuous, too. Moreover, by our assumptions on  $w_3$ , the trace operator  $E \rightarrow L^m(\partial\Omega; w_3)$  is compact and therefore,  $K'_H$  is also compact.

Set  $\varphi(u) = f(x)|u|^{p-2}u$ . By the proof of Lemma 2 we deduce that the Nemytskii operator corresponding to any function which satisfies (A1) is bounded and continuous. Hence  $N_h$  and  $N_{\varphi}$  are bounded and continuous. We note that

$$K'_{\Phi} : E \subset L^p(\Omega; w_1) \xrightarrow{N_{\varphi}} L^{p/(p-1)}(\Omega; w_1^{1/(1-p)}) \xrightarrow{\eta} E^*$$

where  $\langle \eta(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} uv \, dx$ . Since

$$\int_{\Omega} |uv| \, dx \leq \left( \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p/(p-1)} w_1^{1/(1-p)} \, dx \right)^{(p-1)/p} \left( \int_{\Omega} |v|^p w_1 \, dx \right)^{1/p},$$

it follows that  $\eta$  is continuous. But  $K'_{\Phi}$  is the composition of three continuous operators and by the assumptions on  $w_1$ , the embedding  $E \subset L^p(\Omega; w_1)$  is compact. This implies that  $K'_{\Phi}$  is compact. In a similar way we obtain that  $K'_{\Psi}$  is compact and the continuous Fréchet differentiability of  $F$  follows.

Now, let  $u_n \in E$  be a Palais-Smale sequence, i.e.,

$$|F(u_n)| \leq C \text{ for all } n \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\|F'(u_n)\|_{E^*} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.2}$$

We first prove that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $E$ . Remark that (3.2) implies that

$$|\langle F'(u_n), u_n \rangle| \leq \mu \cdot \|u_n\|_b \text{ for } n \text{ large enough.}$$

This and (3.1) imply

$$C + \|u_n\|_b \geq F(u_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle F'(u_n), u_n \rangle. \tag{3.3}$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} \langle F'(u_n), u_n \rangle &= \int_{\Omega} a(x) |\nabla u_n|^p \, dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) |u_n|^p \, dS - \lambda \\ &\quad \int_{\Omega} f(x) |u_n|^p \, dx - \int_{\Omega} g(x) |u_n|^q \, dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} h(x, u_n) u_n \, dS. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} F(u_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle F'(u_n), u_n \rangle &= \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \left( \|u_n\|_b^p - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x) |u|^p \, dx \right) \\ &\quad - \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, u_n) \, dS - \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\partial\Omega} h(x, u_n) u_n \, dS \right) - \left( \frac{1}{q} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \int_{\Omega} g(x) |u_n|^q \, dx. \end{aligned}$$

By (A3) we deduce that

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, u_n) \, dS \leq \frac{1}{\mu} \int_{\partial\Omega} h(x, u_n) u_n \, dS. \tag{3.4}$$

Therefore

$$F(u_n) - \frac{1}{\mu} \langle F'(u_n), u_n \rangle \geq \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) C_0 \|u_n\|_b^p. \tag{3.5}$$

Relations (3.3) and (3.5) yield

$$C + \|u_n\|_b \geq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu}\right) C_0 \|u_n\|_b^p.$$

This shows that  $\{u_n\}$  is bounded in  $E$ .

To prove that  $\{u_n\}$  contains a Cauchy sequence we use the following inequalities for  $\xi, \zeta \in \mathbf{R}^N$  (see Diaz [8], Lemma 4.10):

$$|\xi - \zeta|^p \leq C(|\xi|^{p-2}\xi - |\zeta|^{p-2}\zeta)(\xi - \zeta), \quad \text{for } p \geq 2 \quad (3.6)$$

$$|\xi - \zeta|^2 \leq C(|\xi|^{p-2}\xi - |\zeta|^{p-2}\zeta)(\xi - \zeta)(|\xi| + |\zeta|)^{2-p}, \quad \text{for } 1 < p < 2. \quad (3.7)$$

Then we obtain in the case  $p \geq 2$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u_k\|_b^p &= \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k|^p dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u_n - u_k|^p dS \\ &\leq C(\langle I'(u_n), u_n - u_k \rangle - \langle I'(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle) \\ &= C(\langle F'(u_n), u_n - u_k \rangle - \langle F'(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle \\ &\quad + \lambda \langle K'_{\Phi}(u_n), u_n - u_k \rangle - \lambda \langle K'_{\Phi}(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle K'_H(u_n), u_n - u_k \rangle - \langle K'_H(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle K'_{\Psi}(u_n), u_n - u_k \rangle - \langle K'_{\Psi}(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle) \\ &\leq C(\|F'(u_n)\|_{E^*} + \|F'(u_k)\|_{E^*} + |\lambda| \|K'_{\Phi}(u_n) - K'_{\Phi}(u_k)\|_{E^*} \\ &\quad + \|K'_H(u_n) - K'_H(u_k)\|_{E^*} + \|K'_{\Psi}(u_n) - K'_{\Psi}(u_k)\|_{E^*}) \|u_n - u_k\|_b. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $F'(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $K'_{\Phi}, K'_{\Psi}, K'_H$  are compact, we can assume, passing eventually to a subsequence, that  $\{u_n\}$  converges in  $E$ .

If  $1 < p < 2$ , then we use the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u_k\|_b^2 &\leq C' |\langle I'(u_n), u_n - u_k \rangle \\ &\quad - \langle I'(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle| (\|u_n\|_b^{2-p} + \|u_k\|_b^{2-p}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Since  $\|u_n\|_b$  is bounded, the same arguments lead to a convergent subsequence. In order to prove the estimate (3.8) we recall the following result: for all  $s \in (0, \infty)$  there is a constant  $C_s > 0$  such that

$$(x + y)^s \leq C_s (x^s + y^s) \quad \text{for any } x, y \in (0, \infty). \quad (3.9)$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u_k\|_b^2 &= \left( \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k|^p dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u_n - u_k|^p dS \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ &\leq C_p \left[ \left( \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} + \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u_n - u_k|^p dS \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$



Using (3.7), (3.9) and the Hölder inequality we find

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k|^p dx = \int_{\Omega} a(x)(|\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} dx \\
 & \leq C \int_{\Omega} a(x)(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u_k|^{p-2}\nabla u_k)(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k))^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
 & \quad (|\nabla u_n| + |\nabla u_k|)^{\frac{p(2-p)}{2}} dx \\
 & = C \int_{\Omega} (a(x)(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u_k|^{p-2}\nabla u_k)(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k))^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
 & \quad (a(x)(|\nabla u_n| + |\nabla u_k|)^p)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} dx \\
 & \leq C \left( \int_{\Omega} a(x)(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u_k|^{p-2}\nabla u_k)(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
 & \quad \left( \int_{\Omega} a(x)(|\nabla u_n| + |\nabla u_k|)^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\
 & \leq \tilde{C}_p \left( \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_k|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \\
 & \quad \left( \int_{\Omega} a(x)(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u_k|^{p-2}\nabla u_k)(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
 & \leq \bar{C}_p \left[ \left( \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} + \left( \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_k|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}} \right] \\
 & \quad \times \left( \int_{\Omega} a(x)(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u_k|^{p-2}\nabla u_k)(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k) dx \right)^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
 & \leq \bar{C}_p \left[ \int_{\Omega} a(x)(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u_k|^{p-2}\nabla u_k)(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k) dx \right]^{\frac{p}{2}} \\
 & \quad (\|u_n\|_b^{\frac{(2-p)p}{2}} + \|u_k\|_b^{\frac{(2-p)p}{2}}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using the last inequality and (3.9) we have the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left( \int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k|^p dx \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\
 & \leq C'_p \left( \int_{\Omega} a(x)(|\nabla u_n|^{p-2}\nabla u_n - |\nabla u_k|^{p-2}\nabla u_k)(\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k) dx \right) \\
 & \quad (\|u_n\|_b^{2-p} + \|u_k\|_b^{2-p}). \tag{3.11}
 \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way we can obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) |u_n - u_k|^p dS \right)^{\frac{2}{p}} \\ & \leq C'_p \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x) (|u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |u_k|^{p-2} u_k) (u_n - u_k) dx \right) \\ & \quad (\|u_n\|_b^{2-p} + \|u_k\|_b^{2-p}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.12)$$

It is now easy to observe that inequalities (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) imply the estimate (3.8). The proof of Lemma 3 is complete.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 1.* We have to verify the geometric assumptions of the Mountain-Pass Theorem. We first show that there exist positive constants  $R$  and  $c_0$  such that

$$F(u) \geq c_0, \quad \text{for any } u \in E \text{ with } \|u\| = R. \quad (3.13)$$

By Theorem A we obtain some  $A > 0$  such that

$$\|u\|_{q,w_2}^q \leq A \|u\|_b^q \quad \text{for all } u \in E.$$

This fact implies that

$$\begin{aligned} F(u) &= \frac{1}{p} (\|u\|_b^p - \lambda \|u\|_{p,w_1}^p) - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} g(x) |u|^q dx \\ & \quad - \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, u) dS \geq \frac{C_0}{p} \|u\|_b^p - \frac{A}{q} \|u\|_b^q - \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, u) dS. \end{aligned}$$

By (A1) and (A2) we deduce that for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exists  $C_\varepsilon > 0$  such that

$$\frac{1}{q} |g(x)| |u|^q \leq \varepsilon b(x) |u|^p + C_\varepsilon w_3(x) |u|^m.$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} F(u) &\geq \frac{C_0}{p} \|u\|_b^p - \frac{A}{q} \|u\|_b^q - \int_{\partial\Omega} (\varepsilon b(x) |u|^p + C_\varepsilon w_3(x) |u|^m) ds \\ &\geq \frac{C_0}{p} \|u\|_b^p - \frac{A}{q} \|u\|_b^q - \varepsilon c_1 \|u\|_b^p - C_\varepsilon C_2 \|u\|_b^m. \end{aligned}$$

For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $R > 0$  small enough, we deduce that for every  $u \in E$  with  $\|u\|_b = R$ ,  $F(u) \geq c_0 > 0$ , which yields (3.13).

We verify in what follows the second geometric assumption of the Mountain-Pass Theorem, namely

$$\exists v \in E \text{ with } \|v\| > R \text{ such that } F(v) < c_0. \quad (3.14)$$

Choose  $\psi \in C^\infty_\delta(\Omega)$ ,  $\psi \geq 0$ , such that  $\emptyset \neq \text{supp}\psi \cap \partial\Omega \subset U$ . From  $\frac{1}{q}g(x)|u|^q \geq c_3s^\mu - c_4$  on  $U \times (0, \infty)$  and (A1) we claim that

$$\begin{aligned} F(t\psi) &= \frac{t^p}{p}(\|\psi\|_b^p - \lambda\|\psi\|_{p,w_1}^p) - \frac{1}{q} \int_\Omega g(x)|t\psi|^q dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, t\psi) dS \\ &\leq \frac{t^p}{p} (\|\psi\|_b^p - \lambda\|\psi\|_{p,w_1}^p) - c_3t^\mu \int_U \psi^\mu dS + c_4|U| - \frac{t^q}{q} \int_\Omega w_2\psi^q dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $q \geq \mu > p$ , we obtain  $F(t\psi) \rightarrow -\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow \infty$ . It follows that if  $t > 0$  is large enough,  $F(t\psi) < 0$ , so  $v = t\psi$  satisfies (3.14).

By the Ambrosetti-Rabinowitz Theorem, problem (A) has a nontrivial weak solution.

Next we prove the second existence result about problem (A).

*Proof of Theorem 2.* In order to show the claim we want to apply a classical tool in critical point theory, precisely we will use the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory (see [23]). Consider the even functional

$$J(v) = \frac{1}{p} \int_\Omega a(x)|\nabla v|^p dx + \frac{1}{p} \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|v|^p dS - \frac{\lambda}{p} \int_\Omega f(x)|v|^p dx,$$

on the closed symmetric manifold

$$M = \left\{ v \in E : \int_\Omega g(x)|v|^q = 1 \right\}.$$

Note that  $M$  is only a  $C^1$ -manifold, since we have assumed  $1 < p < q$ . By our hypotheses on  $f, g, b$  and  $h$  (note that (A1)–(A4) are easily satisfied), Lemma 3 and Theorem 5.3 in [25], we have that  $J|_M$  possesses at least  $\gamma(M)$  pairs of critical points (where  $\gamma(M)$  stands for the genus of  $M$ ).

Now we have to estimate  $\gamma(M)$ . Since  $g \not\equiv 0$  there exists an open set  $\omega \subset \Omega$  such that  $g(x) \geq \delta > 0$  on  $\omega$ . By the properties of the genus it follows that  $\gamma(\omega) \geq \gamma(B)$ , where  $B$  is the unit ball of  $W_0^{1,p}(\omega) \subset E$ , but it is well known that the genus of the unit ball of a infinite dimensional Banach space is infinity, so  $\gamma(M) = \infty$ . Hence there exists a sequence  $\{v_n\} \subset E$ , such that any  $v_n$  (and also  $-v_n$ ) is a constrained critical point of  $J$  on  $M$ .

By the Lagrange multipliers rule we obtain that there exists a sequence  $\{\lambda_n\} \subset \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$\int_\Omega a(x)|\nabla v_n|^p dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|v_n|^p dS - \lambda \int_\Omega f(x)|v_n|^p dx = \lambda_n \int_\Omega g(x)|v_n|^q dx.$$

Since  $v_n \in M$ , using our assumption  $\lambda < \tilde{\lambda}$  we find

$$\lambda_n = \|v_n\|_b^p - \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|v_n|^p dx > 0,$$

so we can apply the usual scaling. Setting  $u_n = \lambda_n^{1/(q-p)} v_n$ , we have that  $u_n$  satisfies for any  $n$  the equation

$$\int_{\Omega} a(x)|\nabla u_n|^p dx + \int_{\partial\Omega} b(x)|u_n|^p dS = \lambda \int_{\Omega} f(x)|u_n|^p dx + \int_{\Omega} g(x)|u_n|^q dx,$$

so the claim is proved.

## 4 Problem (B)

We start with the following auxiliary result.

**Lemma 4** *Under assumption (A1), if  $q \leq m$ , there exists a number  $\bar{\rho} > 0$  such that for each  $\rho \geq \bar{\rho}$  the function*

$$v \mapsto \frac{\rho^2}{m} \|v\|_b^m - \frac{1}{p} \|v\|_{p,w_1}^p - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} g(x)|v|^q dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x,v) dS, \quad v \in E,$$

is bounded from below on  $E$ .

*Proof.* The growth condition for  $h$  implies

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x,v) dS \right| &\leq \int_{\partial\Omega} \left( h_0(x)|v| + \frac{1}{m} h_1(x)|v|^m \right) dS \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\partial\Omega} h_0^{\frac{m}{m-1}} w_3^{\frac{1}{1-m}} dS \right)^{\frac{m-1}{m}} \|v\|_{L^m(\partial\Omega;w_3)} + C_h \|v\|_{L^m(\partial\Omega;w_3)}^m \\ &\leq C_0 + C \|v\|_b^m, \quad v \in E, \end{aligned}$$

with constants  $C_0, C > 0$ . One obtains also that

$$\frac{1}{q} \left| \int_{\Omega} g(x)|u|^q dx \right| \leq C_2 \|v\|_b^q \leq \bar{C}_0 + \bar{C} \|v\|_b^m, \quad v \in E,$$

with constants  $\bar{C}_0, \bar{C} > 0$ . Clearly, we can choose now the positive number  $\bar{\rho}$  as desired.  $\square$

In view of Lemma 4 one can find numbers  $b_0 > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\bar{\rho}^2}{m} \|v\|_b^m + \frac{2}{m} b_0 - \frac{1}{p} \|v\|_{p,w_1}^p - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} g(x)|v|^q dx \\ & - \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, v) dS \geq \alpha > 0, \quad v \in E. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

With  $b_0 > 0$  and  $\bar{\rho} > 0$  as above we consider numbers  $r > \rho \geq \bar{\rho}$  and a function  $\beta \in C^1(\mathbf{R})$  such that

$$\beta(0) = \beta(r) = 0, \quad \beta(\rho) = b_0, \tag{4.2}$$

$$\beta'(t) < 0 \iff t < 0 \text{ or } \rho < t < r, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\lim_{|t| \rightarrow +\infty} \beta(t) = +\infty. \tag{4.4}$$

**Lemma 5** *Assume that conditions (A1) and (A3) are fulfilled. Then, for any  $d > 0$  satisfying (3), the functional  $J : E \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  defined by*

$$\begin{aligned} J(v, t) = & \frac{t^2}{m} \|v\|_b^m + \frac{2}{m} \beta(t) - \frac{1}{p} \int_{\Omega} f(x)|v|^p \\ & - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} g(x)|v|^q dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, v) dx + \frac{d}{p} \|v\|_b^p \end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

*is of class  $C^1$  and satisfies the Palais-Smale condition.*

*Proof.* The property of  $J$  to be continuously differentiable has been already justified in the proof of Theorem 1.

In order to check the Palais-Smale condition let the sequences  $\{v_n\} \subset E$  and  $\{t_n\} \subset \mathbf{R}$  satisfy

$$|J(v_n, t_n)| \leq M, \quad \forall n \geq 1 \tag{4.6}$$

$$J'_v(v_n, t_n) = t_n^2 \|v_n\|_b^{m-p} I'(v_n) - K'_{\Phi}(v_n) - K'_H(v_n) - K'_{\Psi}(v_n) + dI'(v_n) \rightarrow 0, \tag{4.7}$$

$$J'_t(v_n, t_n) = \frac{2}{m} (t_n \|v_n\|_b^m + \beta'(t_n)) \rightarrow 0 \tag{4.8}$$

where  $I, K_{\Phi}, K_H, K_{\Psi}$  have been introduced in the proof of Lemma 3.

From (4.1), (4.2), (4.5) and (4.6) we infer that

$$\begin{aligned} M \geq & \frac{t_n^2}{m} \|v_n\|_b^m + \frac{2}{m} \beta(t_n) - \frac{1}{p} \|v_n\|_{p,w_1}^p - \frac{1}{q} \int_{\Omega} g(x)|v_n|^q dx \\ & - \int_{\partial\Omega} H(x, v_n) dx + \frac{d}{p} \|v_n\|_b^p \\ \geq & \frac{t_n^2 - \rho^2}{m} \|v_n\|_b^m + \frac{2}{m} (\beta(t_n) - \beta(\rho)) + \frac{d}{p} \|v_n\|_b^p. \end{aligned}$$

Condition (4.4) in conjunction with the inequality above yields the boundedness of  $\{t_n\}$ .

Let us check the boundedness of  $\{v_n\}$  along a subsequence. Without loss of generality we may admit that  $\{v_n\}$  is bounded away from 0. From (22) we deduce that the sequence  $\{t_n \|v_n\|_b^m\}$  is bounded. Therefore it is sufficient to argue in the case where  $t_n \rightarrow 0$ . From (4.6) it turns out that

$$\frac{1}{p} \|v_n\|_{p,w_1}^p + \int_{\Omega} H(x, v_n) dx + \frac{1}{q} \int_{\partial\Omega} g(x) |v_n|^q dx - \frac{d}{p} \|v_n\|_b^p$$

is bounded. By (4.7) we deduce that

$$\frac{1}{\|v_n\|_b} (-\langle K'_{\Phi}(v_n), v_n \rangle - \langle K'_H(v_n), v_n \rangle - \langle K'_{\Psi}(v_n), v_n \rangle + d \|v_n\|_b^p) \rightarrow 0.$$

Then, for  $n$  sufficiently large, assumption (A3) allows us to write

$$\begin{aligned} M + 1 + \|v_n\|_b &\geq d \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \|v_n\|_b^p + \left( \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{q} \right) \|v_n\|_{L^q(\Omega, w_2)}^q \\ &\quad + \int_{\partial\Omega} \left( \frac{1}{\mu} h(x, v_n) v_n - H(x, v_n) \right) dS + \left( \frac{1}{\mu} - \frac{1}{p} \right) \|v_n\|_{p,w_1}^p \\ &\geq \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) (d \|v_n\|_b^p - \|v_n\|_{p,w_1}^p) \geq \left( \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\mu} \right) \left( d - \frac{1}{\lambda} \right) \|v_n\|_b^p. \end{aligned}$$

By (3), this establishes the boundedness of  $\{v_n\}$  in  $E$ .

In view of the compactness of the mappings  $K'_{\Phi}$ ,  $K'_H$ ,  $K'_{\Psi}$  (see the proof of Lemma 3), by (4.7) we get that

$$(d + t_n^2 \|v_n\|_b^{m-p}) I'(v_n)$$

converges in  $E^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The boundedness of  $\{t_n\}$  and  $\{v_n\}$  ensures that  $\{I'(v_n)\}$  is convergent in  $E^*$  along a subsequence. Assume that  $p \geq 2$ . Inequality (3.6) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u_n - u_k\|_b^p &\leq C \left[ \int_{\Omega} a(x) (|\nabla u_n|^{p-2} \nabla u_n - |\nabla u_k|^{p-2} \nabla u_k) \cdot (\nabla u_n - \nabla u_k) dx \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_{\Gamma} b(x) (|u_n|^{p-2} u_n - |u_k|^{p-2} u_k) (u_n - u_k) d\Gamma \right] \\ &= C \langle I'(u_n) - I'(u_k), u_n - u_k \rangle \leq C \|I'(u_n) - I'(u_k)\|_b^* \|u_n - u_k\|_b \quad \text{if } p \geq 2. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, if  $p \geq 2$ ,  $\{v_n\}$  possesses a convergent subsequence. Proceeding in the same way with inequality (3.7) in place of (3.6) we obtain the result for  $1 < p < 2$ .  $\square$

In the proof of Theorem 3 we shall make use of the following variant of the Mountain Pass Theorem (see Motreanu [19]).

**Lemma 6** *Let  $E$  be a Banach space and let  $J : E \times \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a  $C^1$  functional verifying the hypotheses*

- (a) *there exist constants  $\rho > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $J(v, \rho) \geq \alpha$ , for every  $v \in E$ ;*
  - (b) *there is some  $r > \rho$  with  $J(0, 0) = J(0, r) = 0$ .*
- Then the number*

$$c := \inf_{g \in \mathcal{P}} \max_{0 \leq \tau \leq 1} J(h(\tau))$$

*is a critical value of  $J$ , where*

$$\mathcal{P} := \{g \in C([0, 1]; E \times \mathbf{R}); g(0) = (0, 0), g(1) = (0, r)\}.$$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* We apply Lemma 6 to the function  $J$  defined in (4.5). It is clear that assertion (a) is verified with  $\rho > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$  described in Lemma 4 and (4.1). Due to relation (4.2), condition (b) in Lemma 6 holds. Lemma 5 ensures that the functional  $J$  satisfies the Palais-Smale condition. Therefore Lemma 6 yields a nonzero element  $(u, t) \in E \times \mathbf{R}$  such that

$$J'_v(u, t) = (d + t^2 \|u\|_b^{m-p}) I'(u) - K'_\Phi(u) - K'_H(u) - K'_\Psi(u) = 0, \tag{4.9}$$

$$J'_t(u, t) = \frac{2}{m} (t \|u\|_b^m + \beta'(t)) = 0. \tag{4.10}$$

From (4.10) it follows that

$$t\beta'(t) \leq 0. \tag{4.11}$$

Combining (4.11) and (4.3) we derive that if  $t \neq 0$ , then  $u \neq 0$  and

$$\rho \leq t \leq r. \tag{4.12}$$

Therefore for each  $d$  in (3) such that  $1/d$  is not an eigenvalue in  $(B)$  and each  $r > \rho \geq \bar{\rho}$  we deduce that there exists a critical point  $(u, t) = (u_d, t_d) \in E \times \mathbf{R}_+$  of  $J$ , where  $t = t_d$  verifies (4.12). Consequently, relation (4.9) establishes that  $u_d \in E$  is an eigenfunction in problem (B) where the corresponding eigenvalue is

$$\lambda_d = \frac{1}{d + t_d^2 \|u_d\|_b^{m-p}},$$

with  $t = t_d$  satisfying (4.12). This completes the proof.

**Acknowledgements** This work has been performed while V.R. was visiting the Università degli Studi di Perugia with a CNR-GNAFA grant. He would like to thank Professor Patrizia Pucci for the invitation, warm hospitality, and for many stimulating discussions.

## References

- [1] W. ALLEGRETTO, Y.X. HUANG, Eigenvalues of the indefinite weight  $p$ -Laplacian in weighted  $\mathbf{R}^N$  spaces, *Funkc. Ekvac.* **38** (1995), 233–242.
- [2] A. AMBROSETTI, P.H. RABINOWITZ, Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications, *J. Funct. Anal.* **14** (1973), 349–381.
- [3] A. ANANE, Simplicité et isolation de la première valeur propre du  $p$ -laplacien, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.* **305** (1987), 725–728.
- [4] G. ARONSSON, U. JANFALK, On Hele-Shaw flow of power-law fluids, *European J. Appl. Math.* **3** (1992), 343–366.
- [5] T. BHATTACHARYA, E. DIBENEDETTO, J. MANFREDI, Limits as  $p \rightarrow \infty$  of  $\Delta_p u_p = f$  and related extremal problems, *Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Pol. Torino*, Fascicolo Speciale, 1989, 15–68.
- [6] V. BENCI, D. FORTUNATO, L. PISANI, Solitons like solutions of a Lorentz invariant equation in dimension 3, *Rev. Math. Phys.* **10** (1998), 315–344.
- [7] R. DAUTRAY, J.-L. LIONS, Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Methods for Science and Technology, Vol. 1: *Physical Origins and Classical Methods*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1985.
- [8] J.I. DIAZ, Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and Free Boundaries. Elliptic Equations, *Research Notes in Mathematics*, 106, Pitman, Boston-London-Melbourne 1986.
- [9] P. DRÁBEK, Nonlinear eigenvalue problems for the  $p$ -Laplacian in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ , *Math. Nachr.* **173** (1995), 131–139.
- [10] P. DRÁBEK, A. KUFNER, F. NICOLOSI, Quasilinear Elliptic Equations with Degenerations and Singularities, *de Gruyter Series in Nonlinear Analysis and Applications* **5**, W. de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 1997.
- [11] P. DRÁBEK, S. POHOZAEV, Positive solutions for the  $p$ -Laplacian: application of the fibering method, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh* **127A** (1997), 703–726.
- [12] P. DRÁBEK, C.G. SIMADER, Nonlinear eigenvalue problems for quasilinear equations in unbounded domains, *Math. Nachr.* **203** (1999), 5–30.
- [13] W.L. FINDLEY, J.S. LAI, K. ONARAN, Creep and Relaxation of Nonlinear Viscoelastic Materials, *North Holland Publ. House*, Amsterdam - New York - Oxford, 1976.
- [14] J. GARCÍA-AZORERO, E. MONTEFUSCO, I. PERAL, Bifurcation for the  $p$ -Laplacian in  $\mathbf{R}^N$ , *Adv. Diff. Equations* **5** (2000), 435–464.



- [15] J. GARCÍA-AZORERO, I. PERAL, Existence and uniqueness for the  $p$ -Laplacian: nonlinear eigenvalues, *Comm. Partial Differential Equations* **12** (1987), 1389–1430.
- [16] L.M. KACHANOV, The Theory of Creep, *National Lending Library for Science and Technology*, Boston Spa, Yorkshire, England, 1967.
- [17] L.M. KACHANOV, Foundations of the Theory of Plasticity, North Holland Publ. House, Amsterdam - London, 1971.
- [18] B. KAWOHL, On a family of torsional creep problems, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **410** (1990), 1–22.
- [19] D. MOTREANU, A saddle point approach to nonlinear eigenvalue problems, *Math. Slovaca* **47** (1997), 463–477.
- [20] M.C. PÉLLISSIER, M.L. REYNAUD, Étude d'un modèle mathématique d'écoulement de glacier, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér. I Math.* **279** (1974), 531–534.
- [21] K. PFLÜGER, Existence and multiplicity of solutions to a  $p$ -Laplacian equation with nonlinear boundary condition, *Electronic Journal of Differential Equations* **10** (1998), 1–13.
- [22] K. PFLÜGER, Compact traces in weighted Sobolev spaces, *Analysis* **18** (1998), 65–83.
- [23] P.H. RABINOWITZ, Minimax Methods in Critical Point Theory with Applications to Differential Equations, C.B.M.S. Regional Conference Series in Mathematics **65**, *Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I.*, 1986.
- [24] R.E. SHOWALTER, N.J. WALKINGTON, Diffusion of fluid in a fissured medium with microstructure *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* **22** (1991), 1702–1722.
- [25] A. SZULKIN, Ljusternik-Schnirelmann theory on  $C^1$ -manifold, *Ann. Ist. H. Poincaré, Analyse non linéaire* **5** (1988), 119–139.

Received May 2000



To access this journal online:  
<http://www.birkhauser.ch>

---